# Status and plans of the COMPASS (NA58) Experiment



CERN

I N 🔚



#### Bakur Parsamyan

(AANL, INFN section of Turin and CERN) for the COMPASS collaboration



151<sup>st</sup> Meeting of the SPSC November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2023, CERN 14 November 2023

# Based on the COMPASS Status Report CERN-SPSC-2023-035; SPSC-SR-338;

### **COMPASS** collaboration

Common Muon and Proton Apparatus for Structure and Spectroscopy



 $\odot$ 

众

- 25 institutions from 13 countries – nearly 200 physicists (in 2022)
- CERN SPS north area
- Fixed target experiment
- Approved in 1997 (25 years)
- Taking data since 2002 (20 years)

International Workshop on Hadron Structure and Spectroscopy IWHSS-2022 workshop (anniversary edition) CERN Globe, August 29-31, 2022



COMPASS

# **COMPASS** collaboration

Common Muon and Proton Apparatus for Structure and Spectroscopy





- 28 institutions from 14 countries
- nearly 215 physicists (in 2023: start of the Analysis Phase)
- CERN SPS north area
- Fixed target experiment
- Approved in 1997 (25 years)
- Taking data since 2002 (20 years)

#### Wide physics program COMPASS-I

- Data taking 2002-2011
- Muon and hadron beams
- Nucleon spin structure
- Spectroscopy

#### **COMPASS-II**

- Data taking 2012-2022
- Primakoff
- DVCS (GPD+SIDIS)
- Polarized Drell-Yan
- Transverse deuteron SIDIS 2022

3 new groups joined the COMPASS collaboration in 2023 UConn (US), AANL (Armenia), NCU (Taiwan)



#### COMPASS web page: http://www.compass.cern.ch

14 November 2023

 $\odot$ 

\$

### **COMPASS** timeline





### **COMPASS** timeline





### **COMPASS** timeline





### $COMPASS \rightarrow AMBER$ timeline







## **COMPASS** data taking campaigns

Ó		
Ý	OMI	405
	25 yes	ars
	1997 - 2	2022

Beam	Target	year	Physics programme
μ+	Polarized deuteron ( <sup>6</sup> LiD)	2002 2003 2004	80% Longitudinal   20% Transverse SIDIS
		2006	Longitudinal SIDIS
	Polarized proton (NH <sub>3</sub> )	2007	50% Longitudinal 50% Transverse SIDIS
<b>π   K   p</b>	LH <sub>2</sub> , Ni, Pb, W	2008 2009	Spectroscopy
μ+	Polarized proton (NH <sub>3</sub> )	2010	Transverse SIDIS
		2011	Longitudinal SIDIS
<b>π   K   p</b>	Ni	2012	Primakoff
$\mu^{\pm}$	LH <sub>2</sub>	2012	Pilot DVCS & HEMP & unpolarized SIDIS
π-	Polarized proton (NH <sub>3</sub> )	2014	Pilot Drell-Yan
		2015 2018	Transverse Drell-Yan
$\mu^{\pm}$	LH <sub>2</sub>	2016 2017	DVCS & HEMP & unpolarized SIDIS
$\mu^+$	Polarized deuteron (6LiD)	2021 2022	Transverse SIDIS

#### **COMPASS** experimental setup



14 November 2023

•



14 November 2023

#### COMPASS experimental setup: Phase II (DY programme)





**COmmon Muon Proton Apparatus for Structure and Spectroscopy** 



Broad Physics Program to study Structure and Excitation Spectrum of Hadrons

Nucleon structure

- Hard scattering of μ<sup>±</sup> and π<sup>-</sup> off (un)polarized P/D targets
- Study of nucleon spin structure
- Parton distribution functions and fragmentation functions

#### Hadron spectroscopy

- Diffractive  $\pi(K)$  dissociation reaction with proton target
- PWA technique employed
- High-precision measurement of light-meson excitation spectrum
- Search for exotic states

#### **Chiral dynamics**

- Test chiral perturbation theory in  $\pi(K)$   $\gamma$  reactions
- $\pi^{\pm}$  and  $K^{\pm}$  polarizabilities
- Chiral anomaly  $F_{3\pi}$











Broad Physics Program to study Structure and Excitation Spectrum of Hadrons



#### Hadron spectroscopy

- Diffractive  $\pi(K)$  dissociation reaction with proton target
- PWA technique employed
- High-precision measurement of light-meson excitation spectrum
- Search for exotic states

#### **Chiral dynamics**

- Test chiral perturbation theory in  $\pi(K)$   $\gamma$  reactions
- $\pi^{\pm}$  and  $K^{\pm}$  polarizabilities
- Chiral anomaly  $F_{3\pi}$

#### 2022-2023 analyses/activities

Study of $\pi_1$ states $\pi^- p \rightarrow b_1(1235)\pi)^- p$ $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^- p$	ongoing study improved event selection
Study of $\pi_1$ states $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \eta^{(\prime)} p$	ongoing study improved event selection
Excited kaons in: $K^-p \rightarrow K^-\pi^-\pi^+p$	finalized (11 strange mesons) paper drafting
Chiral anomaly and radiative width of $\rho(770)$ $\pi^-\gamma \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0$	systematic studies background subtraction
Excited kaons in: $K^-p \rightarrow \pi^- K_S^0 p$ $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda pp$	ongoing study improved event selection
Isovector resonances in: $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^{\ 0} K_S^{\ 0} p$	event selection finalized starting the PWA
Study ambiguities in PWA Novel methods for PWA	New: PWA continuity and regularization (information- field theory); Mathematical ambiguities – PWA
Technical MC advances $3\pi\gamma\gamma$ final state & beyond	New: Improved calorimetry simulations and calibrations

Increasing resolution scale

momentum transfer



Broad Physics Program to study Structure and Excitation Spectrum of Hadrons







#### Hadron spectroscopy

- Diffractive  $\pi(K)$  dissociation reaction with proton target
- PWA technique employed
- High-precision measurement of • light-meson excitation spectrum
- Search for exotic states

#### **Chiral dynamics**

- Test chiral perturbation theory in  $\pi(K)$   $\gamma$  reactions
- $\pi^{\pm}$  and  $K^{\pm}$  polarizabilities
- Chiral anomaly  $F_{3\pi}$

#### 2022-2023 analyses/activities

Study of $\pi_1$ states $\pi^- p \rightarrow b_1(1235)\pi)^- p$ $\pi^- p \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^- p$	ongoing study improved event selection
Study of $\pi_1$ states	ongoing study
$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \eta^{(\prime)} p$	improved event selection
Excited kaons in:	finalized (11 strange mesons)
$K^-p \rightarrow K^-\pi^-\pi^+p$	paper drafting



- Possible exotic strange meson
- (a supernumerary state in  $J^P = 0^-$ ) B. Parsamyan

Broad Physics Program to study Structure and Excitation Spectrum of Hadrons

Nucleon structure

- Hard scattering of μ<sup>±</sup> and π<sup>-</sup> off (un)polarized P/D targets
- Study of nucleon spin structure
- Parton distribution functions and fragmentation functions

#### Hadron spectroscopy

- Diffractive  $\pi(K)$  dissociation reaction with proton target
  - PWA technique employed
- High-precision measurement of light-meson excitation spectrum
- Search for exotic states

#### **Chiral dynamics**

- Test chiral perturbation theory in  $\pi(K)$   $\gamma$  reactions
- $\pi^{\pm}$  and  $K^{\pm}$  polarizabilities
- Chiral anomaly  $F_{3\pi}$









OMPAS

## Nucleon spin structure

• 1964 Quark model



- 1969 Parton model
- 1973 asymptotic freedom and QCD
- 1978 intrinsic transverse motion of quarks and azimuthal asymmetries













# Hadron multiplicities; $h^{\pm}$ , $\pi^{\pm}$ and $K^{\pm}$ (2016 data)

A set of complex corrections:  $Q^2 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$  $O^2$ COMPASS proton data COMPASS preliminary Acceptance, diffractive VMs, 16.0 preliminary 0.30 < z < 0.40radiative corrections, PID, etc. h<sup>+</sup> • h dM <sup>≖</sup>/dz x<0.01 0.01<x<0.02 0.02<x<0.03 0.03<x<0.04 0.04<x<0.06 3.0  $P_T^2$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> 10 q... virtual photon k... scattered quark 10 p... from fragmentation P... outgoing hadron 10  $dM^{\pi}/dz$ 1.00.06<x<0.10 0.10<x<0.14 0.14<x<0.18 x>0.18 COMPASS proton data  $P_T^{2} ({\rm GeV}/c)^{2}$  $P_T^2$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>  $P_T^{2} ({\rm GeV}/c)^{2}$ preliminary 0.003 0.013 0.020 0.055 0.100 π<sup>\*</sup> π  $81 \int Q^2 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$  $f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ New 0.2< z <0.3 number density  $_{81}$   $Q^2 (GeV/c)^2$ 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.8 0.2 0.8 0.2 0.8 0.2 0.4 16 0.3< z <0.4  $R_{1} \uparrow Q^{2} (\text{GeV}/c)^{2}$ x<0.01 0.01<x<0.02 0.02<x<0.03 0.03<x<0.04 0.04<x<0.06 0.4< z <0.6  $d^2 M$  $Q^2 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ dzdP COMPASS deuteron data 0.6< z <0.8 PRD 97, 032006 (2018)  $d^2 M^h$ 5 S S S  $dz dP_{ha}^2$  $d^2 M^h$ 1.7 0.06<x<0.10 0.10 < x < 0.140.14<x<0.18 x>0.18 COMPASS proton data  $dz dP_h^2$ preliminary 1 2 3 •K' •K  $10^{-1}$  $\frac{d^2 M^h}{dz dP_{hT}^2} (GeV/c)^{-2}$ New 0.003 1 2 3 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.003 0.5 1 2 3 New radiative corrections 0.003  $P_{\rm T}^2 ({\rm GeV}/c)^2$ The corresponding article is being drafted 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3

14 November 2023

B. Parsamyan

0.003

0.008

0.013

0.020

0.032

0.055

0.1

0.21

0.4

**COMPASS** 



- 1D/2D/3D representations x<sub>F</sub>:q<sub>T</sub>:M
- Unique data to access pion TMD PDF

B. Parsamyan

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

 $\langle M \rangle = 6.2 (\text{GeV}/c^2)$ 

 $\langle q_x \rangle = 0.5 (\text{GeV}/c)$ 

IIII

 $\langle M \rangle = 6.2 (\text{GeV}/c^2)$ 

 $\langle q_{\tau} \rangle = 0.9 \, (\text{GeV}/c)$ 

 $x_{\rm F}$ 

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

 $\langle M \rangle = 6.2 (\text{GeV}/c^2)$ 

 $\langle q_{\rm T} \rangle$ =1.3 (GeV/c)

XE

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

0.05

0.02

0.01

0.00

 $(GeV/c^2)$ 

5.4

scaled by 3

 $\langle M \rangle = 6.3 (\text{GeV}/c^2)$ 

 $\langle q_{\tau} \rangle = 2.1 (\text{GeV}/c)$ 

scaled by 3

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

## Nucleon 3D structure: GPDs

- Transverse position  $\vec{b}_T$  of partons
  - Correlation between  $\vec{b}_T$  and x
  - Complementary to TMD PDFs
- 8 generalized parton distribution functions (GPDs)
  - Contain information about parton orbital angular momentum
  - Mostly unknown
- COMPASS exclusive process measurements:
  - Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS):  $\mu + N \rightarrow \mu + \gamma + N$
  - Hard exclusive meson production (HEMP):  $\mu + N \rightarrow \mu + VM + N$ with VM =  $\pi^0$ ,  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ ,...





14 November 2023

# Nucleon 3D structure: GPDs

- Transverse position  $\vec{b}_T$  of partons
  - Correlation between  $\vec{b}_T$  and x
  - Complementary to TMD PDFs
- 8 generalized parton distribution functions (GPDs)
  - Contain information about parton orbital angular momentum
  - Mostly unknown
- COMPASS exclusive process measurements:
  - Deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS):  $\mu + N \rightarrow \mu + \gamma + N$
  - Hard exclusive meson production (HEMP):  $\mu + N \rightarrow \mu + VM + N$ with VM =  $\pi^0$ ,  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ ,...





14 November 2023

B. Parsamyan



 $d\sigma$  $\overline{dxdydzdp_{T}^{2}d\phi_{\mu}d\phi_{\varsigma}}$  $\left|\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2}\frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\left(1+\frac{\gamma^2}{2x}\right)\right|\left(F_{UU,T}+\varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right)$  $\times (1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h + \dots)$ Cahn effect  $f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ number density

As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:

non-zero k<sub>T</sub> induces an azimuthal modulation

As of 2023 – complex SF (twist-2/3 functions)

Measurements by different experiments

Cahn effect in SIDIS  

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzp_{r}^{2}d\phi_{d}\phi_{d}\phi_{s}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{xyQ^{2}} \frac{y^{2}}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1+\frac{y^{2}}{2x}\right) \end{bmatrix} (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L})$$

$$\times (1+\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}A_{UU}^{mb}\cos\phi_{k} + ...)$$
Cahn effect  

$$\int_{1}^{q'(x,k_{r}^{2})} \frac{1}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1+\frac{y^{2}}{2x}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{q'(x,k_{r}^{2})} \frac{1}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \int_{1$$

B. Parsamvan

14 November 2023

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2d\phi_h d\phi_s} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x}\right)\right] \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \times (1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h}\cos\phi_h + ...)$$
Cahn effect
$$\int_{1}^{q} (x, k_T^2)$$
number density

As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:

• non-zero k<sub>T</sub> induces an azimuthal modulation

As of 2023 – complex SF (twist-2/3 functions)

- Measurements by different experiments
- Complex multi-D kinematic dependences
  - So far, no comprehensive interpretation 0



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2d\phi_h d\phi_s} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x}\right)\right] \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right)$$
$$\times (1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h + ...)$$
$$Cahn effect$$
$$\int_{1}^{1} f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$$
number density

As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:

non-zero  $k_{T}$  induces an azimuthal modulation

As of 2023 – complex SF (twist-2/3 functions)

- Measurements by different experiments
- Complex multi-D kinematic dependences
  - So far, no comprehensive interpretation
- A set of complex corrections:
  - Acceptance, diffractively produced VMs, radiative corrections (RC), etc.
- Strong  $Q^2$  dependence unexplained
  - Do not seem to come from RCs
  - Transition between TMD  $\leftrightarrow$  collinear regions?



14 November 2023

#### SIDIS cross-section (TMD PDFs) All measured by COMPASS $d\sigma$





 $\left|\frac{\alpha}{xvO^2}\frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\left(1+\frac{\gamma^2}{2x}\right)\right|\left(F_{UU,T}+\varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right)$  $1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon (1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos \phi_h} \cos \phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h} \cos 2\phi_h$  $+ \lambda \sqrt{2\varepsilon (1-\varepsilon)} A_{LU}^{\sin \phi_h} \sin \phi_h$ +  $S_L \left[ \sqrt{2\varepsilon (1+\varepsilon)} A_{UL}^{\sin \phi_h} \sin \phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h} \sin 2\phi_h \right]$ +  $S_L \lambda \left[ \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon)} A_{LL}^{\cos \phi_h} \cos \phi_h \right]$  $A_{\mu\tau}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)}\sin(\phi_h-\phi_S)$  $+ \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)$ +  $S_{T}$  +  $\varepsilon A_{IT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_s)} \sin(3\phi_h-\phi_s)$  $+\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_s}\sin\phi_s$ +  $\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_h-\phi_s)}\sin(2\phi_h-\phi_s)$  $\int \sqrt{\left(1-\varepsilon^2\right) A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h-\phi_s)} \cos\left(\phi_h-\phi_s\right)}$  $+ S_{\rm T} \lambda + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LT}^{\cos\phi_{\rm S}} \cos\phi_{\rm S}$ +  $\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}A_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_h-\phi_S)}\cos(2\phi_h-\phi_S)$ 





14 November 2023

Х

 $dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s$ 

# SIDIS and Drell-Yan cross-sections (TMD PDFs)

OMPAS



14 November 2023







- 1<sup>st</sup> COMPASS deuteron measurements 2002-2004
- Collins and Sivers asymmetries compatible with zero within uncertainties.

14 November 2023



SIDIS TSAs: Collins and Sivers effects (proton)

- 1<sup>st</sup> COMPASS deuteron measurements Collins and Sivers asymmetries compatible with zero
- COMPASS proton measurements clear non-zero signal for both asymmetries
- 14 November 2023

B. Parsamyan

OMPAS

### SIDIS TSAs: Collins effect and Transversity

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{1 + \dots + S_T \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s)} \sin(\phi_h + \phi_s) + \dots\right\}$ 





- Measured on P/D in SIDIS and in dihadron SIDIS
- Compatible results COMPASS/HERMES (Q<sup>2</sup> is different by a factor of ~2-3)
- No impact from Q<sup>2</sup>-evolution?
- Extensive phenomenological studies and various global fits by different groups



14 November 2023

COMPAS

### SIDIS TSAs: Collins effect and Transversity

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_S} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{1 + \dots + S_T \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S) + \dots\right\}$ 



• Measured on P/D in SIDIS and in dihadron SIDIS

OMPAS

- Compatible results COMPASS/HERMES (Q<sup>2</sup> is different by a factor of ~2-3)
- No impact from Q<sup>2</sup>-evolution?
- Extensive phenomenological studies and various global fits by different groups



### COMPASS 2022 run





146th Meeting of the SPSC – minutes:

- SPSC is pleased by the synergistic approach in making optimal use of the beamline and commends COMPASS for taking the lead in this.
- The Committee notes with pleasure the readiness to take physics data, looks forward to a successful
  completion of the data collection for the transversity measurement, and supports the priority given to
  COMPASS during 2022 to complete its physics goals.

147th Meeting of the SPSC – draft minutes:

- The Committee acknowledges the efforts of COMPASS and of the other M2 beam line collaborations for the constructive and accommodating cooperation during the 2022 run.
- The committee congratulates COMPASS on the completion of a successful twenty years long datataking program, resulting in important contributions to nuclear and particle physics.

- Overall very good performance of all detector systems and DAQ
  - No critical problems on the spectrometer side; high data collection efficiency
  - Increased DAQ capacities thanks to the optimizations carried out during the run
  - New monitoring tools to guarantee fast detection of detector problems
- After disastrous 2021... Quite smooth/good performance of all Polarized Target systems
  - Excellent performance of Gunn diodes (first tested in 2021, two more diodes purchased for 2022 run)
  - Average polarization is about 40-42%
    - smaller than the average ~50% polarization obtained when the material was last used in 2006 (i.e. 16 years ago)
  - Great support of CERN cryo division and PT magnet experts







- Overall very good performance of all detector systems and DAQ
  - No critical problems on the spectrometer side; high data collection efficiency
  - Increased DAQ capacities thanks to the optimizations carried out during the run
  - New monitoring tools to guarantee fast detection of detector problems
- After disastrous 2021... Quite smooth/good performance of all Polarized Target systems
  - Excellent performance of Gunn diodes (first tested in 2021, two more diodes purchased for 2022 run)
  - Average polarization is about 40-42%
    - smaller than the average ~50% polarization obtained when the material was last used in 2006 (i.e. 16 years ago)
  - Great support of CERN cryo division and PT magnet experts
- SPS proton delivery to T6 excellently matched our request
  - Some unfortunate incidents causing down-times (e.g. PS POPS issues after the TS)
  - Despite very crowded injector schedule, a lot of tests and different operation-modes on accelerator side, the SPS efficiency was good enough (~73%, 82% in 2010)
  - Stable M2 beamline operation, no major incidents or problems
    - Tireless help and assistance from our beam physicists and BE department

# Thanks to CERN for being such a great host laboratory for COMPASS for so many years!





- Total protons delivered on the production target:
  - ~  $5.95 \times 10^{18}$  in ~150 days
  - ~ 98% of the request!

# Thanks to CERN for being for so many years a great host laboratory for COMPASS!



SPS efficiency: ~ 73% Spectrometer efficiency: ~ 90% Physics data collection efficiency: ~ 75%

Highly successful Run in 2022!



#### COMPASS 2022 run: new unique deuteron data



OMPASS



COMPASS 2022 run - highly successful data-taking!

• 2<sup>nd</sup> COMPASS deuteron measurements conducted in 2022: unique SIDIS data for the next decades



COMPASS 2022 run - highly successful data-taking!

• 2<sup>nd</sup> COMPASS deuteron measurements conducted in 2022: unique SIDIS data for the next decades



#### Single-polarized Drell-Yan cross-section at twist-2 (LO)



COMPASS phase-II proposal submitted in 2010 (Drell-Yan, DVCS,...) Predictions for a large Sivers effect in Drell-Yan and J/ $\psi$  at COMPASS  $\rightarrow$  sign change test

14 November 2023

B. Parsamyan

COMPASS



B. Parsamyan

14 November 2023





#### DY TSAs at COMPASS (high-mass range)

Theory curves based on S. Bastami et al. JHEP 02, (2021),166





- General agreement with available theory/model predictions
- **COMPASS** data favors sign-change hypothesis for the Sivers TMD PDF
- **COMPASS** data also ۰ seem to favor pion Boer-Mulders TMD PDF signchange (model-based)

 $x_{\rm N}$ 

#### Conclusions

- COMPASS holds the record for the longest-running CERN experiment (20 years of data-taking)
- Series of successful and important measurements addressing nucleon spin-structure
  - Inclusive measurements, unpolarized and polarized SIDIS (longitudinal/transverse)
  - o First-ever polarized Drell-Yan measurements
- A wealth of (SI)DIS, Drell-Yan, DVCS, HEMP data collected across the years
  - Petabytes of data available for analysis
- Wide and unique kinematic domain accessing low x and large  $Q^2$ 
  - o Will remain unique for at least another decade
- World-unique SIDIS deuteron data collected in 2022
  - o Highly successful run, promising preliminary results, analysis in full swing!
- Since 2023 the experiment entered the Analysis Phase
  - The spectrometer has been transferred to the COMPASS successor in the M2 beamline – the AMBER collaboration
  - 3 new groups (+1 under discussion) joined COMPASS Analysis Phase in 2023
- United COMPASS AMBER community
  - $\circ$  Pool of experts, shared software, similar measurements  $\leftrightarrow$  analysis know-how, etc.
- Building connections with theorists and experiments
  - o LHCb, LHC-FT, Spin-Quest, JLab 12/24 GeV, EIC, etc.





Joint XX-th International Workshop on *compass* Hadron Structure and Spectroscopy

and 5-th Workshop on Correlations in Partonic and Hadronic Interactions

Yerevan, Armenia 30 September – 4 October, 2024



# Spare slides



### COMPASS→AMBER timeline



### Nucleon spin structure: collinear approach ↔ TMDs compass



• PDFs – universal (process independent) objects; T-odd PDFs – conditionally universal



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxdydzdp_T^2d\phi_h d\phi_s} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x}\right)\right] \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \times (1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h}\cos\phi_h + ...)$$
Cahn effect
$$\int_{1}^{q} (x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$$
number density

As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:

• non-zero k<sub>T</sub> induces an azimuthal modulation

As of 2023 – complex SF (twist-2/3 functions)

- Measurements by different experiments
- Complex multi-D kinematic dependences
  - So far, no comprehensive interpretation
- A set of complex corrections:
  - Acceptance, diffractively produced VMs, radiative corrections (RC), etc.
- Strong Q<sup>2</sup> dependence unexplained
  - Do not seem to come from RCs
  - Transition between TMD ↔ collinear regions?











-0.6 -0.4 -0.2

 $\stackrel{0.4}{(p_L^+ - p_L^-)} \stackrel{0.6}{/} \stackrel{0.8}{(p_L^+ + p_L^-)}$ 

 $10^{-1}$ 

 $10^{-2}$ 

0

0

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

55

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

Ζ

 $0.6\,0.8 \ 1 \ 1.2$  $p_{_{\rm T}} \,({\rm GeV}/c)$ 



1 T 1 10 Y VIII UVI 2023

ی. arsamyan



 $d\sigma$  $- \propto \left( F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L} \right) \left\{ 1 + \dots + S_{\mathrm{T}} A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_s)} \sin\left(\phi_h - \phi_s\right) + \dots \right\}$  $dxdydzdp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s$ 





#### 1<sup>st</sup> COMPASS multi-D fit done for all eight TSAs

B. Parsamyan

*COMPASS* 

### **COMPASS Multi-D TSA analyses**

 $\frac{a \omega}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_S} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \left\{1 + \dots + S_T A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \sin\left(\phi_h - \phi_S\right) + S_T \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} \sin\left(\phi_h + \phi_S\right) \dots \right\}$ 











#### SIDIS and single-polarized DY x-sections at twist-2 (LO) compass

$$\frac{d\sigma^{LO}}{dxdydzdp_{T}^{2}d\phi_{h}d\phi_{S}} \propto \left(F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}\right) \qquad \text{SIDIS}$$

$$\times \begin{cases} 1 + \varepsilon A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_{h}} \cos 2\phi_{h} \\ + S_{L} \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_{h}} \sin 2\phi_{h} + S_{L}\lambda\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^{2}}A_{LL} \\ \\ + S_{T} \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{h} - \phi_{S})} \sin(\phi_{h} - \phi_{S}) \\ + \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{h} - \phi_{S})} \sin(\phi_{h} + \phi_{S}) \\ + \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_{h} - \phi_{S})} \sin(3\phi_{h} - \phi_{S}) \end{bmatrix} \\ + S_{T}\lambda \left[\sqrt{(1 - \varepsilon^{2})}A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_{h} - \phi_{S})} \cos(\phi_{h} - \phi_{S})\right] \end{cases}$$



$$\frac{d\sigma^{LO}}{dq^4 d\Omega} \propto F_U^1 (1 + \cos^2 \theta_{CS}) \qquad \text{DY}$$

$$\begin{cases} 1 + D_{[\sin^2 \theta_{CS}]} A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} \cos 2\varphi_{CS} \\ + S_L \sin^2 \theta_{CS} A_L^{\sin 2\varphi_{CS}} \sin 2\varphi_{CS} \\ + S_T \left[ + D_{[\sin^2 \varphi_{CS}]} \left( A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S)} \sin (2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S) \\ + A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)} \sin (2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S) \right) \right] \end{cases}$$
where  $D_{[\sin^2 \theta_{CS}]} = \sin^2 \theta_{CS} / (1 + \cos^2 \theta_{CS})$ 

$$\int \frac{q^2 d\Omega}{q^2 d\Omega} \left( \frac{A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S)} \sin (2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S)}{q^2 d\Omega} + \frac{A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)} \sin (2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)}{q^2 d\Omega} \right) \left( \frac{q^2 d\Omega}{q^2 d\Omega} - \frac{q^2 d\Omega}{q^2 d\Omega} \right)$$



• Sign-change of T-odd Sivers and Boer-Mulders TMD PDFs;

• Multiple access to Collins FF  $H_{1a}^{\perp h}$  and pion Boer-Mulders PDF  $h_{1,\pi}^{\perp q}$ 14 November 2023

#### Single-polarized DY measureme

counts (rescaled)



#### HM events are in the valence quark range



ents at COMPASS  

$$\frac{d\sigma^{LO}}{dq^4 d\Omega} \propto F_U^1 (1 + \cos^2 \theta_{CS})$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 + \left[ \sum_{\sin^2 \theta_{CS}} A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} \cos 2\varphi_{CS} \right] \\ + S_L \sin^2 \theta_{CS} A_L^{\sin 2\varphi_{CS}} \sin 2\varphi_{CS} \right] \\ + S_T \left[ A_T^{\sin \varphi_S} \sin \varphi_S \right] \\ + D_{\left[\sin^2 \theta_{CS}\right]} \left( A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S)} \sin \left(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S\right) \right] \\ + A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)} \sin \left(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S\right) \right] \end{cases}$$

 $4.3 < M/(GeV/c^2) < 8.5$  "High mass" range Beyond charmonium region, background < 3%Valence region  $\rightarrow$  largest asymmetries



14 November 2023

# COMPASS 2022 run: SPS/COMPASS efficiencies



SPS efficiency: ~ 73% Spectrometer efficiency: ~ 90% Physics data collection efficiency: ~ 75%

• SPS efficiency: ~73% (82% in 2010)



### COMPASS 2022 run: delivered/collected spills



OMPASS

# COMPASS 2022 run: protons delivered by SPS



- COMPASS request in the approved proposal: 6.1×10<sup>18</sup>
- Total number of protons delivered on T6: ~5.95×10<sup>18</sup> (98%) in about 150 days
- To be compared to  $\sim 5.1 \times 10^{18}$  in about 162 days in 2010



- Overall very good performance of all detector systems and DAQ
  - No critical problems on the spectrometer side; high data collection efficiency
  - Increased DAQ capacities thanks to the optimizations carried out during the run
  - New monitoring tools to guarantee fast detection of detector problems
- After disastrous 2021...





### Highlights on the 2022-Run preparations







DC04 (Saclay)

- Broken wire blocking the operation of Y-plane
- Repaired within just two weeks
- Noise on some of the planes (grounding issue)
- Further investigated during Year-End Technical Stop (YETS)
   DC05 (Illinois)
- Similar broken wire problem blocking one of the views
- Repaired during YETS
- Repaired within just two weeks

#### MWPCs (Torino)

- In general, fully operational
- Some noise problems:
  - aging of Al-Mylar windows (bad electrical connection)
  - PB05 station refurbished during YETS
- New iFTDC-based FE (Compatible with streaming readout)
- Installed/tested on one plane during 2021 run
- One station (PA05) fully equipped for 2022 run
- Spared 'old' FE cards used for the other stations in 2022 RICH-wall (Torino)
- Fully refurbished, installed and commissioned in June-2021
- Various frontend problems fixed: operated during 2021 run
- Further adjustments during YETS and comissioning-2022



# Trigger hodoscopes: 2021-2022 repairs





#### LAST trigger (H01)

- Inefficient central slabs in 2015-2018
  - New central slabs: scintillators, air light guides, discriminators
  - All slabs checked and repaired if necessary
  - Positive impact with online monitoring; to be verified in the analysis stage

#### Outer trigger (HO3-HO4)

- Inefficient slabs in 2015-2018
  - New PMTs were delivered with a huge delay
  - Part of the PMTs installed during commissioning
  - Remaining PMTs were installed during the run
  - Clear improvements seen with online monitoring





-100

100

x(HO03Y1 m)(cm)



- Overall very good performance of all detector systems and DAQ
  - No critical problems on the spectrometer side; high data collection efficiency
  - Increased DAQ capacities thanks to the optimizations carried out during the run
  - New monitoring tools to guarantee fast detection of detector problems



25 years 1997 - 2022