



#### A Quantum Description of Wave Dark Matter

w/ Dhong Yeon Cheong & Lian-Tao Wang



NICK RODD | Axions 2024 | 27 April 2024

## Motivation

# Establish a more rigorous description of wave DM and the wave-particle boundary



## Outline

#### 1. What is the density matrix of dark matter?

#### 2. A rigorous definition of the coherence time

#### 3. A single calculation across the wave-particle boundary



Part I

## The Density Matrix of Dark Matter

Recall, coherent states defined by  $\hat{a} | \alpha \rangle = \alpha | \alpha \rangle$  are complete (but not orthogonal), so can decompose density matrix as

$$\hat{\rho} = \int d^2 \alpha P(\alpha) \, | \, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha \, |$$

Glauber-Sudarshan *P* [Glauber 1963], [Sudarshan 1963]



Recall, coherent states defined by  $\hat{a} | \alpha \rangle = \alpha | \alpha \rangle$  are complete (but not orthogonal), so can decompose density matrix as

$$\hat{\rho} = \int d^2 \alpha P(\alpha) \, | \, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha \, |$$

Glauber-Sudarshan *P* [Glauber 1963], [Sudarshan 1963]

Properties of  $P(\alpha)$ :

$$\hat{\rho}^{\dagger} = \hat{\rho} \Rightarrow P(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}$$
  
Tr $[\hat{\rho}] = 1 \Rightarrow \int d^2 \alpha P(\alpha) = 1$ 



Recall, coherent states defined by  $\hat{a} | \alpha \rangle = \alpha | \alpha \rangle$  are complete (but not orthogonal), so can decompose density matrix as

$$\hat{\rho} = \int d^2 \alpha P(\alpha) \, | \, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha \, |$$

Glauber-Sudarshan *P* [Glauber 1963], [Sudarshan 1963]

Properties of  $P(\alpha)$ :

$$\hat{\rho}^{\dagger} = \hat{\rho} \Rightarrow P(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}$$
  
 $\operatorname{Tr}[\hat{\rho}] = 1 \Rightarrow \int d^2 \alpha P(\alpha) = 1$ 

**NB:**  $P(\alpha)$  is not a probability distribution,  $P(\alpha) < 0$  allowed



[Glauber 1963]:  $P(\alpha)$  obeys the central limit theorem So generally expect (e.g. thermal radiation) that

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d^{2} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{1}{\pi \langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \exp\left[ -\frac{|\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2}}{\langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \right] \right) |\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} | P_{(\alpha)} = \delta^{(2)}(\alpha - \beta)$$
e of the field
$$P(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}})$$



k: moc

[Glauber 1963]:  $P(\alpha)$  obeys the central limit theorem So generally expect (e.g. thermal radiation) that

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d^2 \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\pi \langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \exp\left[-\frac{|\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{\langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}\right]\right)}_{P(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}})} |\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}|$$

 $\langle N_{\bf k} \rangle$  is the mean occupation of the mode, specified by

$$\langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \frac{\text{density of particles}}{\text{density of states}}$$



[Glauber 1963]:  $P(\alpha)$  obeys the central limit theorem So generally expect (e.g. thermal radiation) that

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d^2 \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\pi \langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \exp\left[-\frac{|\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{\langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}\right]\right)}_{P(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}})} |\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}|$$

 $\langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$  is the mean occupation of the mode, specified by

$$\langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \frac{\text{density of particles}}{\text{density of states}} \simeq \frac{(2\pi\hbar)^3}{g_s} \bar{n} p(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \text{for local DM}$$
  
Axion:  $g_s = 1$   
Dark photon:  $g_s = 3$ 

[Glauber 1963]:  $P(\alpha)$  obeys the central limit theorem So generally expect (e.g. thermal radiation) that

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d^2 \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\pi \langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle} \exp\left[-\frac{|\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{\langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}\right]\right)}_{P(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}})} |\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}|$$

 $\langle N_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \simeq \bar{n} \times V_{\text{coherence}} \simeq \#$  of indistinguishable particles

Defines wave-particle boundary (given  $\rho_{\rm DM}$  etc) Axions:  $m \simeq 14.4~{\rm eV}$ Dark photons:  $m \simeq 11.0~{\rm eV}$ 



Let's determine the implications for a scalar field

$$\hat{\phi}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik\cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{ik\cdot x} \right)$$



Let's determine the implications for a scalar field

$$\hat{\phi}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik\cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{ik\cdot x} \right)$$

As usual, 
$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \, \hat{\mathcal{O}}]$$
, but if  $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 0$ , set  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\dagger)} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\ast)}$   $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$ 



Let's determine the implications for a scalar field

$$\hat{\phi}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik\cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{ik\cdot x} \right)$$

As usual, 
$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \, \hat{\mathcal{O}}]$$
, but if  $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 0$ , set  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\dagger)} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\ast)}$  in parts  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V \omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \text{Re}\left[\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x}\right]$ 

with  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$  drawn from a Gaussian distribution,  $P(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}})$ 



**≠** (

#### **DENSITY MATRIX Scalar Field Statistics**

Let's determine the implications for a scalar field

$$\hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{ik \cdot x} \right)$$

As usual, 
$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle = \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \, \hat{\mathcal{O}}]$$
, but if  $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 0$ , set  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\dagger)} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{(*)}$    
 $\Rightarrow \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x}\right] \sim \cos(mt)$ 

For a single mode

with  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$  drawn from a Gaussian distribution,  $P(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}})$ 



Let's determine the implications for a scalar field

$$\hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \left( \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{ik \cdot x} \right)$$

As usual, 
$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \, \hat{\mathcal{O}}]$$
, but if  $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 0$ , set  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\dagger)} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{(*)}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} \operatorname{Re} \left[\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x}\right] \sim \cos(mt)$$
For a single mode

with  $\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}$  drawn from a Gaussian distribution,  $P(\alpha_{\mathbf{k}})$ 

 $\Rightarrow \phi$  is a Gaussian random field, with

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \rangle = 0 \& \langle \phi^2(t, \mathbf{x}) \rangle \simeq \frac{\rho}{m^2}$$

Also  $\partial_t \phi \sim \operatorname{Im}[\alpha]$  is an independent Gaussian random field

 $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] \neq 0$ 

in part

#### DENSITY MATRIX $P(\alpha)$ Experimentally Testable

Key assumption: Gaussian  $P(\alpha)$ 

May not be true, e.g. coherent state or Bose-Einstein Condensate

BEC: e.g. [Sikivie, Yang 2009] [Erken, Sikivie, Tam, Yang 2012]

Could resolve with experiment (post discovery of DM): look for non-Gaussianities in the fluctuations of  $\phi$ 



Part II

## The Coherence Time

#### COHERENCE TIME Autocorrelation function

Having understood  $\langle \phi^n(t, \mathbf{x}) \rangle$ , natural to next consider

 $\Gamma(\tau, \mathbf{d}) = \langle \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \phi(t + \tau, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) \rangle$ 

Assume stationary/homogeneous  $\Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$  independent of  $(t, \mathbf{x})$ 

Intuition: how much does knowledge of the field at one point tell you about it at another?

#### COHERENCE TIME Autocorrelation function

Having understood  $\langle \phi^n(t, \mathbf{x}) \rangle$ , natural to next consider

 $\Gamma(\tau, \mathbf{d}) = \langle \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \phi(t + \tau, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) \rangle$ 

Assume stationary/homogeneous  $\Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$  independent of  $(t, \mathbf{x})$ 

If stationary<sup>\*</sup> can derive (with  $\mathbf{d} = 0$ )

 $\Gamma(\tau) = \frac{\rho}{\bar{\omega}} \int d\omega \, \frac{p(\omega)}{\omega} \cos(\omega\tau)$ 

\*Need a slightly stronger version to show this
Also have results for d ≠ 0
Cf. [Derevianko 2018]

#### COHERENCE TIME Autocorrelation function

Having understood  $\langle \phi^n(t, \mathbf{x}) \rangle$ , natural to next consider

 $\Gamma(\tau, \mathbf{d}) = \langle \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \phi(t + \tau, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) \rangle$ 

Assume stationary/homogeneous  $\Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$  independent of  $(t, \mathbf{x})$ 

If stationary<sup>\*</sup> can derive (with  $\mathbf{d} = 0$ )

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \frac{\rho}{\bar{\omega}} \int d\omega \, \frac{p(\omega)}{\omega} \cos(\omega\tau)$$

\*Need a slightly stronger version to show this
Also have results for d ≠ 0
Cf. [Derevianko 2018]

For DM, 
$$\omega \simeq m + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$
, with v set by e.g.  

$$f(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}v_0^3}e^{-(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{v}_\odot)^2/v_0^2}$$
tandard Halo  
Model



## Autocorrelation function

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \frac{\rho}{\bar{\omega}} \int d\omega \, \frac{p(\omega)}{\omega} \cos(\omega \tau)$$



## Autocorrelation function

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \frac{\rho}{\bar{\omega}} \int d\omega \, \frac{p(\omega)}{\omega} \cos(\omega\tau)$$



Define: 
$$\tau_c = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left| \frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\Gamma(0)} \right|^2$$

Common def. in quantum optics, e.g. [Mandel & Wolf, "Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics"] Cf. [Masia-Roig+ 2023]



Define: 
$$\tau_c = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left| \frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\Gamma(0)} \right|^2$$

Common def. in quantum optics, e.g. [Mandel & Wolf, "Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics"] Cf. [Masia-Roig+ 2023]

Example 1: 
$$\Gamma(\tau) = Ae^{-|\tau|/\bar{\tau}_c}$$
, find  $\tau_c = \bar{\tau}_c$ 



Define: 
$$\tau_c = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left| \frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\Gamma(0)} \right|^2$$

Common def. in quantum optics, e.g. [Mandel & Wolf, "Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics"] Cf. [Masia-Roig+ 2023]

**Example 1:** 
$$\Gamma(\tau) = Ae^{-|\tau|/\bar{\tau}_c}$$
, find  $\tau_c = \bar{\tau}_c$ 

Example 2: DM with the SHM

$$\tau_{c} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \mathrm{Erf}\left[\sqrt{2}v_{\odot}/v_{0}\right]}{mv_{0}v_{\odot}} \left(1 + \frac{3v_{0}^{2}}{4} - \frac{v_{0}v_{\odot}e^{-2v_{\odot}^{2}/v_{0}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \mathrm{Erf}\left[\sqrt{2}v_{\odot}/v_{0}\right]} + \mathcal{O}(v^{4})\right)$$



Define: 
$$\tau_c = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left| \frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\Gamma(0)} \right|^2$$

Common def. in quantum optics, e.g. [Mandel & Wolf, "Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics"] Cf. [Masia-Roig+ 2023]

**Example 1:** 
$$\Gamma(\tau) = Ae^{-|\tau|/\bar{\tau}_c}$$
, find  $\tau_c = \bar{\tau}_c$ 

Example 2: DM with the SHM

$$\tau_{c} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \mathrm{Erf}\left[\sqrt{2}v_{\odot}/v_{0}\right]}{mv_{0}v_{\odot}} \left(1 + \frac{3v_{0}^{2}}{4} - \frac{v_{0}v_{\odot}e^{-2v_{\odot}^{2}/v_{0}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \mathrm{Erf}\left[\sqrt{2}v_{\odot}/v_{0}\right]} + \mathcal{O}(v^{4})\right)$$

irrelevant

But it is a precisely defined concept



Define: 
$$\tau_c = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left| \frac{\Gamma(\tau)}{\Gamma(0)} \right|^2$$

Common def. in quantum optics, e.g. [Mandel & Wolf, "Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics"] Cf. [Masia-Roig+ 2023]

**Example 1:** 
$$\Gamma(\tau) = Ae^{-|\tau|/\bar{\tau}_c}$$
, find  $\tau_c = \bar{\tau}_c$ 

Example 2: DM with the SHM

$$\tau_{c} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \operatorname{Erf}\left[\sqrt{2}v_{\odot}/v_{0}\right]}{mv_{0}v_{\odot}} \left(1 + \frac{3v_{0}^{2}}{4} - \frac{v_{0}v_{\odot}e^{-2v_{\odot}^{2}/v_{0}^{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \operatorname{Erf}\left[\sqrt{2}v_{\odot}/v_{0}\right]} + \mathcal{O}(v^{4})\right)$$
  
irrelevant  
$$\simeq 2.8 \text{ s}\left(\frac{1 \text{ neV}}{m}\right)$$
But it is a precisely defined concept



## Frequency Domain

By the Wiener-Khinchin theorem,

$$S(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \, \Gamma(\tau) e^{i\omega\tau}$$



## Frequency Domain

By the Wiener-Khinchin theorem,

$$S(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \, \Gamma(\tau) e^{i\omega\tau}$$

Can use to show that,

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \frac{\rho}{\bar{\omega}} \int d\omega \, \frac{p(\omega)}{\omega} \cos(\omega\tau) \quad \Rightarrow \quad S(\omega) = \frac{\pi\rho}{\bar{\omega}} \frac{p(\omega)}{\omega}$$
Cf. [Dror, Murayama, NLR 2021



## Frequency Domain

By the Wiener-Khinchin theorem,

$$S(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \, \Gamma(\tau) e^{i\omega\tau}$$

Can use to show that,

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \frac{\rho}{\bar{\omega}} \int d\omega \, \frac{p(\omega)}{\omega} \cos(\omega\tau) \quad \Rightarrow \quad S(\omega) = \frac{\pi\rho}{\bar{\omega}} \frac{p(\omega)}{\omega}$$

Cf. [Dror, Murayama, NLR 2021]

Further, width of  $S(\omega)$  is  $\Delta \omega = 1/\tau_c$ 

Intuition:  $\tau_c$  measures how long  $\phi(t) = \phi_0 \cos(mt)$  is a good approximation See also [Dror, Gori, Leedom, NLR 2023] Part III

## Wave-Particle Boundary

So far  $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] \simeq 0$  (justify by  $N \gg 1$ )

Now  $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 1$ , but for simplicity take a single mode ( $\omega = m$ )

**Question:** what is the energy in a box of volume  $V_c$ ?



Similar result holds for calculation in a finite physical volume



Rewrite Gaussian  $\hat{\rho}$  in the number basis

$$\hat{\rho} = \int d^2 \alpha \, \frac{e^{-|\alpha|^2/\langle N \rangle}}{\pi \langle N \rangle} \, |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha |$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \langle N \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\langle N \rangle}{1 + \langle N \rangle} \right)^k |k\rangle \langle k |$$
Here  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , not wavevector!

Can use to show Tr[ $\hat{\rho}^2$ ] =  $(1 + 2\langle N \rangle)^{-1}$ 



Rewrite Gaussian  $\hat{\rho}$  in the number basis

$$\hat{\rho} = \int d^2 \alpha \, \frac{e^{-|\alpha|^2/\langle N \rangle}}{\pi \langle N \rangle} \, |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha |$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \langle N \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\langle N \rangle}{1 + \langle N \rangle} \right)^k |k\rangle \langle k |$$
Here  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , not wavevector!

Can use to show Tr[ $\hat{\rho}^2$ ] =  $(1 + 2\langle N \rangle)^{-1}$ 

Probability of seeing k quanta in 
$$V_c$$
 is
$$n(k) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\langle N \rangle}{N}\right)^k$$

$$p(k) = \frac{1}{1 + \langle N \rangle} \left( \frac{\langle N \rangle}{1 + \langle N \rangle} \right)$$

For a single mode:  $E = m \times k$ , so we can just study k

The mean and standard deviation of *k*:

$$\mu_{k} = \langle k \rangle = \langle N \rangle$$
$$\sigma_{k}^{2} = \langle k^{2} \rangle - \langle k \rangle^{2} = \langle N \rangle (1 + \langle N \rangle)$$



The mean and standard deviation of *k*:

$$\mu_{k} = \langle k \rangle = \langle N \rangle$$
$$\sigma_{k}^{2} = \langle k^{2} \rangle - \langle k \rangle^{2} = \langle N \rangle (1 + \langle N \rangle)$$





Holds for all higher moments



The mean and standard deviation of k:  $\mu_k = \langle k \rangle = \langle N \rangle$  $\sigma_k^2 = \langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle^2 = \langle N \rangle (1 + \langle N \rangle)$ For  $\langle N \rangle \gg 1$ ,  $\sigma_k^2 = \mu_k^2$ For  $\langle N \rangle \ll 1$ ,  $\sigma_k^2 = \mu_k$ Exponentially distributed Poisson distributed

Holds for all higher moments



The mean and standard deviation of *k*:

$$\mu_{k} = \langle k \rangle = \langle N \rangle$$
  
$$\sigma_{k}^{2} = \langle k^{2} \rangle - \langle k \rangle^{2} = \langle N \rangle (1 + \langle N \rangle)$$

For  $\langle N \rangle \sim 1$  neither Poisson nor exponential



### Conclusion

The quantum approach opens a path to a rigorous description of wave dark matter

#### **Open questions:**

- Determine the exact  $P(\alpha)$  of DM
- Interface with experiment (quantum measurement theory)
- Resolve the distribution of polarizations for dark photons
- **o** ...

