# A responsibility based model

EDG CA Managers Meeting June 13, 2003

#### **Trusts**

- Authentication requires the following trusts:
  - Identity issued is unique
  - Identity is issued to the appropriate entity
  - Identity Signing Key is well protected
  - Compromised identities are revoked
  - Entity asserting the identity is authorized to hold the secret
  - Proxy has not been stolen

+

- Authentication token has not been forged or stolen

# Managing the Risks

The Pool of involved parties will grow, certainly in the near term, as the Grid grows. How will we manage the risks?

- Reduce the threat
  - Improve private key management
- Reduce the impact of misuse
  - Restricted network connections
  - Validated executables
  - Throttled bandwidth
- Reduce the liability
  - Assign responsibilities clearly
  - Provide means for calling to task

### Scenario

- A Grid job is submitted to a multiuser machine which contains a root escalation attack which takes all proxies from the attacked machines and copies all key files (private and public) from available user home areas.
- Who does what now?

### Walk through the responsibilities

#### Cleanup requires:

- Analysis of how the job was submitted and closing the hole.
  - Rogue user?
  - Exploit of application hole on target resource ?
  - Stolen user identity?
  - Stolen proxy?
  - Hacked submitting machine?
- Replacement of hacked machine's credentials
  - Pretty clearly responsibility of machine owner
- Replacement of all stolen user credentials
- Alert (?) of compromised proxies
  - This may be minimized by checks in code that proxies are used by the machine to which they have been delegated.

## Walk through the responsibilities

- Identity Issued is Unique
  - Discovered by overlapping namespaces or duplicate identities
  - Resolution left to CAs and enforced by signing policies used by relying parties
- Identity is issued to the appropriate entity
  - How discovered ?
  - Resolved by CAs invalidating misissued credentials (and issuing correct replacements)
- Identity Signing Key is well protected
  - Discovered by report of unauthorized use of Signing Key or discovery of compromised storage
  - Fixed by CA (how and what standards?)
- Compromised identities are revoked
  - Compromises have to be reported (by whom to whom and how ?)
  - How to tell if a revocation has not happened?
  - Fixed by CAs updating revocation lists
- Entity asserting the identity is authorized to hold the secret
  - Discovered by finding the secret exposed or in possession of unauthorized party
  - Resolution requires interaction with user and certificate issuer. Fixed by
- Proxy has not been stolen
  - Discovered by finding machine compromises or misused proxies.
  - Fixed by custodian of proxy fixing the access hole.