


Tilecal response to pions and protons: testbeam data and Geant3/Geant4 simulation

C. Alexa (CERN & IFIN)
S. Dita, S. Constantinescu (IFIN)



1998 test-beam data and Geant3

Comparative analysis of the ATLAS Tile hadronic calorimeter response to pions and protons, ATL-TILECAL-2001-005:

- a higher response and a better linearity for pions
- a better resolution for protons





2002 test beam setup





- 2002 test beam data (no weighted data)
 - En: 50, 100, 180 GeV
 - η : 0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.55, 0.65
- geant 3: G-Calor
 - En: 50, 80, 100, 180 GeV
 - η : 0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.55, 0.65
- geant 4: version 333 and FADS/Goofy 111
 - En: 50, 80, 100, 180 GeV
 - η : 0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.55, 0.65


π/p ratio E_{beam} dependence




π/p ratio E_{beam} dependence




π/p ratio η dependence



π/p ratio η dependence





π/p ratio η dependence



resolution


pions

protons




resolution

pions





protons



resolution


pions

protons




resolution

pions




protons





resolution

pions





protons






2002 setup



1995 setup



summary and conclusions

π/p ratio:

- better in geant3
- geant4 ratio is greater (1.0%-2.0%) than test-beam uncorrected data

π and p resolution:

- better in geant4, both stochastic and constant terms
- geant3 has a very low constant term