The LHCb Computing Model LCG Internal Review Philippe Charpentier



LHCb In numbers

- Number of events per year
 - 2 10° events, only a few 10° of interest for physics (~25 channels)
 - 3 levels of trigger: L0 (hardware), L1 & HLT (fully software, running on the online selection farm)

Outline:

DC'04 plans

Conclusions

• Computing Roadmap

Software components

LHCb Computing Organisation

- Independent of the running year (only low luminosity needed)
- Event sizes
 - Raw data: 30-50 kbytes, DST: 100 kbytes
 - Larger for MC data (MC-truth)
- Processing performance
 - Currently
 - * Simulation/digitisation: 17.6 (min.bias) / 52 (signal) kSI2k.s
 - * Reconstruction: 12.4 kSI2k.s (15s on PIV2.4Ghz)
 - Expected improvement for reconstruction:
 - $_{\rm 3^{\circ}}$ 1-2s on 2007 machine (factor 8 to 10 compared to now...)



3











LHCP Plans for 2004

- DC'04 first aim: provide information for the Computing TP/TDR (June 2005)
 - Emphasis put on Analysis (production has been demonstrated already)
 - Needed to trigger Distributed Analysis: provide more/better quality data to physicists

Event type	Generation	Simulation	LO/L1 reduction	Reprocessing	Time (CPU hours)	
Minimum bias	150+11 M	150 + 11 M	0,27% + 100%	0.4 + 11 M	2003333 + 238333	
B generic	50 + 4 M	50 + 4 M	100,0%	50 + 4 M	2333334 + 171111	
B signal	30 + 0.5 M	30 + 0.5 M	100,0%	30 + 0.5 M	2133334 + 35556	
Totals	245.5 M	245.5 M		95.9 M		
				6 915 001		
				Total CPU (SPECint2k * hours)	2 766 000 400	
PhC, 17/11/2003			HCb Computing mode	9		

LHCD Plans for DC'04

• Most urgent need:

- File catalogue
 - * ARDA file catalogue considered for analysis
 - ✤ For data produced on LCG, files would be duplicated (or replicated) on ARDA file catalogue
 - ⇒ Decision to be taken in December (backup AliEn)
- Current developments
 - Dirac new functionalities
 - \Rightarrow Adapted to re-processing, more flexible workflow definition
 - 👒 Grid service compliant
 - Analysis: Ganga
 - \star User wizard for distributed analysis job submission
 - $_{\ast}$ Interactive analysis being investigated (besides classic Ntuple/ROOT)

PhC, 17/11/2003



11

LHCD DC'04 storage needs

(Preliminary numbers, fresh from last week...)

				Version Min.		i. bias Generi		
Event type	oodigi	oodst				500		
Minimum	232 +	99 +	OODIC	OODIGI (Boole - Digi+MCHits+MCParticles)				
Dias	25 000 +	10 000 +	Version	Min. bias	Triggered bias	l min.	B decay	
B generic	1 833	733		160		580	500	
B signal	15 000 + 250	6 000 + 100	oodst	dst(Brunel - MCParticles, Reco, MCTruth relations)				
Total	48 695	19 644	Version	Min. bias	Triggered min bia	as	B dec:	
				68		247	2	

10



LHCB Analysis model

- Tried out for the 2003 analysis (Trigger and re-optimisation TDRs), to be improved for DC'04
- Production of DSTs for min. bias, signal samples, bb inclusive background
- Physics group provide (released) software for event preselection (rejection factor ~500)
- Event selection performed as a central "production-like" task
 - Selected streams produced
 - Stripped DSTs produced contain < 100 kevents, hence manageable even on a laptop!
 - Selection eventually replaced by HLT selection

PhC, 17/11/2003

LHCb Computing model

Computing in 2007 and beyond

- First pass processing on the Online selection farm
 - Should only use ~20% of the farm capacity (2s @ 200 Hz for 2000 CPUs)
- LCHb plans to use the Online farm for re-processing outside data taking periods (as well as for MC simulation at low priority)
- Needs in terms of MC: to be defined, not clear picture now
- Analysis
 - Plan to use Online streaming of data
 - Small datasets for each analysis team, distributed to Tier2/3
 - Full datasets (real and MC) on Tier1's

Analysis model (cont'd)

- Full DSTs distributed to all Tier1 centres
 - Re-running stripping in a distributed way
- Stripped DSTs distributed to only selected centres (including Tier2, served by their Tier1)
 - To enforce "distributed" analysis (avoid users take the "easy" route!)
 - Need a file catalogue and data management tools
- Use GANGA as a user analysis wizard
 - Used by selected users in May '04
 - Available to the collaboration in July '04
 - Analysis going on until 2005

PhC, 17/11/2003

LHCb Computing model

LHCB Conclusions

- LHCb is certainly less demanding in 2007, nevertheless quite demanding now (for production) in order to prepare the Computing TP/TDR
 - HLT performance assessment (strategy and CPU)
- Analysis model much lighter (multiple exclusive channels with "easy" pre-selection
- LHCb very actively preparing for DC'04
 - First priority on Computing
 - Aim at Distributed Analysis (2nd half '04)
 - No point using software not on the roadmap towards 2007
 If not possible, time-scale for TP/TDR should be re-evaluated

13

14