



## Databases in CMS

## Conditions DB workshop

8<sup>th</sup>/9<sup>th</sup> December 2003 Frank Glege





- We will have 4 kinds of databases in CMS:
  - Construction databases
    - Holds all information about the sub detector construction up to the start of integration
  - Equipment management database
    - Holds all information to physically set up the detector and is used for asset tracking
  - Configuration database
    - Holds all information required to bring the detector in any running mode
  - Conditions database
    - Holds all information needed for event reconstruction





- Sub detector specific
- Sub detector responsibility
- Already existing for most sub detectors.
- Have to be available for the lifetime of CMS
- Data: construction info, initial calibration data, work flow info, etc.
- Data will partially be copied into the 3 other DBs.



- Common for all CMS
- Set up by CMS integration, data entered and maintained by sub detectors
- Partially existing
- Data: detector and electronics parts, cables, racks, crates, location history of all items, etc...
- User interface existing (rack wizard) to enter required information.
- Needs time stamping.
- Few 100MB of live data





- Sub detector specific parts on front end electronics configuration/calibration, combined DAQ, Trigger and DCS info.
- Set up by corresponding groups
- Very few parts existing
- Data: see above
- Interface to online software framework existing
- First prototype of interface to DCS existing
- Needs time stamping, versioning and tagging.
- Several tens of MB of live data.





- Data: all parameters describing the run conditions needed for:
  - offline reconstruction (minor part of conditions data).
  - error tracking in the online system
- DB implementation should be relational.
- Tools for data maintenance provided by LCG?







CMS







- Most of the conditions data is not used for offline reconstruction
- Most of the conditions data stays at the experiment
- Conditions data is the logging of the online system
- Conditions data will be used for error tracking in the online system
- Conditions data will be related to
  - Other conditions data
  - Event quality monitoring
  - Configuration data

. . .





- Conditions database shall be relational
- Tools/features of RDB shall be used as much as possible
- Conditions DB data management tools should be provided by LCG (many provided anyhow by RDBMS or existing for RDBs)
- Conditions data handling tools should be provided by LCG. Ideally generic enough to be used with configuration DB (many existing for RDBs)





- The conditions DB API definition should
  - Reflect the need for time stamping, tagging and versioning
  - Allow for a *high performance* implementation
  - Be independent of the DB implementation

## BUT

- Is a classical API the way to go? (needs multiple implementations, etc.)
- Do alternatives exist? (web services, etc.)



- CMS has no experience with the current API implementations.
- Assuming a RDB implementation with intrinsic meta data handling and data management functionality
  - Will the actual implementation be less work than the adaptation of the reconstruction framework and the persistent storage system to the API?





- Ideally we would have a conditions DB prototype for DC04
- More realistically we should have conditions DB prototypes for next years test beams
- Even more realistically we should have one for our slice test end of 2004
- Indispensable: we have to have the conditions DB somewhere in 2005





- CMS would need 2 to 3 people more to work on databases
- Current manpower situation:
  - -2 FTE at 10%
  - 1 FTE at 20%
  - -1 FTE 100% (will leave soon)

≻~0.5 FTE





- The conditions DB will be used by on- and offline
- DB implementation should be relational
- Logistics for conditions DB should be provided by LCG
- Existing tools should be used as much as possible
- Man power situation in CMS is very difficult