



Deployment Review Issues

Ian Bird

PEB

2 December 2003



Organisational Issues



- Experiments and LCG are in client-server mode
 - Must move towards a **collaboration** of LCG and 4 experiments
 - This will be the key to the success of the project
- Need for a technical meeting to complement the GDB
- Need for a grid security officer.



Testbeds



- The importance of a stable LCG testbed
 - The experiments will have to use experience gained during 2004 for the creation of the TDR's in early 2005. This means that a stable LCG implementation needs to be in place across Tier 0, 1 and 2 centres for most of 2004.
 - Tier-2 less well defined
- The multiple use of the same testbed causes problems with too little time for each test
 - We recommend that a specific small “validation testbed” is created (at CERN) with the specific purpose to let the experiments test their software before official LCG releases.
- The Tier-1 centres have had many problems with the installation of LCG-1. This shows that there are issues related to the installation and configuration that were not caught during the test phase. The LCG area/task should pay closer attention to this issue.



Deployment Issues



- The effort on easing the installation and reducing/clarifying its dependencies should be strengthened.
 - An area of concern. Some important improvements for LCG-2 are planned:
 - Easy installation outside LCFGng
 - Experiment-driven installation of experiment-specific s/w.
 - We applaud/support these plans and eagerly await their completeness
 - The Tier-1 experience presentation demonstrated that there are many shortfalls in the current deployment. Many of them are real, others seem to be due to insufficient communication. Given that a perceived problem is almost as bad as a real problem:
 - We recommend that closer links between the relevant actors be put in place. Current GDB meetings do not include “the troops” – perhaps a regular (monthly/bi-monthly) meeting of a technical nature would help.
 - Particular attention should be paid to the issue of newcomers (and synchronizing them to the old and established practices).



Support



- Lacking authorization for phase 2 of LCG, the long-term support of software packages developed in EDG and LCG is a concern
 - This needs to be addressed in 2004, well before the end of phase 1
- In the future there will be different fabrics
 - Remember this when sealing the RedHat deal
 - Others likely to use SVR-Linux instead



Summary



- Organisational
 - Technical meetings at system manager/administrator level
 - Security Officer
 - Make regional centres a collaboration
- Installation
 - Get away from complex dependencies
 - Get away from reliance on LCFGng –
 - Need simple installations, basic configuration tools
 - Need better site certification testing
- Support
 - Provide support for existing packages
 - Provide support for (some) new platforms
 - Dependencies(!)
- These last 2 require:
 - Focused effort to move to LCG build system/CVS etc;
 - Work on breaking dependencies on OS, other packages etc.