Torino, 14/04/2004

# Soft-Collinear Effective Theory: overview and applications

Enrico Lunghi (University of Zurich)

E.L., D. Pirjol, D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. B649 (2003) 349, [hep-ph/0210091] A. Hardmeier, E.L., D. Pirjol, D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. B, [hep-ph/0307171] energetic particles (  $Q\!\gg\!\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$  )

★ DIS, Drell-Yan, Jet production, …

QCD-improved factorisation (BBNS) [Beneke, Buchalla, Neubert, Sachrajda]

Proof of factorisation requires the analysis of IR divergencies of Feynman diagrams order by order in perturbation theory

Tools: Identification of the Regions & Threshold Expansion

Assuming tools are correct, one can systemise BBNS using an EFT approach:

Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)

[Bauer, Fleming, Luke, Pirjol, Stewart; Beneke, Chapovsky, Diehl, Feldmann; Hill, Neubert]

# Basic ideaThe relevant scales are: $m_W^2$ $m_b^2$ $\Lambda_{oCD}$ $\Lambda_{oCD}^2$ perturbative<br/>(integrate out)perturbative<br/>non-perturbative

\* If we supplement the integration of perturbative modes with an expansion in  $\Lambda_{_{QCD}}/m_{_b}$ (built in in T.E.) we obtain (not always) amplitudes factorised in terms of simple objects (Form Factors and Light-cone wave functions)

$$m_{W} \gg m_{b} : A(B \rightarrow X) = \langle X | H_{eff} | B \rangle = \sum_{i} \underbrace{C_{i}(\mu_{b})}_{SD} \langle X | O_{i}(\mu_{b}) | B \rangle$$

 $m_b \gg \Lambda_{_{QCD}}$  : scales are of the same order of the external momenta



Wilson coefficients

Jet functions

Form Factors Wave functions

$$\begin{split} m_b &\gg \sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b \gg \Lambda_{QCD} \\ &\bigstar \text{ The scales } m_b^2 \text{ and } \Lambda_{QCD} \, m_b \text{ are perturbative:} \\ &\langle X | O(\mu_b) | B \rangle = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle + O(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{m_b}) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle + O(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{m_b}) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \ast \langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}) | B \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle \\ & = C(\sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}} \, m_b) \, J(\Lambda_{QCD}) \, \delta \rangle$$

WC's Jet functions Form Factors Wave functions

Power Corrections !!

 $m_{L}$ 

The effective theory apporach (SCET) is as <u>rigorous</u> as the standard effective hamiltonian approach

• The  $\Lambda_{OCD}/m_b$  expansion is <u>necessary</u> in order to be able to write the effective theory at all

• For some decays the matrix elements  $\langle X | \overline{O}(\Lambda_{OCD}) | B \rangle$  are expressed in terms of light-cone wave functions and/or obey symmetry relations

### **Basic kinematics**

Energetic light particles in B decays move close to the light cone:

- the pion in  $B \rightarrow D\pi$
- the mesons in  $B \rightarrow (K^{(*)}, \rho) \gamma$
- the  $X_s$  system close to the photon energy endpoint in  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$
- the spectator quark struck by the photon in  $B \rightarrow \gamma e \bar{\nu}$

We use light-cone coordinates: n=(1,0,0,1),  $\bar{n}=(1,0,0,-1)$ 

$$p^{\mu} = \bar{n} \cdot p \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + n \cdot p \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} \equiv p^{-} \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + p^{+} \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} = (p^{-}, p^{+}, p_{\perp})$$

$$p^{2} = p^{-} p^{+} - p_{\perp}^{2}$$

$$p = Q(1, \lambda^{2}, \lambda) \text{ with } Q \sim O(m_{b}) \text{ and } \lambda \ll 1$$
soft quarks struck by photons:  $p = (Q, \Lambda_{QCD}, \sqrt{\Lambda_{QCD}Q}) \Rightarrow \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{m_{b}}}$ 
quarks inside light mesons:  $p = (Q, \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^{2}}{Q}, \Lambda_{QCD}) \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{m_{b}}$ 

#### The modes

Coleman-Norton theorem: singularities correspond to propagation of modes on mass-shell

Independent fields describe soft and collinear fluctuations of quarks and gluons

hard 
$$p_h = (Q, Q, Q)$$
  $p_h^2 = Q^2$  } perturbative
 hard-collinear  $(\Xi, A_{hc})$   $p_{hc} = (Q, \Lambda, \sqrt{\Lambda Q})$   $p_{hc}^2 = \Lambda Q$ 
 soft  $(q_s, A_s)$   $p_s = (\Lambda, \Lambda, \Lambda)$   $p_s^2 = \Lambda^2$  non-perturbative
 collinear  $(\xi, A_c)$   $p_c = (Q, \Lambda^2/Q, \Lambda)$   $p_c^2 = \Lambda^2$  }  $(Q \sim m_h, \Lambda = \Lambda_{QCD})$ 

\*hard-collinear modes can and, therefore, have to be integrated out

this cannot be done exactly and one needs to use perturbation theory: they produce the so-called jet-functions

★ in inclusive processes they are present as external states (e.g.  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ ) but, using dispersion relations, they appear again as internal lines.

#### Decoupling of soft gluons

\* Coupling of soft gluons to collinear quarks and gluons vanish in the light cone gauge



**t** is possible to remove them from the leading order lagrangian by field redefinitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{n,p} &\to Y[n \cdot A_s] \, \xi_{n,p}^{(0)} \\ A_{n,q} &\to Y[n \cdot A_s] \, A_{n,q}^{(0)} \, Y^+[n \cdot A_s] \end{aligned} \qquad \text{with} \quad Y[n \cdot A_s] = P \exp\left[i \, g \int_{-\infty}^x d \, \lambda \, n \cdot A_s(\lambda \, n_\mu)\right] \end{aligned}$$

All ultrasoft effects are moved into external operators:

$$L_{c}^{0}[\xi_{n,p}, A_{n,a}, A_{s}] = L_{c}^{0}[\xi_{n,p}^{(0)}, A_{n,a}^{(0)}, 0]$$

#### Processes without mesons in the final state

\* Since there are no mesons in the final state, we need only SCETI

★ Up to power corrections we have:

$$\begin{split} A(B \to f) &= C_{_W} \left\langle f \left| O_{_{OCD}} \right| B \right\rangle \to C_{_W} C_{_b} \left\langle f \left| O_{_{SCET}} \right| B \right\rangle = C_{_W} C_{_b} \left\langle f \left| O_{_{SCET}} \right| B \right\rangle \\ & \blacklozenge \end{split} \\ \begin{aligned} \text{decoupling of soft gluons} \end{split}$$

 $C_{_W}$  and  $C_{_b}$  are Wilson coefficients that encode contributions from the scales  $m_{_W}^2$  and  $m_{_h}^2$ 

★ If the SCET<sub>1</sub> operator, after the decoupling of soft gluons, factorises:

 $A(B \to f) \to C_W C_b \langle f | O_C^{(0)} O_S^{(0)} | B \rangle = C_W C_b \langle f | O_C^{(0)} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | O_S^{(0)} | B \rangle$  $= C_W C_b J_C * \phi_B + O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_b)$ 

where  $J_{c}$  and  $\phi_{B}$  encode contributions from the scales  $\Lambda_{_{QCD}}m_{_{b}}$  and  $\Lambda_{_{OCD}}^{^{2}}$ 

#### Processes with mesons in the final state

- ★ Matching between SCET<sub>I</sub> and SCET<sub>II</sub>:  $O_{SCET_I} \rightarrow O_{SCET_{II}}$
- ★ Off-shell external states and dimensional regularization <u>do not</u> regularize all the IR divergences. This results in the <u>appearence of end-point singularities</u> in the convolution of hard scattering kernels and light cone wave functions.
  - soft-collinear (messanger) mode [Becher, Hill, Neubert]
  - analytic IR regulator [Beneke, Feldmann]
  - IR regulator at the lagrangian level [Bauer, Dorsten, Salem]
- Matching of the SM onto SCET<sub>1</sub>
- Naive matching of SCET<sub>I</sub> onto SCET<sub>II</sub>
- If, for a given operator, end-point singularities appear, the second step of the matching is affected by the details of the IR regulators and the operator leads to a non-factorizable contribution (e.g. soft form factor)
- Predictivity is preserved if one can show that the matrix elements of the nonfactorizable SCET<sub>I</sub> operator obey symmetry relations.
- ★ Care is required if on-shell charm quarks appear! (e.g. charming penguins)

$$\langle M | O_{SCET_{n}}^{(0)} | B \rangle = \langle M | O_{C}^{(0)} O_{S}^{(0)} | B \rangle = \langle M | O_{C}^{(0)} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | O_{S}^{(0)} | B \rangle$$

Need not to be Light-Cone Wave Functions!

Let us assume that  $O_C^{(0)}$  contains only 2 collinear quarks

- ★ Write down the most general operators involving 2 collinear quarks at leading and subleading order in  $\Lambda_{_{QCD}}/m_{_b}$
- ★ Their matrix element between the vacuum and a pseudo-scalar or vector meson are given in terms of Light-Cone Wave Functions of twist-2 and twist-3.
- Whenever twist-3 wave functions are present, it essential to include contributions from higher fock states:
  - numerical impact ~ 10% 20%
  - important for exact cancellations (Ward identity checks, etc.)

$$B \to \gamma \, e \, \overline{\nu}$$

• The simpler decay  $B \rightarrow e \overline{v}$  is chiral suppressed

- This chiral suppression can be avoided allowing for a final state photon
- The effective Hamiltonian arises at tree level in the SM:

 $H_{\rm eff} = 4 G_F / \sqrt{2} V_{ub} (\overline{u}_L \gamma^{\mu} b_L) (\overline{e}_L \gamma_{\mu} \nu_L)$ 



 $\star$  Sensitive to  $V_{ub}$ 

Not expected to receive large New Physics contributions (e.g. from SUSY)
 Gives valuable pieces of information on the B meson wave function

#### Factorisation in $B \rightarrow \gamma e \nu$ : results

Analysis at all orders in  $\alpha_s$  and at leading order in  $\Lambda_{QCD}/E_{\gamma}$  $\Lambda_{QCD} \ll E_{\gamma}^c < E_{\gamma} < \frac{m_B}{2}$ 

■Equality of the form factors:  $f_V(E_\gamma) = f_A(E_\gamma)$ 

Factorization: form factors as a 1-dimensional convolution of perturbative hard scattering kernels and the B meson light cone wave function:

$$f(E_{\gamma}) = \int d\xi T(E_{\gamma},\xi) \phi_{B}(\xi) = C(E_{\gamma}) \int d\xi J(E_{\gamma},\xi) \phi_{B}(\xi)$$

[Descotes-Genon, Sachrajda: proof at the 1-loop level] [Lunghi, Pirjol, Wyler; Bosch, Hill, Lange, Neubert: all order proof]

## Relevance for QCD

\*Use other  $V_{ub}$  determinations to extract informations on the B meson wave function

★The tree-level amplitude is proportional to  $\lambda_b^{-1} = \int \phi_B(\xi)/\xi$ The same parameter enter many other B decays:  $B \rightarrow (K^* e \nu, \rho e \nu, K^* \gamma, \rho \gamma, \pi \pi, KK, K \pi, ...)$ 

★At 1-loop order the convolution integral involve other logarithmic moments of the B meson wave function: the size of the effect depends on the shape of the wave function itself

The decays  $B \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  and  $B \rightarrow \gamma e e$  depend on exactly the same convolution integral at all order in perturbation theory

The ratios  $\Gamma(B \to \gamma \gamma)/\Gamma(B \to \gamma e \nu)$  and  $\Gamma(B \to \gamma e e)/\Gamma(B \to \gamma e \nu)$  are free of hadronic uncertainties up to  $\Lambda_{_{OCD}}/E_{\gamma}$  corrections

$$B \to \gamma \gamma \& B \to \gamma e e$$

FCNC processes: the effective Hamiltonian arises at the loop level in the SM:

$$\begin{split} H_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{4 \, G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left( V_{tb} V_{td}^* \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i O_i + V_{ub} V_{ud}^* \sum_{i=1}^{2} C_i O_i^u \right) \\ O_2 &= \overline{d}_L \gamma^\mu c_L \, \overline{c}_L \gamma_\mu b_L \qquad \qquad O_9 = \overline{d}_L \gamma^\mu b_L \, \overline{e} \, \gamma_\mu e \\ O_7 &= \frac{e}{16 \, \pi^2} \, m_b \, \overline{d}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} b_R \, F_{\mu\nu} \qquad \qquad O_{10} = \overline{d}_L \gamma^\mu b_L \, \overline{e} \, \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 e \\ O_8 &= \frac{g_s}{16 \, \pi^2} \, m_b \, \overline{d}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} b_r \, G_{\mu\nu}^a \end{split}$$

**\*** Same effective Hamiltonian as for  $b \rightarrow d \gamma$  and  $b \rightarrow d e e$ 

\* Strong sensitivity to new physics

★ Traditional approach: only O<sub>7</sub>

$$\langle \gamma \gamma | O_{\gamma} | B \rangle \propto \langle \gamma | \overline{d} \sigma^{\mu \nu} b | B \rangle \rightarrow g_{+}(E_{\gamma}), g_{-}(E_{\gamma}), g_{0}(E_{\gamma})$$

★Both photons are energetic and we can apply the effective theory approach

the matrix elements of O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>8</sub> are proportional to the O<sub>7</sub> one up to power corrections:
 [Descotes-Genon, Sachrajda: proof at order α<sub>s</sub>]

$$\langle \gamma \gamma | O_{2,8} | B \rangle = (**) \langle \gamma \gamma | O_7 | B \rangle + O(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{m_h})$$
  
 $m_h^2$  fluctuations (perturbative)

#### Factorization of the form factors

[Lunghi, Pirjol, Wyler; Descotes-Genon, Sachrajda]

$$g_{A}(E_{\gamma}) = \int d\xi T_{A}(E_{\gamma},\xi) \phi_{B}(\xi) = C_{A}(E_{\gamma}) \underbrace{\int d\xi J(E_{\gamma},\xi) \phi_{B}(\xi)}_{\text{same convolution as in } b \to \gamma e \nu}$$

• Explicit form of the symmetry breaking corrections at order  $\alpha_s$ 

$$\frac{g_{+}(E_{\gamma})}{f_{V}(E_{\gamma})} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q_{d}}{Q_{u}} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{4\pi} \frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\gamma} - m_{b}/2} \log \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{m_{b}} \right) + o(\alpha_{s}^{2})$$
$$g_{-}(E_{\gamma}) = -g_{+}(E_{\gamma}) + o(\alpha_{s}^{2})$$
$$g_{0}(E_{\gamma}) = 0 + o(\alpha_{s}^{2})$$

 $B \rightarrow \gamma e e$ 



★ New feature: leading order long distance  $c \overline{c}$  rescattering  $(J/\psi, \psi', ...)$ Cuts in the dilepton mass spectrum (same as in  $b \rightarrow s e e$ )

Iow-s region: the photon energy is large and the SCET approach is feasible

high-s region: the photon is soft and other methods have to be used [heavy quark symmetry, ...]

$$A(B \to \gamma e e) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha_e}{\pi} V_{tb} V_{td}^* \left[ (C_9^{\text{eff}} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e + C_{10} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 e) \underbrace{\langle \gamma | \bar{d}_L \gamma_{\mu} b_L | B \rangle}_{\langle \gamma | \bar{d}_L \gamma_{\mu} b_L | B \rangle} -2 C_7^{\text{eff}} \frac{m_b}{q^2} q^{\nu} \underbrace{\langle \gamma | \bar{d}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} b_L | B \rangle}_{g_+, g_-, g_0} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \right]$$

• The ratios  $\gamma ee/\gamma ev$  and  $\gamma ee/\gamma \gamma$  are free of hadronic uncertainties up to power corrections

### Conclusions and outlook

\* New approach to the analysis of infrared divergences in QCD

- \* It allows to beyond the BBNS approach:
  - color suppressed decays
  - somewhat different factorization formulae
- \* Many proofs of factorization are already complete  $(B \rightarrow D\pi, B \rightarrow \gamma e \nu, B \rightarrow X_s \gamma, B \rightarrow \pi \pi, B \rightarrow K \pi ...)$

\* Phenomenological analysis has been worked out for  $B \rightarrow \gamma$  transitions

#### ★ Will have strong impact on jet physics