Beam Induced Backgrounds at TESLA for Different Mask Geometries with and w/o a 2\*10 mrad Crossing Angle

Karsten Büßer



LCWS 2004 Paris April 19<sup>th</sup> 2004



#### Problems



- Mask tips are inside the tracking system
- LAT has a conical surface
- Envisaged precision in the luminosity measurement using Bhabha scattering ( $\Delta L/L \approx 10^{-4}$ ) will be extremely challenging
- Quadrupoles are inside the detector solenoid

#### Challenges

- New optical design with I\*>4m
- Crossing angle or not ?

#### First Try

- Try to find for a minimal I\* a mask design with a flat LAT geometry (in the head-on collision scheme)
- Extend this design to crossing angle geometries



#### New Mask Design



Advantages

- Flat LAT geometry
- LumiCal is behind ECAL, no scattering of particles off the LumiCal edge into the ECAL
- Mask moved out of the tracking system
- Vacuum situation much better

#### Questions

- How is the background situation ? (this talk)
- How is the performance of the LumiCal/BeamCal ?









100 pair particles from beamstrahlung in BRAHMS (GEANT3 based full detector MC)





# New Mask Design (I\*=4.05 m), Head-On Collisions



100 pair particles from beamstrahlung in BRAHMS (GEANT3 based full detector MC)





# New Mask Design (I\*=4.05 m), 2\*10 mrad X-Angle



100 pair particles from beamstrahlung in BRAHMS (GEANT3 based full detector MC)

## **Background Sources**



- Beam induced backgrounds have been studied in detail for the TESLA TDR
- For this study only pairs from beamstrahlung have been taken into account so far
- Pairs were generated with GUINEA-PIG
- **GEANT3 based full detector MC BRAHMS**
- Statistics based on 100 bunch crossings (BX) for ideal beam parameters



#### Energy Deposition per 1 BX in the Forward Region



- Examples here for I\*=4.05 m
- Beampipe radius: 1.2 cm for incoming and outgoing beam
  - Energy deposition is twice as large in the x-angle case

























Hits in VTX are dominated by direct hits from pairs with larger p\_t

## **3D Hits in the TPC**



TDR geometries (I\*=3.00 m), plot shows hits from 100 BX overlaid Average: 1383.2 ± 534.0 3D-Hits per 1 BX



## **3D Hits in the TPC**



Head-on collisions (I\*=4.05 m), plot shows hits from 100 BX overlaid Average: 2264.0 ± 651.8 3D-Hits per 1 BX



## **3D Hits in the TPC**



X-Angle collisions (1\*=4.05 m), plot shows hits from 100 BX overlaid Average: 5117.9 ± 1021.8 3D-Hits per 1 BX



Hits in the Si Tracking Devices



### Silicon Intermediate Tracker SIT

Forward Tracking Disks FTD



## Asymmetric Backgrounds



Background distributions get asymmetric in the crossing angle geometry. Example: Forward Chambers (behind TPC endplate):



Hits from 100 BX on all layers of the forward chambers at +z.



## Asymmetric Backgrounds

For comparison: hit distribution for head-on collsions (I\*=4.05 m):



Hits from 100 BX on all layers of the forward chambers at +z.



# Azimuthal hit distributions in the Vertex Detector



- Distributions for x-angle geometries show a peak of hits in the horizontal plane
  - Peak is just visible in Layers 2 and 3 (maybe also in 4).



Also visible on the Forward Tracking Disks

Disk No 1 (closest to IP)



Backgrounds from 100 BX overlaid

X-Angle (I\*=4.05 m)

Head-on (I\*=4.05 m)

Disk No 2





Backgrounds from 100 BX overlaid

X-Angle (I\*=4.05 m)

Head-on (l\*=4.05 m)

TESLA

TESLA

Disk No 3





Head-on (l\*=4.05 m)

Backgrounds from 100 BX overlaid

X-Angle (I\*=4.05 m)

Hot Spots Origin







For x-angle geometries, a large amount of backscattering comes from the region of the beam exit hole.







hole, are focused by the solenoidal magnetic field and drift back to create the hot Low energetic charged particles, created in the hot spot around the beam exit zones in the VTX layers and on the FTDs.

TESLA	cs (l*=3.00 m) works, but has shortcomings w.r.t. e mask calorimeters	<ul> <li>4.05 m has been proposed to be used for head-on</li> </ul>	have no influence on direct hits from pair particles In the VTX), but have significant influence on backscattered particles (as in the TPC)	e new design are larger than in TDR geometry. Illy understood, possible explanations:	rial in front of BeamCal (5 cm graphite instead of 10 cm in	radius (1.2 cm) at larger z results in smaller minimal ess pairs escape through the beampipe, so more energy he BeamCal and produces backscattering	n not yet optimised to reduce backscattering
Conclusions	<ul> <li>Mask for TDR opti performance of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New design for l* 2</li> <li>or x-angle collision</li> </ul>	Mask geometries h with large p_t (as i background from t	Backgrounds in the Reasons not yet fu	<ul> <li>Less low-z mate TDR geometry)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Same beampipe polar angles → I is deposited on t</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New Mask desig</li> </ul>

Conclusions (cont.) and Outlook	TESLA
<ul> <li>Backgrounds from backscattering in crossing angle geon</li> <li>about a factor of 2 larger than in the head-on case</li> <li>but mask design is not yet optimised to reduce backscattering</li> <li>produce asymmetric azimuthal distributions</li> <li>produce hot spots in the Vertex Detector and the Forward 1</li> </ul>	e geometries are attering rward Tracking Disks
<ul> <li>All backgrounds so far studied are still on tolerable levels</li> <li>X-Angle imposes the largest challenges to the detector to</li> </ul>	e levels ector tolerances
<ul> <li>To Do:</li> <li>Optimisation of the new mask design to reduce backscat head-on and x-angle geometries</li> </ul>	ckscattering in both
<ul> <li>Other background sources have to be studied:</li> <li>radiative Bhabhas (Bremsstrahlung)</li> <li>Neutrons</li> <li>Synchrotron Radiation</li> </ul>	