LCWS04, Paris

## Scintillator Tile Hadronic Calorimeter Prototype (analog or semidigital)

M.Danilov ITEP(Moscow)

**CALICE** Collaboration

# Outline

- Granularity required for Particle Flow Method
- Silicon Photomultiplier (SiPM)
- Optimization of Tile Fiber System
- Experience with MINICAL production (108 channels)
- Preparation of Physics Prototype
- Conclusions

### LC Physics goals require $\Delta E_J / \sqrt{E_J} \sim 30\%$



This can be achieved with Particle Flow Method (PFM): Use calorimeter only for measurement of K,n, and γ Substitute charged track showers with measurements in tracker

LC detector architecture is based on PFM, which is tested mainly with MC

**Experimental tests of PFM are extremely important** We are building now a prototype of scintillator tile calorimeter to test PFM

## **Tile Size Optimization**

Separability of showers as main criteria for optimization



Intrinsic property of PPT independent from clustering algorithm

## **Shower Reconstruction/Separation**

(A.Raspereza)

- Shower reconstruction based on clustering algorithm from Vassily Morgunov (Clustering makes no use of TPC-information)
- Idea : associate clusters into showers by topological reconstruction of shower tree
- First look : situation of two showers initiated by  $\pi^+$  and  $K^0_L$  is simulated (no ECAL)
- Partial use of tracker information : cluster with the starting point closest to the  $\pi^+$  track intersection with HCAL front face is used as seed for charged shower
- Algorithm is tested for three options of tile size and readout scheme :

o  $3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2 \times 1$  layer o  $5 \times 5 \text{ cm}^2 \times 1$  layer o  $3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2 \times 2$  layer



 Algorithm is optimized separately for each of these options

### **Shower Reconstruction/Separation**



#### **Shower Reconstruction/Separation**



Shower separation quality is defined as fraction of events in which the energy of neutral shower is consistent with the nominal energy within  $3\sigma$  of reconstructed neutral shower energy distribution in the absence of accompanying showers

Separation can be further improved by optimization of algorithm

**Prototype geometries** 

#### 3,6,12 cm tiles for flexibility



	Layers		
Geometry ID	Section 1	Section 2	Number of tiles
G1	1 - 30	31 - 39	7905
G2	1 - 26	27 - 39	7605
G3	1 - 20	21 - 39	7155

## SiPM main characteristics



≻Pixel size ~20-30µm

. . .

Electrical inter-pixel cross-talk minimized by:

- decoupling quenching resistor for each pixel
- boundaries between pixels to decouple them
- reduction of sensitive area and geometrical efficiency

• Optical inter-pixel cross -talk:

-due to photons from Geiger discharge initiated by one electron and collected on adjacent pixel

> Working point:  $V_{Bias} = V_{breakdown} + \Delta V \sim 50-60 V$  $\Delta V \sim 3V$  above breakdown voltage

Each pixel behaves as a Geiger counter with  $Q_{pixel} = \Delta V C_{pixel}$ with  $C_{pixel} \sim 50 \text{fmF} \rightarrow Q_{pixel} \sim 150 \text{fm}C = 10^{6} \text{e}$ 

Dynamic range ~ number of pixels (1024) saturation

## SiPM Spectral Efficiency

Depletion region is very small ~ 2µm →strong electric field (2-3) 10<sup>5</sup> V/cm →carrier drift velocity ~ 10<sup>7</sup> cm/s →very short Geiger discharge development < 500 ps →pixel recovery time = (C<sub>pixel</sub> R<sub>pixel</sub>) ~ 20 ns



WLS fiber emission

#### Photon detection efficiency (PDE):

- for SiPM the QE (~90%) is multiplied by Geiger efficiency (~60%) and by geometrical efficiency (sensitive/total area ~30%)

highest efficiency for green light
 important when using with WLS fibers

Temperature and voltage dependence: -1 °C → +3% in Gain \* PDE +0.15 V → +3% in Gain \* PDE



### SiPM signal saturation due to finite number of SiPM pixels



#### calibration curve: SiPM signal vs energy deposited:

Very fast pixel recovery time ~ 20ns

For large signals each pixel fires about 2 times during pulse from tile

## **SiPM Noise**





1p.e. noise rate ~2MHz. threshold 3.5p.e. ~10kHz threshold 6p.e. ~1kHz

Optimization of operating voltage is subject of R&D at the moment.

Comparison of the SiPM characteristics in magnetic field of B=OTand B=4T (very prelimenary, DESY March 2004)



#### LED signal ~150 pixels

No Magnetic Field dependence at 1% level

(Experimental data accuracy)

## Long term stability of SiPM

20 SiPMs worked during 1500 hours

Parameters under control:

One pixel gain
Efficiency of light registration
Cross-talk
Dark rate
Dark current
Saturation curve
Breakdown voltage
No changes within experimental errors

5 SiPM were tested 24 hours at increased temperatures of 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, and 90 degrees

No changes within experimental accuracy

### **Light Yield from Tiles with Circular WLS Fibers**

(Y11 MC 1mm fiber, Vladimir Scintillator, mated sides, 3M foil on top and bottom ) Reduction near tile edges is due to finite size of a  $\beta$  source



Sufficient uniformity for a hadron calorimeter even for large tiles Can be further improved if required Sufficient light yield of 17, 28, 21 pixels/mip for 12x12, 6x6, and 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> tiles (quarter of a circle fiber in case of 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> tile)

### **Experience with a small (108ch) prototype (MINICAL)**



#### Light Yield from Minical tiles (5x5x0.5cm<sup>3</sup>)



Good reproducibility after transportation from Moscow to Hamburg

#### **Cross-talk measurement**

- Conditions: 50 mm tiles with mated edges,  $\beta$  source, 2mm collimator.
- Red points: 3M film on top and bottom of both tiles; blue points: black paper instead of 3M for tile 1.
- Right picture: details of top and bottom of the left one.
- Conclusion: Cross talk <1%



SiPMs will be tested and calibrated with LED before installation into tiles (noise, amplification, efficiency, response curve, x-talk)



Scheme of test bench for SiPM selection at ITEP

Tiles will be tested with a triggered  $\beta$  source and LED before installation into cassette Test bench for tile tests at ITEP



All tiles in the cassette will be tested before transportation to DESY Final tests and commissioning with FE electronics and DAQ will be done at DESY



## Absorber and Support Structure



#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Particle Flow Method requires high granularity especially longitudinally

Scintillator tiles with WLS fiber light collection and SiPM mounted directly on tiles can be used to build highly segmented hadronic calorimeter, which can be used in analog or semidigital mode

Tests of 108 channel prototype (MINICAL) demonstrated effectiveness and robustness of this technique

Seven thousand channel calorimeter prototype with tiles in the core as small as 3x3 cm<sup>2</sup> is being constructed now. It will be ready for tests next year.

Hcal prototype together with Ecal prototype will allow to to test experimentally the Particle Flow Method

#### Scintillator strips with WLS fiber and SiPM readout can be used for muon system and shower position detectors in electromagnetic calorimeters

Scan of Strip Using Cosmics Setup at ITEP



Center of strip, N pixels (peak) = 9.7 from each side

#### Scan of Strip Using Cosmics Setup at ITEP Light yield was corrected for cosmics angular distribution and interpixel cross talk in SiPM

Poisson mean for MIP at normal incidence for a strip 200x2.5x1cm<sup>3</sup>



Large number of p.e. leads to high efficiency >99.9 Technique for a compact, efficient and simple in operation muon detector

# Emission Spectrum of Y11 WLS Fiber

Measured at distances 10cm, 30cm, 100cm and 300cm from source.





## Amplitude Dependence on

SiPM k394. Регистрация света от LED L53SYC (λ=595nm, t\_=10нс, t\_=100нс, ус-ль - LeCroy 612AM, k\_=20). Изменение сигнала от в зависимости от температуры при фиксированном напряжении. Напряжение выбиралось при 20°C из условия: k\_\_\_==10°. Затем температура понижалась до 10°C и проводились измерения через каждые 5 градусов

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)