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Regional Operations Centre (ROC) Managers' Weekly Meeting - Project Week 12
Minutes v0.1 Alistair, 23 June 2004
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The agenda is at the following address: http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a042290
12.01 Time of meeting
12.02 Attendance
12.03 Quarterly report (Alistair Mills)
12.04 Execution plan (Alistair Mills)
12.05 AAM
12.06 Time sheets
12.07 CIC status
12.08 Round the regions
12.09 Work breakdown structure (Alistair Mills)
12.11 AOB
12.12 Actions
12.13 Conclusion
12.01 Time of meeting
The meeting was scheduled at start at 10:00.
12.02 Attendance
*
01 CERN Alistair Mills (CERN - secretary), Ian Bird, Nick Thackray
02 Central Aleksander Kusznir (CYRFRONET)
0 3 ~ F r a n c e ~ R o l f ~ R u m l e r ~ ( I N 2 P 3 ) , ~ P i e r r e ~ G i r a r d ~ ( I N 2 P 3 ) ,
0 4 \text { Germany Holger Marten (FZK), Peer Hasselmeyer (FZK)}
05 Italy Cristina Vistoli (INFN - chairperson), Luciano Gaido (INFN)
0 6 ~ U K ~ D a v e ~ K a n t ~ ( R A L ) , ~ J o h n ~ W a l s h ~ ( T C D ) ,
0 7 \text { Northern Jules Wolfrat (SARA)}
0 8 \text { Southeast Ognjen Prnjat (GRNET)}
0 9 \text { Southwest Andreu Pacheco Pages (PIC)}
10 Russia
    -
Apologies
0 7 \text { Northern Per Oster (KTH)}
12.03 Quarterly report
----------------------
Alistair has drafted a quarterly report for the PO and it is attached to the agenda page. It is
intended to use a very similar form for each report, and to keep the amount of information which has
to be provided by the ROCs to a very small amount. Section 5 contains four parameters called a, b, x
and y. ROCs are asked to provide reasonable estimates of these numbers. In the case of the people
numbers (x and y) these should be available from the PO derived from the timesheets. For the first
quarterly report the PO may not be able to provide these. If ROCs can provide a reasonable
approximation this will be useful.
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63
64
65
stair asked that people notify them of their vacation plans for the next two months, and he would consolidate them and put them on the agenda page for next week. He said that we would send an e-mail and invite people to make changes to it and return it to him. It should not take more than a couple of minutes to complete.

Alistair was asked to publish the ROC plans to EDMS so that the others can read them.
[ They are available at the following URL: https://edms.cern.ch/document/479460]
Alistair was asked to provide commentary on each of the plans and to send the commentary to the
(has discussion about how user support is to be handled and it was recognized that there is a deadlock, in that the ROC managers are waiting on the German led task force to report, and the German led task force is waiting on action from the ROC group. Peer agreed that he would write a short plan which would unblock this deadlock. It was agreed that this would either go into the execution plan, or that the execution plan would stated that this would be done. NA1 (Bob Jones). Ian said that he would provide clarification about the services being offered by SA1 for use in the
quarterly report and in the execution plan. However he said that the complete plan for the services would evolve over time, and not be finalised in the execution plan.

## Ian clarified that ROCs may use EGEE funds to operate a CA.

There was talk about the PMA CA system, and the involvement of EGEE. Responsibility for this lies with JRA3.
12.06 Time sheets

Alistair referred to his notes from the AAM-2 and in particular:
69 Clarification about the purpose of the timesheets - from Bob!

- Payment is linked to the timesheets

How it will work in the future:

- The workflow of collectors and authorizers will be refined
- There will be a clear audit trail of who has authorized what payments
[Several people have thanked me for expressing my dissatisfaction over this in a constructive manner!]

Bob also promised that the PO will provide content for section 7 of the quarterly report, using the timesheet information.
12.07 Operations of the CIC

An agenda page has been created for this meeting at the following URL:
http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a04273
The mailing list project-eu-egee-cic-managers@cern.ch will be used for discussions.
Nick reported that the first meeting will be Tuesday 22 June.
12.08 Round the regions

France
Rolf reported that clermont is installing additional hardware. He also reported trouble with a shell script. This script is affecting the BioMed Vo. Pierre is working on this problem.

Italy
Cristina reported a problem with the RB for BioMed, and people in Italy are having trouble with this. Cristina also reported setting up arrangements for the summer vacation. Cristina also reported running work for Astrophysics.

Northern
Jules (SARA) reported that they were adding resources to the grid in Belgium and that they were having a meeting in Belgium. He reported having trouble with the root certificate of the Belgium CA not being part of the standard distribution of root certificates.

UK
Dave reported that there had been a very considerable upgrade at RAL with the installation of the Tier 1 Centre, with 500 dual Xeon processors and 120TB of disk. would enhance the monitoring of grid status via the GOC. Dave said that he would send a URL for this. Alistair said that he would put Dave in contact with Genevieve in France about the monitoring work of JRA2.
12.05 AAM-2
The agenda including the presentation given by Cristina for this meeting is at the following location:
http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a042415
Alistair had put a note about the points which he noted during the meeting on the agenda for the
present meeting at
http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a042290

Ian clarified that France (CNRS) operates a catch-all CA which will deal with anyone who cannot get a certificate from a more local source. This information will be in the execution plan.

```
- Partners must be auditible by the EU, some will find this easy, others very difficult
- The PO knows about \(80 \%\) of the people working on EGEE. Without the timesheets, it would have been lower
```
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in the process of upgrading to LCG-2 and setting up a transactional system to do this. This was proving more time consuming than had been expected. They are also adding hardware to the grid and testing it.

145
146resource centre have 10 machines to participate was making things difficult for the small sites inBulgaria and Romania. He reported activity on the SEE web site and on their helpdesk. named contact be associated with each item in the WBS, and that there be two numbers of "Effort funded in PM" and "Effort Unfunded in PM" for each task. Alistair reported that he had assigned names to each task, and start and end dates. It was noted that there was an error in the end date for the operation tasks, and Alistair agreed to send a revised spreadsheet. Alistair asked that each named person acknowledge their responsibility and provide to two effort numbers. This has to be completed by Friday 25/06/04. meeting. He said that he was going to a meeting with SA2 and would appreciate contributions from the ROCs. People with comments should contact Jules directly.
12.11 AOB

There was discussion about the following matters:

- Planning for deliverables and the first EGEE review
- Next live meeting of the ROC group (ARM-2)
- The state of the SA1 web site

Alistair said that the DSA1.3 due in month 9, would have to be ready earlier. Month 9 is December, so the review of the deliverable would naturally occur in January 2005. However the first review is in February, so January 2005 will be dominated by preparation for that. Due to the christmas season, it would be realistic for DSA1.3 to start its final signoff process in early November, almost two months early. Dave Kant said that this was probably ok, and he would confirm.

Alistair said that due to the activity obligations for deliverables, and the second EGEE conference, it appeared that a suitable time for ARM-2 would be early November. People were asked to provide comments on this. The meeting would probably be in Italy and involve an overnight stay.

Rolf asked for an interpretation of the terms funded/unfunded by OMC and the
administration of the French federation of EGEE. Rolf has sent a clarification request to JRA2 (Quality).
[This is now in the hands of Anna Cook, NA1, and awaiting an answer - Ed]
John Walsh commented on the state of the activity web site. Alistair acknowledged that there was a considerable amount of work which should be done on the site, and that he was planning to make improvements in August, but not at present. There was also talk about improving the work flow management in the activity. Comments from members on these matters will be welcome.

### 12.12 Actions

NB The number of the action items is not related to the sections of this minute.
12.01 Write the minutes, circulate, integrate comments, add to agenda page Alistair - Done 12.02 Add items to the agenda page for next week
12.03 Provide copies of the current drafts of the ROC execution plans 12.04 Provide ROC content for inclusion in quarterly report by 25/6 12.05 Provide the French ROC execution plan
12.06 Send e-mail to ROC list and ask people to notify Alistair of their vacations 12.07 Send clarification about risk assessment to Aleksander in Poland 12.08 Review ROC plans received to date and send comments to the mailing list
Alistair - Done
tair - Done All Rolf Alistair - ? Alistair - Done Alistair - Done


