







# The LHC PC Rack Project

Fred Wickens
On behalf of the LHC PC-Rack Study Group
10th LECC Workshop

Boston

Sep 2004

### The LHC PC rack study group

- The LHC PC rack study group started late in 2002
- Initially was LHC PC Rack Cooling Project
- Has since studied other issues with PC racks
- Many people involved from the 4 experiments, PH-ESS and TS
  - Alice: A.Augustinus, S.Philippin.
  - Atlas: N.Elias, Y.Ermoline, J.Godlewski, O.Jonsson, B.Martin, F.Wickens.
  - CMS: A.Gaddi, F.Glege, L.Pollet, A.Racz.
  - LHCb: L.Brarda, B.Chadaj, G.Decreuse, D.Gasser, Ph.Gavillet, R.Lindner, D.Ruffinoni, Ph.Vannerem.
  - PH-ESS: P.Maley, V.Pittin, Ch.Parkman.
  - TS-CV: M.Santos.
  - TS-EL: M.Delidais, J. Pierlot, K.Kahle, A.Funken
    - Note this is a low-level activity for essentially all of the above
- We acknowledge the generous support and contributions from others from the experiments and the technical sector.


### The cooling problem

- All of the LHC Experiments will have large numbers of PCs in their Trigger/DAQ systems
- Assumption is that these will be "Rack-Mounted" servers (generally mixture of 1U and 4U)
- By late 2002 a solution of water-cooled racks was established for LHC electronics - with vertical air flow
- But PC's require horizontal (front to back) air flow
- What does CERN Computer Centre do?
  - Lots of space so machines not closely packed
  - Lots of air conditioning with high ceilings
     (so with care can obtain cooling ~2 kW/m^2)
- Looked to IT industry
  - Rapidly increasing power densities were recognised as a problem (Watts/CPU doubling in ~5 years. Density increasing at a similar rate)
  - Almost no installations yet at power density we need

## The cooling problem continued

- 1U servers are very compact, can fit 40 (or more) in a rack
- Typical dual 1U PC uses ~200W (PSU rated at ~400W), but increasing, so expect 250W (or more)
- Thus require 10-12 kW per rack
- Power density far too high for air-conditioning
- Best solution found was a water-cooled heat exchanger which could be fixed to the rear door of the rack
  - But only rated at 8 kW
  - Not initially available in Europe (made to USA specs)
- Some custom racks for this power were starting to become available - but expensive and generally much larger

- Basic layout as shown
- Rack with ~40 x 1U PC's
- Water cooled heat exchanger fitted inside the rear door



- Tests run with 30-48 PCs
- 30 single Xeon 2.4 GHz PCs
- 18 dual Xeon 2.4 GHz PCs
- Single CPU PCs used ~ 90W (at full load - ~60% if CPU idle)
- Dual CPU PCs ~170W
- Power factor typically over 90% (ratio W/KV)
- Max power in rack ~5.8 kW





 Liebert RackCooler mounted inside rack (Max 8kW)






- Rack sealed with door
  - Holes cut just round fans
- Extensive measurements made


- Measure the CPU temperature and power consumption of individual PCs and power consumption of a complete rack
  - under full load
- Measure air temperatures at various positions inside and outside the rack
- Test cooling behaviour in different failure scenarios
  - Fan failure or water flow stop
- Results described in a technical note published within each experiment
  - ALICE-INT-2004-014
  - ATLAS-DAQ-2004-9
  - CMS-IC-EN-0001
  - LHCb-2004-035 DAQ

- PC temperatures reasonably uniform over the whole rack
  - Even though rack taller than cooler
- PC's slightly cooler with RackCooler than in open rack
  - Improved air flow (~20%)
- Air temperature at outlet
   as at inlet to rack



At total load of 5.8 kW over 90% of the heat is removed by

the cooler



 Failure of the rack-cooler fans or the cooling water led to a gradual rise in temperature - but in worst case only became critical for the CPU temperatures over ~2 hours

- Following the measurements, discussed with various companies and an improved version was developed (CIAT)
- ATLAS, CMS and LHCb now have prototype racks with this cooler and ALICE plans to do so
  - Rated at ~10 kW
  - Can be mounted inside or outside rack
  - Dimensioned to fit 600 mm wide racks
  - Requires a rack of height ~2200 mm or more
  - Air is taken from the room and returned at ~ same temperature
    - I.e. not closed circuit


## **Prototype Racks**

Mounted inside a DELPHI rack for LHCb




Fred Wickens (CCLRC), LECC Workshop, Sep 2004

## **Prototype Racks**



#### **Electrical Issues - 3rd Harmonic**

- Measured for several PC's example: 1U 2.4GHz dual Xeon
- Current 1U PCs with Active pfc are not that well corrected
  - In principle can improve - but significant price
- Remove differential trip
- Reinforced neutral (phases add, do not cancel)
  - changes powerdistribution network+ main transformers



#### **Electrical Issues - continued**

- Harmonic currents:
  - rate the neutral for same current (or larger) than each phase
  - Include a circuit breaker on the neutral
  - Size the transformer accordingly i.e \*1.5-1.7
- These precautions, are not free, but may be less than special Active PFC for all PCs.
- Comparison with Bat 513 (EDMS 413142)
  - 3rd Harmonics smaller in Bat 513
    - Towers tend to have better correction (less constraint on size)
    - Greater mix of PC types
    - UPS systems help (although more on in-rush see below)
  - Experiments do not have farms on UPS too expensive
  - Currently major upgrade to power and cooling for Bat 513 (from 0.6 to 2.4 MW) - but note special harmonic transformers

#### **Electrical Issues - continued**

- In-rush current (EDMS 442180)
  - Measurements made for different PC's
  - In-rush currents of 40-80 x normal current seen for ~20 ms
    - Some supplies have much lower multiples ("Soft-Start")
- How to distribute power to ~40 PC's in a rack
  - ATLAS/CMS have 3 phases each at 16 Amps in a rack
  - LHCb has 6 strips each at 10 Amps in a rack
  - Mechanical issue 0U, where to mount
  - Simple barrette cost ~10 CHF/PC
    - Inrush current limits number of PCs per barrette
    - Use of D-Curve circuit breakers help (allow ~x10 current for 0.5s)
  - Staggered power-on cost ~40 CHF/PC
    - Sockets on a barrette turn on in groups with ~.2s delay between each group
  - Individual power-on cost ~100 CHF/PC
    - Optimal control, but uses more space, greater cost, limited number of suppliers and models (e.g. many have a 1A limit per outlet)


#### **Electrical Issues - continued**

- How to remotely reboot PCs
  - Power cycle PC at distribution units
    - But depends of granularity of control in power distribution
  - Use serial connection on PC if available
  - Boot on LAN signal

#### **Mechanical Issues**

- ATLAS/CMS propose to use industrial Server racks
  - Have flexibility to cope with PC mounting from different manufacturers
  - PC's mounted on rails supplied with PC
    - 1U PC's vary considerably in width (+- 5mm) and depth (+- 50mm)
    - Slide rails fixed to the sides, mount front and back at 19 inch centres (Standard electronics racks do not have suitable mounting points for the rear support - cf Server racks do)

Racks supplied with Cooler added to rear-door



#### **Mechanical Issues - continued**

- ALICE/LHCb will use recycled LEP racks
  - LHCb use support angle at each side of each server
    - Add custom PTFE block to match the width of PCs
  - Cooler attached to rear-door
- A rack of servers is very heavy can easily reach 700 kg
- Small peripheral switches have Ethernet ports on front -PCs have Ethernet ports at back
  - Mount back-to-front cannot see status LED's from front
  - Or bring cables from front-to-back - wastes at least 1U



#### Other Issues

- Cooling of switches
  - A lot of the PC racks include small switches
  - Some have front-to back air-flow
    - But what if switch is mounted from back of the rack?
    - Reverse the fans or what ?
  - Many have side-to-side air-flow
    - Do we need additional baffles for adequate cooling?
- Monitoring and control of rack infra-structure
  - DCS systems provides the tools
  - Overall rack power control by DCS
    - How to integrate smart power distribution ?
  - No smoke detection inside rack
  - Plan to use internal monitoring of PCs (Fans, temperatures)
  - Integration and correlation of farm fabric software and DCS

#### **Conclusions**

- A group has been working to find common solutions for the problems associated with racks of PCs at the LHC experiments.
- A solution has been found to provide ~10 kW of cooling with horizontal air-flow and flexibility to be used with various racks
- Study group (with TS) has also studied electrical and mechanical issues
- Continues to meet regularly to compare developments in the prototype farms now being established

# **Back-up Slides**

#### **Use of Blades**

- Why not blades
  - Still not mature but keeping a watch
  - High performance CPU blades are recent development
  - Currently price is more than 1U servers
  - Only clear advantage today is better power supplies and redundancy in cooling
  - For CPU intensive work power efficiency is no better
  - Density can be higher, but cannot use because of power/cooling and weight limits
- However if becomes appropriate to go to Blades
  - Uses 19 inch racks
  - Cooling is still front to back
  - Infra-structure largely unchanged

#### **Server Characteristics**

- 1U servers are very compact, can fit 40 (or more) in a rack
- Typical dual 1U PC:
  - Uses ~200W (PSU rated at ~400W), but increasing, so expect
     250W (or more)
  - Has large in-rush current (can be ~75 Amps for 20 ms) and significant 3rd Harmonic
  - Weighs 10-15 kg
  - Is cooled by horizontal air-flow, drawn in at front and blown out the back
  - Is ~60-70 cm deep, 1U high (no spare space for support guides), ~42-43 cm wide
  - Is normally supported by slide rails fixed to the sides, which mount front and back at 19 inch centres