Villars; Sept 26th 2004

R. Tschirhart, Fermilab September 26th, 2004 Villars

The Fermilab Kaon Physics Program

- KTeV status in a nutshell.
- II. The future Fermilab rare decay program.
- III. Constrasts of the CERN and Fermilab future programs.





KTeV Analyses Relevant to Pushing the Sensitivity Frontier.



- \succ K_L→ π⁰e⁺e⁻ backgrounds severe, but well understood. New techniques being discussed that require Proton Drivers.
- ≻ $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$ backgrounds possibly better, analysis near completion.
- > LFV modes $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi \mu e$, $\pi \pi \mu e$, and $\pi \rightarrow \mu e$ near final results.
- CPT Violation: Final KL charge asymmetry (δ_e) data set double that published, final analysis underway. Future CPT tests conceivable with Proton Drivers.

CKM Status and how to proceed



- CKM(E921) at Fermilab is an approved experiment to measure $Br[K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}]$ with 100 signal / <10 background in a high flux separated kaon beam at 22 GeV/c
- o P5 stops CKM Oct 2003

P5 judged "CKM to be an elegant world class experiment which based on present budgetary models should not proceed."

- Adapt to an unseparated ~45 GeV/c beam in KTeV hall **P940**
 - Demonstration of μ Megas in NA48 \rightarrow tracking in 230MHz tractable
 - Detectors other than beam tracker remain identical.
 - Vetoing photons gets easier ($E_{\pi^0} > 1 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow >7 \text{ GeV}$)
 - Accidental backgrounds?

Other Physics Measurements



o π^+ decay physics

- $\Gamma[\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu(\gamma)] / \Gamma[\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu(\gamma)]$ is calculated to 0.05% in the SM
- Helicity suppresses the dominant V-A and IB amplitudes
- $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ v \gamma$ Dalitz plot access to non V-A terms in hadronic weak current
- An excellent place to search for models like leptoquarks, multiple Higg, etc.

o Other K⁺ decay physics

- All the other K decays studies from the CKM proposal remain
 - $K_{e3}, K_{e4}, K_{\mu3}, K_{\mu4}, K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^-, K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$
 - Lepton flavor violation $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+$, etc.
 - T odd correlations in $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ \nu \gamma$
- $\Gamma[K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu(\gamma)] / \Gamma[K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu(\gamma)], K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu \gamma$ in parallel with pion decays





In-Flight Measurement of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$

- Must measure K⁺ momentum to effectively recover restframe Kinematics.
- Relatively large decay volume.
- Not possible to follow the $\pi \mu e$ decay chain.
- Decay occurs in vacuum, no low-energy K⁺A interactions, no complex energy loss mechanisms.
- Kinematics *and backgrounds* of Region-I and Region-II are similar, leads to potentially higher total acceptance.
- High energy muons and photons from Kµ2 and K π 2 are in principle easier to veto.
- Existing high performance Experiments: KTeV & NA48.

New Fermilab Technique: P940

O High Flux Un-separated 37-53 GeV/c Beam - 4% K⁺

- Proton / π^+ : 120 / 100, 230 MHz total, ~30 MHz/cm² in beam tracker. 1x1 cm² beam in decay volume.
- 10 MHz K^+ , 1.7 MHz decay in the accepted decay volume.
- 5 x10¹² 120 GeV proton /sec in slow spill from the Main Injector to produce the required K⁺ beam (17% of design intensity)
- Debunched proton beam required (~10% 53MHz ripple ok).

o Apparatus

- Decay in flight spectrometer with both velocity (RICH) and momentum (magnetic) spectrometer both both K^+ and π^+ .
- Significant requirements on photon vetoes, CsI ineff $< 1x10^{-6}$ @ high energy.
- All detector technologies used are well established
- **Redundancy** is critical to **measure** all backgrounds
- Exploit signal regions on **both** sides of the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$ background peak.

Fermilab Apparatus

o Decay in flight

o Redundant high rate detectors and veto systems.

o separated K+ beam at 22 GeV/c. Un





Villars; Sept 26th 2004

P940: Exploiting the Legacy of the KTeV Detector.

•Pure Csl Calorimeter: (Energy resolution < 1% at $\langle E_{\gamma} \rangle$ = 10GeV; π /e rejection of > 700)

•Four drift chambers: resolutions: ~100μm

Transition radiation detectors:
 (π/e rejection of > 200) [E799]

•Intense beams: 5×10^{12} protons on target per spill $\rightarrow 5 \times 10^9$ kaons/spill

•For E_K ~ 70 GeV: K_s: γβcτ ~ 3.5m K_L: γβcτ ~ 2.2 km



Villars; Sept 26th 2004

R. Tschirhart - F

RICH Based Velocity Spectrometer

- High rate high resolution
- Matched to momentum resolution
- Based on successful Selex RICH
- Photo-detectors are individual PMTs





Villars; Sept 26th 2004

R. Tschirhart - Fermilab

C K

d

S

b

The NA48 Beam Tracker: A Breakthrough in Ultra-high Rate Tracking.



Villars; Sept 26th 2004

The Recent KABES Test Beam



Fermilab and NA48/3 recently collaborated in the study of ultra-high rate tracking in a high energy unseparated beam.

Reduced gap (25 µm) KABES chambers instrumented with Flash ADCs and TDCs were studied with the high performance NA48 detector and beamline.

The new chambers functioned well at rates **x4 higher** than the Fermilab requirement of 230 MHz.





Robust Rate Performance:

Six similar pulses fit in a train of pulses corresponding to an instantaneous rate of 1- GHz per strip! (800 µm strips).



R. Tschirhart - Fermilab

Fermilab P940 Acceptance

- o Acceptance was re-evaluated for P940. Decay fraction increased $13\% \rightarrow 16.5\%$
- o PNN2 acceptance limited to 1.4x PNN1 pending more serious background studies
- Nearly identical sensitivity as CKM for same 120 GeV beam incident.



CKM	E921
30	10
0.75×10^7	$0.75 imes 10^7$
2	2
$5.8 imes 10^{13}$	2.5×10^{13}
1×10^{-10}	1×10^{-10}
-15%	-15%
$95 + \le 10$	$44 + \le 4$
$(130 + \le 40)$	$62 + \le 20$
$95 + \le 10$	$106 + \leq 24$
< 11%	< 12%
	CKM 30 0.75×10^7 2 5.8×10^{13} 1×10^{-10} -15% $95+ \le 10$ $(130+ \le 40)$ $95+ \le 10$ < 11%

Villars; Sept 26th 2004

Fermilab P940 Backgrounds Studies

Background Source	Effective l	<u>BR (x10⁻¹²)</u>
	СКМ	P940
• $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$	< 0.04	-
• $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$	3.7	~5
• $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu} \gamma$	< 0.09	-
• $K^+ A \rightarrow X K^0_L \rightarrow \pi^+ e^- \nu$	< 0.14	TBD
• $K^+A \rightarrow \pi^+X$ (trackers)	< 4.0	TBD
• $K^+A \rightarrow \pi^+X$ (gas)	< 2.1	TBD
• Accidentals (K ⁺ + beam track)	-	TBD
• Accidentals (2 K ⁺)	0.51	0.17
• TOTAL	<10.6	TBD

Fermilab Near-term Plan

• In the middle of P-940 redesign now – goals:

- Complete the unseparated beamline design for KTeV hall.
- Assess KABES feasibility in a 230 MHz beam
- Re-evaluate backgrounds from Kaon interaction in detectors
- Estimate backgrounds from non-kaon interaction accidentals
- Evaluate PNN2 cuts, acceptance and backgrounds
- Re-assess losses from deadtime, reconstruction, ...

o The Plan

- Complete the list above
- Return to Fermilab and the PAC with a vetted re-design
- Time scale of a few months yet.

Contrasting the Fermilab and CERN Approaches.

- ≻Fundamentally similar approaches.
- Fermilab requires lower total beam rate, but comparable rates/strip.
- ➢ Fermilab design currently has higher suppression of Kµ2 background.
- ➢ NA48 has an existing high-rate K⁺ beam and excellent operational spectrometer that can evolve into NA48/3.

Summary & Outlook

- The existing KTeV and NA48 experiments and their proton sources are both strong foundations for new high sensitivity K⁺ and π⁺ rare decay experiments.
- The recent breakthrough performance of the micro-megas "KABES" technology allows consideration of an unseparated beam experiment to measure $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$.
- The high efficiency of tagging high-energy muons and photons together with comparable Region-I and Region-II acceptance are large potential advantages of the highenergy in-flight technique.
- There may be a future for rare decays at Fermilab and/or CERN after all!

Extra Slides

Villars; Sept 26th 2004

P5

• The Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel (P5) reviewed BTeV, CKM and the Tevatron detector upgrades; concluding for CKM:

Evaluation – The subpanel was impressed with the excellent work of the proponents on the design of the experiment and their successful prototyping results. CKM is an elegant world-class experiment, which would be able to produce important physics results. However, the committee assigns it a lower priority than the BTeV experiment. The main reason is that BTeV has a much broader physics program at a comparable cost.

Suggestions Based on Prioritization – The present Fermilab plan calls for a similar funding profile and time-line for BTeV and CKM construction, with both starting to take data around 2009. The P5 Subpanel believes that this plan is likely to be too ambitious given the need to optimize the physics from the Tevatron Collider, as well as the desire to have BTeV completed promptly. *Based on current budgetary models, P5 does not recommend proceeding with CKM.*

Villars; Sept 26th 2004

Main Injector (120 GeV proton) particle production yields.





5x10¹² protons/pulse from the Main Injector (120 GeV) produces in 37-53GeV/c band:

10 MHz K⁺
 100 MHz π⁺
 120 MHz protons

Villars; Sept 26th 2004

Straws in Vacuum: Old Wine, New Bottle.

- Mechanical properties extensively studied. (Fermi-Pub 02-241-E)
- Prototype operating in vacuum.
- Proven Principle. Now ready for detailed engineering.



C

u

С

Photon Veto Inefficiency and Technology

o 0.3% VVS Pb-Scintillator Prototype built

o Tested at JLAB in an e⁻ beam, published in NIM soon.

o Achieved $<1x10^{-5}$ (3x10⁻⁶) veto inefficiency at 1 GeV (required 3x10⁻⁵)





Villars; Sept 26th 2004

R. Tschirhart - Fermilab



24

Simulated Fermilab Spectrometer Performance

- Missing mass resolution for $M^2_{\pi^0}$ from $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$:
- Matched resolution from momentum and velocity spectrometers
- Low non-Gaussian tails
- Uncorrelated measurements
 Backgrounds from Mis-measurements to be studied and quantified from the data
- Study needs to be redone for P940



С

S

SELEX RICH Rings Gaussian over Five Orders of Magnitiude



Main Injector Proton Economics

o We require debunched protons from the Main Injector (10% 53MHz ripple is OK).

o Separate fast (neutrino+Pbar) and slow spill Main Injector cycles make these different modes of operation independent by timesharing

• N=8 fast cycles / slow cycle gives both fast and slow spill 2/3 of the maximum available to either.

o Setting N in this model is a program planning decision.



Fermilab Changes from CKM Design

- **o** Beamline existing NM2 beamline and NM3-4 detector hall (KTeV)
 - 120 GeV proton transport estimated at 250K\$+50% (C. Brown)
 - Target station can be modified designed for required intensity
- o Kaon RICH $10 \rightarrow 12m$, radiator gas to H₂ at 1.1 atm only sees beam Kaons
 - same strawtube in vacuum design as CKM, hole for 10cm beampipe
- o Pion RICH

o DMS

- Same basic design as CKM (1atm Ne, 3000 1/2in PMTs)
- Optics modified to accommodate beampipe down the middle.

o Photon Vetoes

- 90% of photons now hit CsI $1-\epsilon \sim 3x10^{-6}$ for E>1 GeV
- VVS 5 existing Pb-scint rings from KTeV + 9 new ones of CKM design
- Photon energy threshold can be >1.5 MIP everywhere.
- o Muon Vetoes combined KTeV MVS + descoped CKM design
- **ο UMS** replace CKM MWPC's with μMegas of KABES design