



Enabling Grids for E-scienceE

SA2 and JRA4: Network Services

K. Kavoussanakis
J-P. Gautier

www.eu-egee.org



INFSO-RI-508833


- **SA2: Network Resource Provision**
 - Technical Network Liaison Committee
 - Requirements Survey & Network Services Survey
 - QoS Experiment
 - SLAs EGEE ↔ Network (Geant + NRENs)

- **JRA4: Network Services Development**
 - Bandwidth Allocation and Reservation
 - Network Performance Monitoring
 - IPv6 Uptake


Building important working relation between EGEE and the network providers (Geant + NRENs)

- **SA2 ensures EGEE access to network services provided by Geant and the NRENs to link users, resources and operational management:**
 - To go beyond existing best effort IP service to meet the needs of a production-level grid network
 - To arrange Service Level Agreements (SLAs) between EGEE and DANTE/NRENs
 - To define and implement operational procedures between EGEE and GN2.
- **Does this by managing the relationships between EGEE and Geant/NRENs:**
 - Technical Network Liaison Committee set up (MSA2.1)
 - To provide an efficient place to deal with “practical” issues of interface between NRENs and EGEE (Network SLAs, Network Services)
 - 8 members: EGEE (SA2, SA1, JRA4), Geant/NRENs (DANTE, DFN, GARR, GRNET), CERN
 - 2 meetings in Cork and Den Haag.

- **First survey of network requirements complete**
 - A SA2-JRA4 workgroup has gathered 36 requirements, mainly on QoS, Bandwidth allocation and Network Performance Monitoring
 - Common SA2/JRA4 PM6 deliverable (DSA2.1/MJRA4.2), updated PM10 <https://edms.cern.ch/document/495204/>
 - These requirements are available in Savannah.
- **First service classes identified**
 - “User oriented” service classes, not “network classical classification”,
 - These classes are applicable at the interface between the application and the middleware.



- **European network services survey, 43 NRENs concerned:**
 - Questionnaire sent to Geant/NRENs; Data extracted from the TERENA compendium



- **Situation of some EGEE sites in this context:**

EGEE sites	IPv6	Multicast	Premium IP	LBE	MPLS	Guar. BW
20 “big” RCs 8 countries	Today: 100%	Today: 100%	Today: 20% Plan: 60%	Today: 0% Plan: 35%	Today: 40% Plan: 10%	Today: 50% Plan: 0%
12 Biomed RCs 4 countries	Today: 100%	Today: 100%	Today: 42% Plan: 58%	Today: 0% Plan: 25%	Today: 42% Plan: 17%	Today: 42% Plan: 0%

- **A real network QoS use case in EGEE**
 - Application: GATE (Geant4 Application for Tomographic Emission)
 - NRENs involved: Renater, RedIris, Geant
 - Aim:
 - To have a better approach for the SLA processing
 - To get better specifications for network requirements to the middleware (JRA1/4)
 - To allow JRA4 to validate the Bandwidth and Allocation model.

- **Initial model for network service usage (MSA2.2)**
 - A mapping of the EGEE services classes to the NRENs services classes:
 - Platinum-RTI and Platinum RTS to Premium IP (PIP) service
 - Platinum-BT to the Best Effort Service or LBE service
 - No available solution for VPN Encryption and Authentication
 - For channel emulation, the service is only available in some parts of the networks.
 - A generic model for network resource management taking into account different provisioning mechanisms from GN2
 - A Service Level Specification (SLS) template which will be the basis of the technical part of SLAs.

- **SLA definition, implementation:**
 - Based on the previous work and the responses from EGEE and GN2 to some open issues (procedures, demarcation point...)
 - Definition in cooperation with GN2 (DSA2.2 for M12)
 - Implementation and revised SLAs in the 2nd year of EGEE.
- **Operational interface between EGEE and Geant/NRENs**
 - SLA agreements processing, SLA monitoring
 - Trouble Ticket system & reporting procedures.
 - Aiming for a theoretical schema approved by the partners (M12)
 - Mainly we work with the prospect of having a single user-support in EGEE (GGUS by FZK) and a single interface with GN2
 - Nevertheless we are aware that not all the NRENs will be handled by this single entry-point.
 - To implement the operational model in order to have a mature network operational interface.

- **JRA4 is standardising access to NPM across different domains and frameworks.**
 - Potentially a world first
 - GGF NM-WG recommendation is the selected basis for standardisation
- **Various implementations of monitoring tools and frameworks are available; we are not building another one.**
 - e.g. EDG::WP7
 - e.g. Geant's perfmonit, Internet-2 PiPES

- **User requirements capture documented PM6, together with SA2**
 - MJRA4.2: <https://edms.cern.ch/document/476742/>
 - Further refined, together with SA2, PM10
- **Interfaces to Network Monitoring tools and User/Middleware defined PM9**
- **Architecture defined PM9**
- **First prototype produced PM9**
 - Proves we can harness backbone and end-site tools together and demonstrates type of data available from such a tool
 - Not integrated into gLite; it is a behavioural study
 - DJRA4.2: <https://edms.cern.ch/document/533215/>
- **Currently working on enhanced prototype, due PM12 (MJRA4.3)**

- **To allow reservation of a network service between two endpoints**
 - Assuming underlying functionality from the network providers
- **For EGEE-1 the network service will be “IP-Premium”**
- **Goal is to show first programmatic interface between EGEE and Geant**

- **Interfaces to Network and to Middleware defined PM6**
 - DJRA4.1: <https://edms.cern.ch/document/501154/>
 - Refined PM10
- **Working towards a first prototype for PM11**
 - Architecture drafted
 - Prototype not to be included in gLite; this will only implement interfaces with Network Plane and with Middleware
 - Compliant with JRA1 Software Engineering recommendations
- **On course for fully functional prototype, as per MJRA4.4 and MJRA4.5, due PM15**

- **As a matter of policy EGEE wishes to help the commission to promote the uptake of IPv6**
- **Looking at lightweight activities**
- **Talking with 6NET to achieve a common purpose**
- **Awareness raising**
 - NA3 is organising IPv6 awareness-raising sessions from 6NET
 - First seminar at CERN, 22 February

- **Using GGF Network Monitoring WG (NM-WG) schemas for the definition of the standard interfaces**
 - Additionally feeding back into NM-WG with our experiences
- **NPM and BAR webservice-based**
 - Compliant with WS-I standards, as per JRA1 recommendation
 - Collaboration with JRA1 to emulate (if not adhere to) WS-Agreement behaviour for BAR
- **Collaboration with GN/GN2 across SA2 and JRA4**
 - E.g.: GN instrumented their perfmonit backbone-monitoring tool with our NM-WG based webservices interface

- **Limited network support at the middleware level**
 - Interacting with JRA1 to address this
- **The diversity of the site connectivity and the associated network services can make more complex the network user support.**
 - Aim to address this through the GGUS strategy
- **The fact that GN2 began six months after EGEE adds a difficulty for the EGEE networking activities.**
 - Collaboration so far has been good

- **No provision in the EGEE contract for the maintenance or deployment of NPM tools on the EGEE fabric.**
 - JRA4 is currently short-listing available NPM tools to be deployed on the fabric.
 - JRA4 will collaborate with JRA1 to substantiate the need and location for the deployment of these tools and also with SA1 for their deployment.
 - Deployment central aspect of MJRA4.3 prototype (due PM12)
- **WS standards moving too fast**
 - JRA4 will aim to follow JRA1's recommendations in that aspect

- **Both activities running according to plan**
- **Good progress translating application requirements to network SLAs**
- **QoS experiment valuable to both activities**
- **Aim to build on early NPM success, with enhanced prototype addressing deployment concerns**
- **Gaining from and feeding back to GGF NM-WG**
- **Building working relationship with Geant/NRENs**