



Enabling Grids for E-science

JRA1 Workshop Padova, 2004 November 15-17

Comments on GLUE

Peter Kunszt

on behalf of the JRA1 DM Cluster:

Paolo Badino, Ricardo Brito da Rocha, Ákos Frohner, Peter Kunszt, József Kovács, Gavin McCance, Krzysztof Nienartowicz, Daniel Rodrigues

www.eu-egee.org



Issues:

- **Mixing configuration information with monitoring information**
 - **Does not define inter-service relationships**
 - **Describes Storage Resources insufficiently**
 - **Does not describe other service parameters at all**
-
- **Sorry for the late comments and silence until now**

- **Static and dynamic values are not explicitly specified**
 - Difficult to optimize for wildly different usage patterns
 - Easy abuse of information providers for monitoring
- **Security: once we will have secure information services, configuration information will have different access rights from monitoring information – if they are not separated it will be hard to control this cleanly**

- **CESEBind is the only service relation.**
- **We need more generic service relationship descriptions**
 - Local Catalog – SE
 - FPS – Local Catalog
 - UI – SE
 - WMS – StorageIndex
 - etc
- **Navigation of service topology using queries**
- **Maybe a separate section for service discovery altogether?**

Storage Resources Insufficient

- **What kind of security – none, encrypted, anonymized, ..**
- **Details of access – what kind of I/O properties, mount points if any, etc**
- **More security information – policies, what VO it serves**

Probably outside of GLUE?

How to publish information on

- **Data Scheduler**
- **File Placement Service**
- **I/O**
- **But also other non-DM services (GAS, Package Manager...)**