# NONLINEAR CORRECTIONS TO THE DGLAP EQUATIONS IN VIEW OF THE HERA DATA

V.J. Kolhinen University of Jyväskylä & Helsinki Institute of Physics

hep-ph/0211239, hep-ph/0310111, hep-ph0403098

# <u>Outlines</u>

- Nonlinear corrections to the DGLAP equations in view of the HERA data
   K.J. Eskola, H. Honkanen, V.J. Kolhinen, Jianwei Qiu, C.A. Salgado
   hep-ph/0211239
- Enhancement of charm quark production due to nonlinear corrections to the DGLAP equations
   K.J. Eskola, V.J. Kolhinen, R. Vogt hep-ph/0310111
- D-meson enhancement in pp collisions at the LHC due to nonlinear gluon evolution
   A. Dainese, R. Vogt, M. Bondila, K.J. Eskola, V.J. Kolhinen hep-ph0403098

# HERA-data: older parton distribution function (PDF) sets do not fit the HERA data adequately at small x and small $Q^2$ .

$$\frac{\partial F_2(x,Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} \approx 5\alpha_s \frac{xg(2x,Q^2)}{9\pi}$$

[K. Prytz, Phys. Lett. **B311** (1993) 286]



### **Motivation**

#### **Motivation**

New CTEQ and MRST sets work better. Simultaneous fitting of small  $(Q^2 < 4 \text{ GeV}^2)$  and large  $(Q^2 > 4 \text{ GeV}^2)$  scales is difficult.

 $\rightarrow$  negative NLO gluons at small  $x,Q^2$ 



### Nonlinear evolution equations

By: K.J. Eskola, H.Honkanen, V.J. Kolhinen, J. Qiu & C. Salgado

- At large interaction scales  $Q^2$  the DGLAP equations predict well the scale evolution.
- At small x and  $Q^2$ , gluon recombination effects in the parton distribution functions (PDFs) are expected to become significant  $\rightarrow$  nonlinear corrections
- First of these corrections, (GLRMQ terms, by Gribov, Levin and Ryskin, and Mueller and Qiu) have been included in the LO DGLAP evolution
- Goal: to improve fit at small  $Q^2$ , while maintaining the good fit at large  $Q^2$

#### Nonlinear corrections:

General form: [Mueller&Qiu, NP B268 (1986) 427]

$$\frac{\partial xg(x,Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} = \frac{\partial xg(x,Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2}|_{\text{DGLAP}} - \frac{\frac{9\pi}{2}\frac{\alpha_s^2}{Q^2}\int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y}y^2 G^{(2)}(y,Q^2)}$$

and

$$x^{2}G^{(2)}(x,Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{\pi R^{2}}[xg(x,Q^{2})]^{2}.$$

We take R = 1 fm

Similarly for sea quarks (valence not modified)

$$\frac{\partial xq(x,Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} \approx \frac{\partial xq(x,Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2}|_{\text{DGLAP}} - \frac{\frac{3\pi}{20}\frac{\alpha_s^2}{Q^2}x^2G^{(2)}(x,Q^2)}{+ \dots G_{\text{HT}}}$$

Assume that  $G_{HT}(x,Q^2) = 0$ 

How to find a suitable initial distribution?

- Baselines used:
  - CTEQ5L & CTEQ6L PDFs; they only use  $Q^2 > 4 \text{ GeV}^2$  data in the fit  $\rightarrow$  avoid some small scale effects entering the PDFs
  - Constraints: HERA DIS data for  $F_2^p(x, Q^2)$



Iteration:

- Take CTEQ5L & 6L at  $Q^2 = 3,5,10$  GeV<sup>2</sup> and interpolate to get an initial attempt for distribution
- Evolve down to  $Q_0^2 = 1.4 \text{ GeV}^2$  using DGLAP+GLRMQ to get the initial parametrization
- Evolve upwards using DGLAP+GLRMQ and compare to HERA data

Result: EHKQS PDF set, with a good fit to the HERA data for  $F_2^p(x,Q^2)$  at  $x>3\times10^{-5}$ ,  $Q^2>1.5~{\rm GeV^2}$ 



## Initial gluon distribution



11

# **Gluon** evolution



12

	$Q^2 < 4.0 { m GeV^2}$	$Q^2 > 4.0 \text{ GeV}^2$	all $Q^2$
	N = 29	N = 104	N = 133
CTEQ5L	31.8	1.18	7.86
CTEQ6L	2.72	0.93	1.32
MRST2001	0.59	2.06	1.74
This work:			
Set 1: $Q_c < \sqrt{1.4}$ GeV	1.75	0.96	1.13
Set 2a: $Q_c = 1.3$ GeV	1.58	1.05	1.17
Set 2b: $Q_c = \sqrt{1.4}$ GeV	0.95	0.86	0.88



### <u>Conclusions</u>

Nonlinear corrections have been included into the LO DGLAP evolution equations.

As a result, a higher gluon distribution can be allowed at small x, small  $Q^2$  while still maintaining a good fit to the HERA data.

As HERA data alone cannot tell whether nonlinear terms should be included. More probes are needed  $\rightarrow$  enhancement in charm quark production (talk by Andrea Dainese).