# $Q\bar{Q}$ correlations at HERA: Measurements by H1

O. Behnke (Heidelberg) Oct 11, 2004 HERA-LHC workshop

Analysis and result foils provided by Jeannine Wagner, DESY More information: Conference paper to EPS 2003, Aachen, Abstr. 095

# (Double) tagging of heavy flavour at HERA



$$Q = \mathsf{b},\mathsf{c}$$

Q-Tagging methods:

- 1.  $D^* \to K \pi \pi_s$  (+other dec. modes)
- 2. Leptons ( $\mu$ , e)
- 3. inclusive vertex tagging

Double tagging candidates:

00 0	
Method	Comment
$D^* \times D^*$	Low statistics
incl. v.t. $\times$ incl. v.t	under study
$\underline{D^* \times \mu}$	Prel. results!

Ν

 $D^*\mu$  analysis

**Detection** of **both heavy quarks** of the BGF by their decay and fragmentation products:



- separation of charm and beauty possible due to charge and angle correlations of the D\* and the muon
- $\bullet$  almost complete reconstruction of the  $Q\bar{Q}$  final state
  - measurement of the gluon density
  - sensitivity to higher orders

# Correlations in the $\gamma g$ -CMS (I)



 $egin{array}{lll} \Delta\Phi &pprox \ 180^\circ \ \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{D}^*) \ 
eq \ \mathbf{Q}(\mu) \end{array}$ 

### Correlations in the $\gamma g$ -CMS (II)



For beauty production three correlations are possible:

- $\Delta \Phi \,pprox \, {f 180^\circ}$  and  ${f Q}({f D}^*) \,
  eq \, {f Q}(\mu)$
- $\Delta\Phi~pprox~\mathbf{180^\circ}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{D}^*)~=~\mathbf{Q}(\mu)$
- $\Delta \Phi \, pprox \, \mathbf{0}^\circ$  and  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{D}^*) \, 
  eq \, \mathbf{Q}(\mu)$

### $D^*\mu$ -events

H1 data: 97-00  $\mathcal{L} = 91.2 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  visible range:

- $p_T(D^*) > 1.5 \; {
  m GeV/c}$  ;  $|\eta_{D^*}| < 1.5$
- $p_T(\mu) > 1.0 \text{ GeV/c}$  ;  $20^\circ \le \theta_\mu \le 160^\circ$
- $\bullet \ 0.05 < y < 0.75$  ; no cut on  $Q^2$

 $\Rightarrow$  resolved photon contribution suppressed

Reconstruction of  $D^{*+}$ :  $D^{*+} \to D^0 \pi_s^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi_s^+$ 

simultaneous fit of right  $(K^-\pi^+\pi_s^+)$  and wrong  $(K^+\pi^+\pi_s^-)$  charge combinations



### **Correlation in the lab frame**

**DIS**  $\implies$  transform into  $\gamma p$  system ( $\Delta \Phi \rightarrow \Delta \Phi^*$ )

### **Smearing by:**

\* perturbative effects (gluon radiation)
\* non perturbative effects (fragmentation)

 $\implies$  angle between the  $D^*$  and the muon  $\Delta \Phi^*$  can differ substantially from  $180^\circ$  or  $0^\circ$  respectively

 $\longrightarrow$  distinction only between  $\Delta\Phi^*\geq90^\circ$  and  $\Delta\Phi^*\leq90^\circ$ 

$Q(\mu) = Q(D^*)$	1 no charm few beauty	2 no charm beauty
$Q(\mu)  e Q(D^*)$	3 few charm beauty	4 charm beauty

$\Delta \Phi^* < 90^\circ \Delta \Phi^* > 90^\circ$
---

## 2 dim. Log-Likelihood-Fit

using a 2dim.Log-Likelihood-Fit to separate c and b

- **\star** Quantities: correlation region,  $\Delta M$
- simultaneous fit of right and wrong charge combinations

#### H1 Preliminary



**Q(D<sup>\*</sup>)=Q(μ)**, ΔΦ<sup>\*</sup>>90<sup>°</sup>



#### **Q(D<sup>\*</sup>)**≠ **Q(μ),** ΔΦ<sup>\*</sup><90<sup>°</sup>

![](_page_7_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### **Q(D<sup>\*</sup>)**≠ **Q(μ)**, ΔΦ<sup>\*</sup>>90<sup>°</sup>

![](_page_7_Figure_11.jpeg)

# 2 dim. Log-Likelihood-Fit

### (correlation regions)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

Subtract background due to wrongly identified muons (c:  $\approx 30\%$ , b:  $\approx 5\%$ ):  $N_c = 88 \pm 14 \implies$  c contribution: 59%

 $N_b = 62 \pm 19 \Longrightarrow$  b contribution: 41%

### Total c and b cross sections

Visible range:

$$\begin{split} p_T(D^*) &> 1.5 \; \mathrm{GeV/c} \; ; \; |\eta(D^*)| < 1.5 \\ p_T(\mu) &> 1.0 \; \mathrm{GeV/c} \; ; \; 20^\circ < \theta_\mu < 160^\circ \\ 0.05 < y < 0.75 \end{split}$$

 $egin{array}{rll} \sigma^c_{vis}(ep o e'D^*\mu X) &=& (720 \pm 115 \pm 245) \; {
m pb} \ \sigma^b_{vis}(ep o e'D^*\mu X) &=& (380 \pm 120 \pm 130) \; {
m pb} \end{array}$ 

Comparison with LO direct prediction (AROMA):

	$\sigma_{LO}^{dir.}(ep \to e'D^*\mu X) \; [pb]$
charm	$\approx 400$
beauty	$\approx 100$

## Double tagging with $D^*\mu$ correlations

- $\diamondsuit D^* \mu$  quantities are taken as an approximation of  $Q\bar{Q}$  quantities
- ♦ Characteristic quantities:

 $p_T(D^*\mu)$ : transverse momentum of  $D^*\mu$  pair  $M(D^*\mu)$ : invariant mass of  $D^*\mu$  pair  $\hat{y}(D^*\mu)$ : rapidity of  $D^*\mu$  pair  $\Delta\Phi$ : azimuthal angle difference of the  $D^*$  and the  $\mu$ 

### Leading order (LO):

 $p_T(Q\bar{Q}) \approx 0$   $\Delta \Phi \approx 180^{\circ}$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

- ◊ parton shower (PS)
- ♦ fragmentation

 $\diamond$  non-zero  $k_T$  of initial partons

 $p_T(Q\bar{Q}),\Delta\Phi$  and  $\hat{y}(Q\bar{Q})$  used to study non pert. effects.

 $M(Q\bar{Q})$  and  $\hat{y}(Q\bar{Q})$ : needed to determine the gluon density

![](_page_10_Figure_12.jpeg)

### Normalized differential cross sections

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\Rightarrow$  LO + PS prediction describes the shape of the data.

### Conclusions

♦ First double tagging measurement at HERA:

$$Q \longrightarrow D^* \to D^0 \pi_s \to K \pi \pi_s$$
  
 $\bar{Q} \longrightarrow \mu$ 

- separation of charm and beauty with the aid of charge and angle correlations
- charm and beauty cross sections are compatible
   with previous results
- $\diamond$  LO+PS model describes the shape of the  $D^*\mu$  variables