



# Software Management Workshop

Steve Traylen

# Software Management(WG5)

• The aim of the working group is to look at deficiencies in deployed and upcoming software that make the operation of a stable production service challenging.

• Wednesday 13.30 - 18.00 Software Management 104-R-B0.

- Deployment and removal of VOs.
- Service discovery for VO members.
- Fault tolerance of system.
  - What can break the grid, restart services remotely?
- Shutting down and upgrading running services.
  - Which services can't be shut down.
- Feeding back issues to developers.
  - How to get things changed as a result of service challenge.

• Thursday 9.10 - 12.30 Software Management 13-3-005

- Level of intrusion of software on WNs.
  - Can it be reduced, removed, sand boxed, what is reasonable?
- Application Software Installation
  - Spark and Tank - Is there someone tell us about it.
  - (Perhaps this belongs in the fabric area)
- Software deployment process.
  - Goals of the pre production test.
- Improving Grid wide scheduling. (Perhaps in fabric section.)
  - Can the service challenges find what the problem is?
  - Glue deficiencies, can /should we just extend Glue ourselves?



## Those Present

- Steve Traylen RAL
- Chris Brew RAL
- Gonzalo Merino PIC
- Jeff Templon NIKHEF
- Robin Middleton RAL
- Laurence Field CERN
- ? Torino
- Stephen Pickles Manchester - NGS
- Alex Romania - NGI
- Fabio Lyons -
- Piere CC-IN2P3 -
- ? GSI.
- Rhys Newman Oxford
- Mixture of site admins, end users and grid deployers.

## Adding VOs at a Site

- We decided that VO services was being discussed in other groups
  - concentrated on the site level.
- Review of what has to be done.
  - Create group
  - Create pool accounts
  - Create /home directories
  - Touch gridmapdir
  - Adapt mkgridmap somehow.
  - Create storage areas with correct permissions.
  - Software area.
  - Queues for the VO.
  - Configure the end points for default BDII.
  - Modify access control lists on services.
  - VO specific environment `VO_ATLAS_`
    - (Currently a pain when only one SE to define for every VO)
  - Atlas software manager VO is also needed.
  - Maui config.

## Could this be simplified?

- Nothing much could be removed or simplified.
- Main difficulty was editing LCFG profiles which is manual and error prone.
  - It was thought new modular install scripts would help by bunching actions for a VO together.
  - Modularity addressed site differences, e.g. NIS vs. LDAP vs. /etc/passwd.
  - Quattor schema was also designed with this in mind.
- Using VOMS and LCMAPS might make life easier on CE.
  - Only one set of pool accounts with secondary groups possible.
  - But with no VOMS aware SE the problem is not reduced.

## Removing a VO

- Disabling a VO for a time was considered easy today.
- However destroying a VO and getting all of files shifted of site difficult.
  - Comes down to providing methods for removing services.
- Summary for adding/removing VOs.
  - Not a lot can be done at this time other than wrapping the process.

## Service Discovery within a VO.

- Current issues were mainly confined to the user interface.
  - Sysadmin expected to provide default files for VO.
  - Sysadmin does not know even what VOs their "local" users are in.
  - The VO members can not find the services and new ones are added.
- We should request that the UI is able to look in the information system to locate RB and L&B service.
  - Although this is would be the default the user can also specify a static configuration file.
  - They or the site may trust or want to use a particular one themselves and so override selection.
  - The sysadmin only has to provide an end point of the information - of course this led to multiple information systems.

## Multiple Information Views.

- This was not being discussed elsewhere so was considered.
- General consensus was that it was undesirable.
  - It was introduced to protect established sites from sites in testing.
- Improved tolerance in MW has made this less important.
  - It is now used by VOs to black list sites quickly.
- Using site tags was not quick enough and could be impossible to do (e.g. down GridFTP server on CE).



## VO area of schema.

- Could there be a space in Glue for VOs to publish a sites status for their benefits.
  - This was considered a possible schema extension.
- Having middleware respect it though is considerable work.
  - Maybe easier for the RB as the tags could be matched against.
  - Data handling would be useful since running jobs would have their output directed elsewhere.
- Summary,
  - We want to get rid of multiple information views but this solution may be too hard.

# Shutting Down Services

- Various Scenarios

- Planned e.g. Disabling a VO

- ASAP e.g. Kernel upgrade.

- Unplanned e.g. Pulling the cable out.

- Current status is published for services.

- The exact times are not published, though recorded in the GOC.

- Again adding this to schema is possible but the added intelligence in MW is serious work. We can't submit it as a bug, only a recommendation for future work.

## Feedback to Developers

- Many sources of bugs and requests,
  - C & T testbed.
  - Pre-Production Users/Admin
  - Production Users/Admins
- Most of these will come via the user/operation support system - GGUS.
- A free for all direct to developers will drown them.
- Members of operations helpdesk need to be the interface between users/admins and developers and to influence priorities of the developers.