ITS reconstruction for HLT J. Belikov, C.Cheshkov

HLT workshop

1

OUTLINE

- Motivation
- Clusterer, vertexer and tracker
- Tracking performance: efficiency, resolution
- Timing Performance
- Conclusions and Outlook

MOTIVATION

- So far HLT code only for TPC
- ITS reconstruction is:
 - Needed for open charm trigger
 - Desirable for jet analysis
 - Is required to provide the vertex to TPC Hough transform tracker

APPROACH

- We decided to use as a basis the off-line ITS reconstruction:
 - Already established algorithm
 - Robust and reliable
 - Need just to interface the HLT tracks from TPC and track them in ITS
- Is HLT tracks precision sufficient for ITS tracking?
- Which is the HLT TPC + ITS tracking performance?
- Timing performance?
- The results shown here are for the Hough Transform HLT tracks (with minor changes could be tried on Conformal Mapper tracks as well)

RECONSTRUCTION CHAIN

- 1. ITS clusterer
- 2. ITS Z vertexer
- 3. HLT TPC tracker (Hough Transform)
- 4. ITS tracker



ITS Clusterer

- Basically the off-line code with minor reorganizations:
 - Added an interface in case there is no RunLoader
 - Skipping of MC labels in case of raw data input
 - Avoided some unnecessary memory allocations (allocate the arrays once and only flush them before each new module)

ITS Vertexer

- The off-line code was modified so that:
 - Removed unnecessary intermediate step which goes from ITSclustersV2 -> ITSRecPoints
 - The clusters are split in bins of φ for faster access and filling into Z bins
 - The filling of Root histograms with Z bins is replaced by filling of an array of ints
 - Added interface in case of no RunLoader

ITS Vertexer

- As expected no change in the vertex finding performance
- Resolution on Z position:
 70μm (dN/dy=2000) -> 60μm (dN/dy=8000)
- About 30 times faster compared to the off-line code (for dN/dy~4000)

ITS Tracker

- Make use of the off-line ITStrackerV2 with several modifications:
 - Clusters are sorted not only in Z, but also in $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$
 - In Kalman filter, Root TMatrixD was replaced by explicit calculations
 - As a results the timing performance was improved by a factor of 5-10
- The tracking is done in 2 passes. One with and one without vertex constraint
- Note: Vertex constraint is applied only for cluster search and not for track params

ITS Tracking Procedure

 HLT Hough tracks contained in the ESD are transformed into AliITStrackV2 and passed to the ITS tracker

- No dE/dx info -> all tracks assumed to be pions
- By definition the input params are constrained to the vertex
- The covariant matrix is filled with the averaged sigmas for the diagonal elements while all the correlations are set to 0
- As a last step in the tracking, tracks are propagated to the beam pipe and then to the vertex
- After the tracking is finished the AliITStrackV2 are transformed back to the HLT ESD tracks and stored (the vertex constraint is removed)

ITS tracking procedure

- The problem related to the covariance matrix for Hough Transform tracks:
 - So far only diagonal elements (filled with average errors taken from comparison results)

• To do:

- From Hough Transform we have the size of the track peaks both in R ϕ and η directions
- Take these sizes (or fractions of them) as errors and covert them in order to fill the full cov.matrix
- It seems that even the present simplified solution works fine

Tracking Efficiency

The overall efficiency is quite satisfactory
ITS tracking almost completely "kills" double found Hough tracks
Good tracks list from AliITSComparisonV2 macro
Found tracks definition: >= 4 clusters in ITS



Note: Definitions of Hough and ITS tracking eff are quite different. Hough eff ploted only to guide the eye.

Angular resolution





The resolution on η and the emission angle Ψ are improved by a factor 2-2.5
Resolution is dominated by ITS ⇒ Very close to the off-line

Impact Parameter Resolution

•The impact params resolution is completely dominated by SPD and therefore we get the "offline" quality

•Example: for 1GeV/c track, the trans. impact param resolution is 60 microns.



Timing Performance

dN/dy	Clusterer	Vertexer	Tracker
~0	0.5s	20ms	0.15s
2000	1.3s	45ms	0.45s
4000	1.5s	85ms	0.95s
6000	1.75s	150ms	1.70s
8000	2.0s	210ms	2.70s

- Tests done on Intel Itanium II mashines (~1300 SpecInt's)
- Timings for the case of raw data input (no RunLoader, no MC labels)
- Still some "overheads" in the clusterer due to:
 - Filling of the clusters tree
 - Loops over all bins. Can be replaced by "jumping" method using dynamic arrays of pointers (similar to Hough space filling)

The code

- Everything is already in the HLT module inside AliRoot repository:
- One can try it by running RunHLTITS.C macro
- The macro will use an already produced AliESDs.root and will create AliESDits.root with updated by ITS tracking Hough tracks

Conclusions and Outlook

 Both tracking and timing performances of the presented ITS tracking (+Hough TPC tracking) are quite satisfactory

⇒ Fully acceptable for HLT

- To do:
 - Further optimization of the clusterer
 - Correct cov.matrix from HT
 - Check the performance on physics channels: D0->Kπ; Λ, Ξ decays; effect on jet resolution and efficiency