High-p_T correlations in STAR What we've learned, and new results

Dan Magestro, The Ohio State University for the STAR Collaboration





High-p_T correlations in STAR What we've learned, and new results

S.D. 3

NEB.

KAN.

TEXAS

OKLA. Z LOWE

ARK E

MONT.

ωγο.

0010.

N.M.

ID AHO

UTAH 5

A BIZ.

Dan Magestro, The Ohio State University for the STAR Collaboration

OBE.

DALIA 55 NEU.

Hard Probes 2004

High-p_T correlations in STAR What we've learned, and new results

Dan Magestro, The Ohio State University for the STAR Collaboration

- Particle-identified Δφ correlations
- First results for near-side Δη correlations
- Evidence for medium-induced energy loss



Hard Probes 2004

High p_T correlations in HI collisions STAR Motivation • Extract information about the hard sector in AA by statistical means Jets \rightarrow production/suppression, modification, flavors, etc. Event \rightarrow early expansion, anisotropy, medium Trigger effects, etc. General technique Correlate high-p_T trigger particles with associated particles above given threshold • Primary correlation variables: $\Delta \phi$, $\Delta \eta$ Trigger Event wise \rightarrow system, centrality, beam energy, ΔT reaction plane Track wise \rightarrow trigger & associated particle p_T, flavor, charge sign

Hard Probes 2004

$\Delta \phi$ correlations: a solid foundation



Δφ correlations: flavor dependence - 1

STAR

STAR

• Systematic studies underway with Λ , K_s^0 as trig, assoc. particles



Δφ correlations: flavor dependence - 2



Hard Probes 2004

STAR

Dan Magestro, STAR

7

STAR vs PHENIX ∆ o correlations in Au+Au



- Difference in STAR/PHENIX yields due to various |η| windows
 - Slight increase of the near-side yield in mid-central Au+Au collisions in comparison to p+p and d+Au

Hard Probes 2004

•

Dan Magestro, STAR

What about $\Delta \eta$ correlations?

- Azimuthal correlations hampered by v₂
 - v2 affects both yield, width determination
 - Background normalization difficult
 - $\Delta\eta$ cleaner observable for both
- STAR → large acceptance enables multi-differential study



J. Rak, Nuclear Dynamics Workshop, Jamaica





What about $\Delta \eta$ correlations?

- Azimuthal correlations hampered by v₂
 - v2 affects both yield, width determination
 - Background normalization difficult
 - $\Delta\eta$ cleaner observable for both
- STAR → large acceptance enables multi-differential study



J. Rak, Nuclear Dynamics Workshop, Jamaica



Hard Probes 2004

STAR





STAR $\Delta\eta$: What do we expect for Au+Au? Au+Au central broader? p+p Flowing medium: Vacuum Static medium: Anisotropic shape (reference) Broadening Δŋ Δn Armesto et al, nucl-ex/0405301

- p_T(trig) dependence of near-side peak due to less welldefined jet axis
- Medium causes apparent "stretching" of jet cone along boost direction?
- Radiated gluons in the medium yield a broader jet cone?
- Would p_T(assoc) threshold cut away yield from radiated gluons?

🐅 Δη : system, centrality dependence at 200 GeV 🐲



14

🐅 Δη : system, centrality dependence at 200 GeV 🐲



σ_{Δn} increases from p+p to central Au+Au at lower p_T(trig)

- Higher p_T(trig) flat across all centralities
- Systematic error not assigned (fit range, Δφ projection window)

Hard Probes 2004





Broadening in Au+Au compared to p+p, d+Au

- Difference grows with decreasing p_T(trig)
- The three systems are consistent for largest $p_T(trig)$ bin [6< p_T <12 GeV]
- Systematic error not assigned (fit range, $\Delta \phi$ projection window)

STAR Extracting near-side jet yields - 1 -100% In Au+Au 3<ptrig <4 GeV/c 4<ptrig <6 GeV/c of an add □■ |∆φ|<0.75 ◦● |∆φ|>2.24 2 Δφ: cal **νΑ**(Δφ₁,Δφ₂) 1 2 correla \$ ∆η : ac subtra 山 iminary 0-5% 200 250 300 350 400 Npart (2003) 082302 ∆n0 Δη 0 $\Delta \phi$ $\Delta \phi$ $3 < p_T(trig) < 6 \text{ GeV}$

Hard Probes 2004

Dan Magestro, STAR

 $2 < p_T(assoc) < p_T(trig)$ 18

Extracting near-side jet yields - 2

• Underlying correlation in $\Delta \eta$ persists at higher p_T(trig)

STAR

• $\Delta \phi$ studies include this additional yield (amount depends on $\Delta \eta$ window)



Δη : p_T(trig) dependence of correlated yield ^{*}



- Gaussian areas consistent within errors for all p_T(trig)
 - Yield growth with p_T(trig) → more assoc. particles for higher-p_T parton
 - Correlation yield preserved despite broadening of correlation

Hard Probes 2004



$\Delta \eta$: Scenarios - 1











Experimental observations

- Suppression of away-side jet \rightarrow jet quenching picture
- Suppression dependent on reaction plane orientation
- No strong flavor dependence at intermediate p_T observed

Near-side Δη correlations

- Evidence for <u>near-side jet broadening</u> in central Au+Au
- Consistent near-side yields for p+p, d+Au, Au+Au at all p_T(trig)
- p_T(trig) dependence: possible <u>onset of fragmentation dominance</u> ?
- Underlying, ~flat correlation structure in Au+Au across wide $\Delta\eta$ range

New questions for theorists

My feeling is...

0.06

 What car quench

STAR

 Possit jet in A in corr

<u>∆</u>η0 -1



Hard Probes 2004

 $\Delta \phi$





BACK-UPS



In the soft sector...



• ($\Delta\eta$, $\Delta\phi$) space for low p_T (< 2 GeV) studied extensively in STAR

• Broadening of near-side $\Delta \eta$ distribution in central Au+Au attributed to mini-jets central Evidence for longitudinal expansion Δφ width decreases slightly from N (Î peripheral to central Au+Au, 130 GeV ϕ_{Λ} (b) ອື (a) a, י peripheral 1.2 σ_{ϕ} N Û 0.8 0.6 (d) (c) 0.4central peripheral = STAR, nucl-ex/0411003, submitted to PRL

$\Delta\eta$ vs $\Delta\phi$ in central Au+Au (0-5%)



• $\Delta \phi$ determined by subtracting 0.5 < $|\Delta \eta|$ < 1.4 region from $|\Delta \eta|$ < 0.5 region to isolate jetlike correlation (as done in STAR, PRL 90 (2003) 082302)

Hard Probes 2004

STAR



Hard Probes 2004

Dan Magestro, STAR

28



$\Delta \phi$ correlations: 62 vs 200 GeV

High-p_T production <u>much</u> reduced at 62 GeV (see Carl Gagliardi's talk)



