### **Jet Fragmentation and Baryon Production**

- Jet fragmentation
- Why we should expect medium effects
- Energy loss effects on the fragmentation function
- Medium effects on baryon production near a "bath" of quarks & antiquarks

Barbara Jacak Stony Brook University Nov. 8, 2004

#### Analog of hard x-ray probe of EM plasma

• Want to know

pressure, viscosity, equation of state, thermalization time & extent determine from collective behavior

• Other plasma properties

radiation rate, collision frequency, conductivity, opacity, Debye screening length
 what is interaction σ of q,g in the medum?
 need short wavelength strongly interacting probe
 transmission probability
 jet quenching via R<sub>AA</sub>

high momentum q,g are the probes!

### Hard quarks & gluons $\rightarrow$ jets



#### Jet Fragmentation in vacuum



#### **String Breaking**

Used in Lund Model & PYTHIA ARIADNE splits color dipoles Shower gluons add kinks to strings Hadrons formed when string breaks into two (multiple times)

 $\rightarrow$  L-R symmetric splitting function F(z) = (1-z)<sup>a</sup>/z exp(-bM<sub>T</sub><sup>2</sup>/z)

#### Cluster Fragmentation Used in HERWIG Parton evolves by showering until coupling is small; g → q+qbar Neighbors combine to color singlets Clusters are superposition of meson resonances;decay according to phase space

 $\rightarrow$  no clear functional form in z

### **Formation time of fragmentation hadrons**

• Uncertainty principle relates hadron formation time to hadron size, R<sub>h</sub> and mass, m<sub>h</sub> In laboratory frame:  $\tau_f \sim R_h (E_h / m_h)$ consider 2.5 GeV p<sub>T</sub> hadrons  $\tau_{\rm f} \sim 9-18$  fm/c for pions;  $R_{\rm h} \sim 0.5-1$  fm  $\tau_{\rm f} \sim 2.7$  fm/c for baryons (R<sub>h</sub>~1 fm) • Alternatively, consider color singlet dipoles from combination of q &  $\bar{q}$  from gluon splitting Using gluon formation time, can estimate  $\tau_{\rm f} \sim 2E_{\rm h} (1-z)/(k_{\rm T}^2 + m_{\rm h}^2)$ 

for z = 0.6-0.8 and  $k_T \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$ :  $\tau_f$  baryons ~ 1-2 fm/c

 $R(Au nucleus) \sim 7 fm$  $\rightarrow$  Baryon formation is INside the medium!

#### **Energy loss effect: increased gluon radiation**

- Initial state multiple scattering
- Energy loss

Induced Gluon Radiation

- $\sim$  collinear  $\Rightarrow$  gluons in cone
- "Softened" fragmentation

 $\left<\Delta k_T^2\right> \Box \int \rho_g(x) dx$ 

 $\langle \Delta E \rangle \Box \int x \, \rho_g(x) \, dx$ I. Vitev, nucl-th/0308028



#### But, things are more complicated

Radiated gluons are collinear (inside jet cone)

Can also expect a jet "wake" effect, medium particles "kicked" alongside the jet by energy they absorb

Fries, Bass & Mueller nucl-th/0407102



# And expect hard-soft recombination

C.M. Ko et al, Hwa & Yang PRC68, 034904, 2003 PRC67, 034902, 2003 nucl-th/0401001 & 0403072

*How is baryon number conservation ensured in these mechanisms?* 

### And EVEN MORE complicated

 Edward's conic flow: a pressure wave or "super wake" i.e. medium response to the energy deposited by jets
 Correlations of jet fragments with flowing medium Armesto, Salgado & Wiedemann, hep-ph/0405301



Flowing medium: Anisotropic shape



Both consistent with features in data with modest jet fragment energy

Does jet fragmentation have a meaning in presence of medium? Mechanisms mix up medium & radiated partons New tool to see conductivity & correlations in medium at ~1 fm/c??

#### Data say: away side jet suppression/broadening



9

#### Yields on away side



**Integrated over 90 degrees** 

#### More partners on same side



<N<sub>ch</sub> in jet>

PHENIX preliminary 1/N<sub>trig</sub> dN/dp<sub>T</sub><sup>ass</sup> Must measure own dN/dp  $I/N_{trig} dN/dp_T^{assoc}$ dAu 0-20% reference!  $20-40\% \times 2$ 1/N trig  $40-88\% \times 4$ в×8 Our jets are soft. Trigger bias from high  $p_T$  hadron. 10 10 10<sup>-2</sup> Far side Near side 10 0 2 3 4 5 2 3 1 0 1 p<sub>T</sub><sup>asso</sup>(GeV/c) p<sub>T</sub><sup>asso</sup>(GeV/c)

jet multiplicity unchanged with d+Au centrality vs. pp STAR 1/N<sub>trig</sub> dN/dp<sub>T</sub><sup>assoc</sup> shown on previous slide + full jet reconstruction in dAu (shown at QM04) But, we need to figure out the QUESTION to ask in Au+Au!

#### What about baryons?

- Formed via diquarks in string fragmentation
- Reduced phase space due to high mass in cluster decay
- Suppressed relative to mesons by factor of ~10



#### **Baryons already different in p+A**



#### Nuclear medium modifies initial state



Shouldn't initial state scattering and fragmentation factorize?! R. Hwa says medium already matters in d+Au

#### In Au+Au baryons scale with N<sub>coll</sub> !



### do jet analysis with identified triggers



### **2 particle correlations**

Select particles with p<sub>T</sub>= 2.5-4.0GeV/c

Identify them as mesons or baryons via time-of-flight

Find second particle with  $p_T = 1.7-2.5 \text{GeV/c}$ 

Plot distribution of the pair opening angles; integrate over 55°



#### intermediate p<sub>T</sub> baryons ARE from jets



Jet partner ~ equally likely for trigger baryons & mesons! Same side: slight decrease with centrality for baryons Dilution from boosted thermal p, pbar?

Away side: partner rate as in p+p confirms jet source of baryons! "disappearance" of awayside jet into narrow angle for both baryons and mesons

### What's going on?



#### Jet partner distribution on trigger side



#### **Compare to hard-soft recombination**



### Conclusions

- Baryon excess has a very significant jet component Dilution becoming visible in most central collisions?
- Jet fragmentation is modified by the medium! Baryon production enhanced Au+Au jets richer in soft hadrons than p+p or d+Au Away side jet gets complicated Moderate p<sub>T</sub> associated particles have significant medium splash? Should we call them jet fragments??
- A new probe!
  - Leading & association baryons  $\rightarrow q,qbar$  correlations in the medium

Mapping the splash  $\rightarrow$  how the medium conducts energy

#### Fun to come...



## **Jets in PHENIX**

Large multiplicity of charged particles

 --solution: find jets in a statistical manner
 using angular correlations of particles
 mixed events give combinatorial background



2 x 90 degree acceptance in phi and |η|<0.35</li>
 --solution: correct for azimuthal acceptance,

but not for  $\eta$  acceptance

Elliptic flow correlations

 --solutions:
 use published strength values
 and subtract
 (could integrate over 90°
 to integrate all even
 harmonics to zero)



### So, do jet analysis on identified baryons



Trigger: hadron with  $p_T > 2.5$  GeV/c Identify as baryon or meson *Biased, low energy, high z jets!* 

Plot  $\Delta \phi$  of associated partners Count associated lower  $p_T$ particles for each trigger  $\rightarrow$  "conditional yield" Near side yield: number of jet associated particles from same jet in specified  $p_T$  bin Away side yield: jet fragments from opposing jet

#### **Compare p+p and d+Au to PYTHIA**





#### Hydro. expansion at low $p_T$ + jet quenching at high $p_{T.}$

Coalesce (recombine) boosted quarks → hadrons enhances mid p<sub>T</sub> hadrons baryons especially



#### Phase space filled with partons:coalesce into hadrons



#### **Coalescence Model results**



•intermediate  $p_{\rm T}$  hadrons from coalescence of flowing partons **NOT from jets, so no jet-like** associated particles



## $k_T$ , $j_T$ at RHIC from p+p Data



### **Pions in 3 detectors in PHENIX**

- Charged pions from TOF
- Neutral pions from EMCAL
- Charged pions from RICH+EMCAL



Cronin effect gone at  $p_T \sim 8 \text{ GeV/c}$ 

#### A puzzle at high p<sub>T</sub> Nu Xu (<sup>1</sup>d)<sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub> STAR Charged particles, minimum bias Hydro calc. (Huovinen et al.) 0.3 Adler et al., nucl-ex/0206006 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.5 $v_2(p_f)$ 0.05 charged particles, 200 GeV 0.4 centrality: 30-50 % 0 0.3 2 3 4 0 1 0.2 0.1 0 Preliminary

-0.1

0

2

4

6

• Still flowing at p<sub>T</sub> = 8 GeV/c? Unlikely!!

33

 $p_{T}$  (GeV/c)

10

8

12