

Doc. Identifier:

EGEE-PEB-MIN-2004-11-

4.doc

Date: 09/11/2004

Meeting Object: PEB

Editor(s): **Bob Jones, Marc-Elian Bégin**

Meeting Date: 4/11/2004

Meeting Place: **CERN**, 600-R-002 + Phone

Attendees: NA1: Fabrizio Gagliardi, Bob Jones, Marc-Elian Begin,

NA2: John Dyer, NA3: David Fergusson

NA4: Vincent Breton, Frank Harris, Massimo Lamanna

NA5: Matti Heikkurinen SA1: Cristina Vistoli, SA2: Jean-Paul Gautier JRA1: Frederic Hemmer,

JRA2: Gabriel Zaquine, Alberto Aimar,

JRA3: Ake Edlund, JRA4: Peter Clarke

Apologies:

Absent:

Distribution: PEB Members

Information Minutes from the previous meeting:

http://agenda.cern.ch/askArchive.php?base=agenda&categ=a044535&id=a044535/m

inutes

1. PROPOSED AGENDA

a. Conclusion from ARDA Workshop

b. AOB

c. Next meeting: Thursday 11th of November at 16:00



Doc. Identifier:

EGEE-PEB-MIN-2004-11-

4.doc

Date: 09/11/2004

2. AGENDA ITEMS

a. Conclusion from ARDA Workshop

The Conclusion of the ARDA Workshop was circulated to the PEB by email. Several meetings covered this topic, notably during the last LCG-PEB.

We discussed the request to enlarge the gLite prototype testbed with a third site (besides CERN and Wisconsin), with the likely candidate of Karlsruhe. Karlsruhe would actually bring 2 sites.

We discussed the opportunity offered by ALICE to deploy on its 30 sites the current gLite prototype. We also discussed the availability of two new people to join JRA1. Confusion however emerged as what would these two people would do - i.e. help managing the prototype testbed or manage the manual installation of the ALICE sites with gLite.

It is clear that there is no relation between the gLite prototype testbed, the ALICE 30 sites running the snapshot of gLite and the Pre-Production Service.

It was also reported that only ALICE is planning to use the gLite snapshot as a production service.

We also discussed the current gLite release process and agreed that it was important not to short circuit it.

Following a long discussion, we agreed that in order to conclude, we needed the involvement of the 4 LHC experiments. We therefore proposed to request this point to be discussed again at the LCG-PEB, where Bob and Massimo will be able to attend. We also proposed to call a meeting with the experiments ASAP.

It was clear that we need to clarify this issue ASAP, such that we do not confuse members at the EGEE Conference in Den Haag.

During the discussion, Fab gave a brief report of his meeting with CERN's Director General. Fab said that the DG had said that EGEE must be extended and remain the Grid flagship project, and that the real test for EGEE was the ability to demonstrate that non-HEP are using the Production Service.

b. AOB

Resource Allocation Policy

Bob summarised the situation about the "Resource Allocation Policy" discussed during the last PMB. A form is used to collect information



Doc. Identifier:

EGEE-PEB-MIN-2004-11-

4.doc

Date: 09/11/2004

We clarified that the PMB's involvement was to have the members, using a form, to request their federation representative to provide the needed data.

It was mentioned that the resource allocation were not reported as per VOs in the Quarterly Report. Another issue is to be able to compare the actual resource utilisation with respect to the agreed policy. What matters here is to highlight the scale of usage of non-HEP applications and user communities. Therefore, we need some sort of accounting of the usage, per VO. Cristina, Gabrial and Vincent will coordinate this work. The data is needed next week.

>>> ACTION (NA4/JRA2/SA1): Extract required data per VO (non-HEP)

EGEE Conference

John Dyer asked what was happening with the demos. Frank said that Johan Montagnat had to be contacted and reminded about this.

Bob asked to investigate the possibility to get cable connections just for the demos. John said that he was going to look into it.

>>> ACTION (NA2): Investigate the possibility to have cable network connections for the demos (only)

We also mentioned the issue of allocating rooms to sessions, based on expected size of the audience. John Dyer said that they were looking into asking people to select the sessions they would be interested in.

John Dyer also confirmed that all sessions would be filmed and made available as a stream later on. No live VRVS broadcast will be provided.

c. Next meeting: Thursday 11th of November at 16:00



Doc. Identifier:

EGEE-PEB-MIN-2004-11-

4.doc

Date: 09/11/2004

3. CURRENT ACTIVITIES STATUS

Since this was an extraordinary meeting, no activity status was sent.



Doc. Identifier:

EGEE-PEB-MIN-2004-11-

4.doc

Date: 09/11/2004

4. PEB PROGRAMME OF WORK THROUGH TO THE FIRST EU REVIEW

See Programme of Work table here:

http://egee-intranet.web.cern.ch/egee-intranet/Project-Structure/boards/PEB2.html