Geant4 simulation of ATLAS HEC TESTBEAM

V.Ivanchenko & M.Maire A look from outside

Outline and motivation

Motivation ► TestEm3 ► G4/G3 comparison Study on cuts Discussion All our results are very preliminary Thanks to A.Kiryunin for detailed information



TestEm3



Standard EM test ► G3 and G4 geometry are identical 24 layers of IAr/Cu \triangleright 0.6 X₀ in front All energy deposition inside IAr is collected ▶ No detector effects

Comments to TestEm3 simulation

► G4 releases 5.2 or 6.0 were used EM physics in 6.0 was significantly updated Model design of energy loss processes ▶ Integral approach Precise range feature Multiple scattering updated The main difference between 5.0 and 5.2 is in multiple scattering

1 GeV e⁻ simulation G3/G4 for different cuts in range (G4 6.0)



Peak energy deposition for 1 GeV e⁻ (fit by logarithmic Gauss)



FWHM/2.36 of energy deposition for 1 GeV e⁻



Resolution for 1 GeV e⁻



G4 simulation for 10 GeV e⁻



Geant4 simulation for 50 GeV e⁻



Resolution for high energy e⁻ by G4 (dashed lines – testbeam data)



Very preliminary results



There is a trend to better agreement between testbeam resolution and G4 simulation from version 5.0 to 6.0

Very preliminary conclusions

TestEm3 can used as benchmark on sampling calorimeter

- G4 6.0 is in a reasonable agreement with G3 and data
- ► G4 6.0 is more stable against cut in range

There are still open issuers to be investigated

To Do list

We need to study several problems effects results on level 1-2% in energy deposition

- Fluctuations of energy loss
- Boundary crossing
- Multiple scattering
- Effect of gamma-neucleus, electro-nucleus interactions on EM shower parameters to be studied

It will be desired if ATLAS experts will try G4 6.0 with new hadronic PhysicsList and with pure EM PhysicsLists