

# **Consortium and Partner Issues**

Dieter Kranzlmüller Project Director Deputy

EGEE All Activity Meeting, July 5th 2005, CERN





www.eu-egee.org

INFSO-RI-508833



## **EGEE-II** is

 a natural continuation of the project's first phase with mostly the same partners and necessary minor adjustments on the basis of the input from the activities and especially taking into account the input from the reviewers.

### **EGEE Mission:**

- **EGEE 1:** Large-scale deployment of the EGEE infrastructure to deliver production level Grid services
- EGEE 2: Extension of EGEE to a larger user community (in terms of application diversity) with approx. the same funding and duration



# **Addition of Partners**

## **EGEE Proposal/Annex I:**

- Table 1: List of participants of I3
- Table 1b: List of the entities, which are contributing to the project but are not signatory of the contract

## **EGEE Phase 1:**

- Procedure for the Addition of Partners
- 5 Categories:
  - 1. Interested parties
  - 2. Resource contributors
  - 3. Users/applications
  - 4. Industry
  - 5. Unfunded partners involved in the programme of work
- → Contract Amendments



- Procedure for the Addition of Contracting Participants in EGEE-II
- List of EGEE-II Partners
- Guidelines for Regional Task Partitioning



- Partners outside of EGEE may only join EGEE-II if they bring substantial contribution to the project
- Categories (1)-(5) are still in place and should be used whenever possible
- **Procedure for funded partners** involved in POW:
  - Contact: Federation representative (or Project Director)
  - Requirements:
    - Role in project (specifically contribution to programme of work)
    - Institute's profile (incl. structure of organization)
    - Key personnel CVs (incl. contact details, expertise, and availability)
  - Prerequisites:
    - Partners from existing EGEE states adhere to the same federation.
    - Partners from new states have to join existing federation.



- Internal Procedure:
  - 1. The Federation verifies the proposal and, after considering its relevance, forwards it to the PD.
  - 2. The PD seeks advice on technical relevance of the proposed contribution from the Activity Managers through the PEB.
  - 3. If both, the Federation and the Activity Managers consider the proposal a positive and useful contribution to the project, the PD will recommend this request to the PMB for approval.
  - 4. After approval by the PMB, the subscribing organization will be invited to become a partner in EGEE-II.
- **Remark:** New partners should be informed that they are requested to sign the Consortium Agreement (CA) and the EGEE contract.



# List of EGEE Partners

- Partners in EGEE: **71**
- Additional partners for EGEE-II: 21
- Removed partners from EGEE: 3
- Tentative partners in EGEE-II: 89 (119)
- + JRU Participants: ~ 30
- One partner per Country Model
   JRU Joint Research Unit



# Partner Movements

#### **Central Europe:**

- Austria: +3 (possible JRU)
- Croatia: *new country*

France: +2

**Germany/Switzerland:** +2

Italy: +1 (tbc)

### South-East:

- Serbia: *new country*
- Turkey: *new country*

#### **South-West:**

• Spain: +2

### Northern: +1

**UK/Ireland:** -2 / +5

NRENs: -1 (tbc)

Russia: constant

US: constant (tbc)

South Korea: +2

Taiwan: +1



- Guidelines for partitioning of workload onto partners
- **Region:** groups of partners of different granularity (incl. individual partners, individual countries, or federations)
- Premise: Rules of EGEE apply also to EGEE-II

### **Coverage**:

- Points of contact/presence
- Resource partitioning
- Effective workload
- Partner personnel costs
- Resource allocation policy
- Industry forum coverage

## **CALCE TASK Partitioning Guidelines 1/3** Enabling Grids for E-science

### **Points of Contact/Presence:**

- At least one partner should be available in every region for
  - SA1 (Grid Operations),
  - NA2 (Dissemination), and
  - NA3 (Training).
- The same partner may take on a role in several activities.
   Adequate regional support should also be foreseen for applications (NA4).

### **Industry Forum Coverage:**

 Each region should identify potential industrial contacts, produce targeted dissemination information material and solicit industrial requirements.

## **CGCC** Task Partitioning Guidelines 2/3 Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

### **Resource Partitioning:**

- For all core tasks, the smallest fraction of an individual's time for any activity is 0.5 FTEs (50% of working time).
- All activity managers must be 100% dedicated to the project.

### **Effective workload:**

 20% of each individual's workload should be reserved for reporting, documentation and quality assurance tasks (reviewing) within the project.

### **Partner Personnel Costs:**

- The costs per person per partner will take into account the cost claims of the first period of EGEE in order to have the most accurate numbers.
- For new partners **actual FTE** costs will be requested.



# **Actual Partner Costs**

- The costs for 1 FTE are based on actual costs (from phase 1)
- Additional matching funding from each partner is necessary. Depending on cost model, matching funding:
  - FC partners have an X budget and the EC provides X/2
  - AC partner the budget is X and EC provides 100%. AC partners promise also another X.

#### **AC Partners:**

- put 50% of their FTEs in funded, and (at least) 50% of their FTEs in unfunded (= matching contribution).
- total EGEE-II budget is only the 50% funded contribution.

#### **FC** partners:

- put 50% of their FTEs in funded, and (at least) 50% of their FTEs in unfunded (= matching contribution).
- total EGEE-II budget is funded+unfunded, but EC will reimburse only 50% of their costs.

## **CGCC** Task Partitioning Guidelines 3/3 Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

### **Resource Allocation Policy:**

- Resource providers should present resource allocation quantities and policies in order to aid the identification of resources for applications.
- Each resource centre connected to the infrastructure is requested to report infrastructure usage, in particular applications supported, even if this occurs only on a regional basis, including a minimum of information to justify their continued support.
- Resource centres will not receive funding in EGEE-II (as has been in EGEE).



# Input per Partner

### As soon as possible but no later than Friday, July 8, 2005: 17:00:

- Per partner resource estimation:
  - Funded + unfunded contribution per activity
  - Excel table completed for each federation
  - Collected by PMB representative

### • New partner applications:

- Role in project (specifically contribution to programme of work)
- Institute's profile (incl. structure of organization)
- Key personnel CVs (incl. contact details, expertise, and availability)
- Collected by PMB representative



### As soon as possible but no later than Friday, July 8, 2005: 17:00:

- Per partner resource estimation -> PMB representative
- New partner applications 
   → PMB representative

### As soon as possible but no later than Friday, July 25, 2005: 17:00:

- Per partner information for proposal
  - Table 1: List of participants (Organisation, name, description)
  - Description of role and work in EGEE (phase 1)
  - Major accomplishments in EGEE (phase 1)
  - Desired role in EGEE-II (ref. proposal outline)
  - Collected through AFM