## Dieter's presentation

- John Gordon: Issue with getting the RC to commit (or not) resources to other VOs. Can't we put it in the consortium agreement?
- Dominique Thomas: need to focus on Grid economics in phase 2 and this is not limited to industry, science also.
- We're spending on "TCP networking" (in SA2), but not on CPUs, how does this work?
- John Gordon: there might be cases where we need, say in a RC, someone is providing to EGEE monitoring tools required for the CIC work. This contribution could be less than 50%.

## Bob's presentation

## Overview

\_\_\_\_\_

## NA1

\_ \_ .

Erwin: Concern wrt related projects. How will they be coordinated without overstressing the project? Should it not be shown on the NA1 activity figure?

- For PO (administrative aspects), Roberta Faggian is working on it.
- Need to think about the interface for technical activities as well.

Fotis: For EumedGrid, they have specific budget for them to interface, at technical level, with EGEE (??)

Fab: in the case of grid deployment projects, we have negotiated for an extra FTE to be added to SA1. Same for summer school and ETICS for testing and integration.

Fotis: NA5 could also do high-level coordination, but not more than that.

Frank: Problem in EGEE-I (and in DataGrid) is the lack of technical discussions. The PEB is very bureaucratic. We need to clearly identify how will technical coordination will take place.

Bob: the activity leaders have to be involved in the deliverable review process. With Gabriel, we're looking into offloading the admin overhead of deliverable review process. There are existing bodies in LCG which we can leverage. But we do need to have more technical discussions among the activity leaders.

 $\ref{eq:condition}$  (LHC Exp.): Experiments are the best way to test the  $\mbox{m/w},$  and their role has to be included in phase 2.

Bob: we have considered in the PMB and we will discuss it further in the next PMB. We need to better define the role of each others. Including who does what and the communication channels.

(??): Are you aware of LHC satellite related projects?
Bob: Fab has left, but there are a few more related LHC projects.

Rolf: In phase 1, communication happened organically, but was not organised. Are we not going to improve this in phase 2?

Bob: At CERN we have tried, but we need to improve communication between NA2 and the PO. We need to use more tools like surveys and questionnaires. If you have bright ideas, by all mean, suggest them.

John Gordon: Worrying to hear that "corridor discussion" is central to EGEE.

Bob: this is a fact of life, but we need to make sure the decision process is open and clear. We also need to improve communication tools.

(??): What about communities like Fusion?

- Meb summarised a meeting held between CERN/EGEE, CEA Cadarache and CS.