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## Outline: HERA with H1 and VFPS.



## VFPS: <br> Roman Pot

 movable device i.o. to approach the diffracted proton beam.


## The Very Forward Proton Spectometer

- 220 m after the interaction point of H 1 (in the arch of HERA)
- Measures diffractively scattered proton (use HERA bend)
- Mechanical gear provides 2 movable horizontal Roman Pot stations to approach the scattered proton beam.
- Roman Pots retracted during injection and beam dumb
- Moved in as close as possible to the proton beam during stable beam conditions


Status of the VFPS:

- Installation 2003
- Tests 2004
- Due to problems in the readout fibres: no real data available (everything presented here is based on simulations)



## Detector Design

VFPS is a Tracking Detector:
Each Roman Pot station has 2 planes of scintillating fibers perpendicular to the beam line
One in the u-orientation one in the $v$ orientation
=> 4 coordinates of impact points measured
1 plane $=5$ layers of 120 scintillating fibres $\Rightarrow$ Resolution 100 micron

Signals become amplified by Photo Multiplicators.

Goal: reconstruct diffractive kinematics:

$$
\text { xpom (=1-Ep'/Ep); } \quad \text { x: kinirlvervink }
$$

$\xrightarrow{\text { Beam }}$ $\longrightarrow$ ?

1.7 mm

## Trajectory simulation.



## Calibration: I

- Changes in beam position during one lumi-run
$\rightarrow$ Relative position
- Measured by Beam Position Monitors
- Changes in position of Roman Pots (aproaching the beam) very well known.
- Positioning of VFPS detectors w.r.t. nominal proton beam
$\Rightarrow$ Absolute position
$\Rightarrow$ Time-dependent calibration / run


## Calibration: II

$\rightarrow$ Minimization procedure between a measured variable (dependent of position) and "true" values:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{\text {events }}\left(\sum_{v a \mathrm{riables}} \frac{\left(x_{i}^{\exp }-x_{i}^{\text {true }}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{x_{i}}^{2}}\right)
$$

Parameters of minimization: the position offsets.
Get as fast as possible a minimum in $\chi^{2}$ by having lots of statistics or a low sigma value.

## Calibration VFPS through elastic production of $\rho$ mesons in H1

- Principle: look at a clean and precise measurable proces in central detector:

$$
e+p->e^{\prime}+p^{\prime}+p
$$

$\rho \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$


## Suppress background:

Background introduced by simular looking processes. Selection on tracks has no effect.

| $\rho \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ |
| :--- |
| $\omega \rightarrow>\pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ |
| $\phi \rightarrow K^{-} K^{+}$ |

Selection on energy $\rightarrow$ cluster without track
Selection on reconstructed mass $\rightarrow$ use difference of mass of mother particles.

## Control: invariant $\rho$ mass

## Compare distribution

 of data + cuts with simulation of the different ( $\rho$ and BG) contributions$\Rightarrow$ Selected data need to look simular to $\rho$ contribution
=> ok

Resolutions on $\rho(\sim p)$ :
$p_{x}{ }^{\rho}=p_{y}{ }^{\rho}=300 \mathrm{MeV}$;
$p_{z}{ }^{\rho}=900 \mathrm{MeV} ; \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{p}}=1 \mathrm{GeV}$
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## Use this information for calibration.

- Compare kinematic variables from p' (H1) with the ones measured by VFPS
- Properties: low statistics ()$^{\text {, high precision })}$ )
$\rightarrow$ Cross check method

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{\text {events }} \frac{\left(\vartheta_{x}^{\rho}-\vartheta_{x}^{\text {VFPS }}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{\vartheta_{x}}^{2}}+\frac{\left(\vartheta_{y}^{\rho}-\vartheta_{y}^{\text {VFPS }}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{\vartheta_{y}}^{2}}+\frac{\left(\text { xpom }^{\rho}-\text { xpom }^{\text {VFPS }}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{x p o m}^{2}}
$$

## Results of the calibration.

Place VFPS on a wrong offset $\Rightarrow$ does
it find back the
position with the correct alignment?
After a few
iterations in the
minimization
procedure.
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## $\chi^{2}$ minimum in function of offset. (iiin



Resolution of
glassfibers $\approx 100$ micron
Results (all in micron)

|  | gene | reco |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\delta x_{1} \pm \sigma\left(\delta x_{1}\right)$ | $20 \pm 27$ | $120 \pm 87$ |
| $\delta y_{1} \pm \sigma\left(\delta y_{1}\right)$ | $-15 \pm 13$ | $-20 \pm 63$ |
| $\delta x_{2} \pm \sigma\left(\delta x_{2}\right)$ | $20 \pm 26$ | $150 \pm 85$ |
| $\delta y_{2} \pm \sigma\left(\delta y_{2}\right)$ | $-100 \pm$ | $400 \pm 257$ |
| 68 |  |  |

## Calibration of the VFPS through

 kinematic peak method.Principle: VFPS measures $|t| 0 \rightarrow 0.25$
$|t|=\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)^{2}=-2 p^{2}(1-\cos \theta)$
$\Rightarrow \theta$ : distributed around $0^{\circ}$

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d t}=\frac{1}{x_{p}} e^{-b t}
$$

Misalignment $=>\theta$ distribution won't peak anymore at 0 !

$\chi^{2}=\frac{\theta_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{\theta_{x}}^{2}}+\frac{\theta_{y}^{2}}{\sigma_{\theta_{y}}^{2}}+\frac{\left(x_{P}-x_{P}^{H 1}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{\left(x_{P}-x_{P}^{H 1}\right)}^{2}}$
Properties: high statistic © large sigma $*$

## Results...

(iil)
Difference from perfect value



Alignment possible up to the same order of the resolution of the detector.

Lots of events needed to compensate large sigma $\Rightarrow$ time consuming.

## Conclusion

- Beam Position Monitors not enough to calibrate!!
- Kinematic peak calibration method will be used to align VFPS within one lumi-run but the elastic $\rho$ production method will be a useful cross check.
- Both methods have a calibration resolution less than 100 micron (= resolution of scintillating fibres)

