



### **Simple benchmarks status** First results on pion absorption (in flight)

### Witek Pokorski

W. Pokorski - EP/SFT

**Simulation Project** 

1

### Outline



- reminder on the work done so far
- pion absorption experiments
- pion absorption simulation
- pion absorption plots
- summary and plans



# Reminder on work done so far



- Jurg Beringer working on the project until end of 2003
  - double differential cross-section benchmark completed (reusing G4 benchmark application developed by I.Gonzalez from Alice)
  - work published in LCG note (draft ready final corrections)
  - results also available on the web page:
    - <u>http://lcgapp.cern.ch/project/simu/validation/benchmarks/neutron/index.html</u>
  - preliminary work for the next benchmark pion absorption (in flight)



### **Pion absorption – experiments**



- very little experimental data available!
  - K. Nakai at al., PRL 44, 1446 (1980)
  - D. Ashery et al, PR C23, 2173 (1991)



 Ashery – look for transmitted (not absorbed) pions

 Nakai – look for gammas emitted after pion absorption



Simulation Project



# **Pion absorption – simulation**



- based on the G4 application developed by Isidro (Alice)
- simulating the real setup (thin target) would be very time consuming due to low probability of interaction
- 'fake' thin target experiment simulated by
  - switching on only inelastic scattering process
  - geometry consisting of huge (infinite) block of material
  - propagating particles until the process occurs and looking at the secondaries produced
- using Geant4.6.0 with QGSO\_BERT
- similar approach for Fluka using 'bypass' provided by Alfredo
- using Fluka2003.1



## **Absorption Xsection for pi+**





- in all cases Fluka seems to be closer to experimental data
- for heavier materials QGSP\_BERT plot seems to have some 'unnatural' shape





### Ratio for pi+





## **Absorption Xsection for pi-**







Absorption cross section for pi- on Cu

- same remarks as for pi+
- for heavy material (Au) the shape of the QGSP\_BERT quite different



8

## **Ratio for pi-**





### Particle spectra for pi+ on Al

















#### Simulation Project

Particles per event

Fluka

gam pi0 pi- pi+

Fluka

QGSP\_BERT

Particles per event

QGSP\_BERT

2.2

1.8

1.6

1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

2.2

2

1.8

1.6

1.4

1.2

0.8

AI @52 MeV

n p

AI @125 MeV









### **Particle spectra for pi+ on Cu**

Cu @37 MeV









W. Pokorski - EP/SFT











Particles per event

Particles per event

Fluka

QGSP\_BERT

gam pi0 pi- pi+ <sup>n</sup> p

2.5

1.5

0.5

2.5

1.5

0.5





Fluka

QGSP\_BERT

gam pi0 pi- pi+ <sup>n</sup> p

Cu @52 MeV

Particles per event

2.5

1.5

0.5

0



Cu @68 MeV

Particles per event





11

## Particle spectra for pi+ on Au













Au @233 MeV

Particles per event

Fluka

QGSP\_BERT

gam pi0 pi- pi+ n p



Au @52 MeV

Particles per event











W. Pokorski - EP/SFT

Simulation Project

Particles per event

Fluka

QGSP\_BERT

р

Au @315 MeV

### Particle spectra for pi- on Al

Particles per event

Fluka

gam pi0 pi- pi+

Fluka

gam pi0 pi- pi+

QGSP\_BERT

Particles per event

QGSP\_BERT

2.2

2

1.8

1.6

1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

2.2

2

1.8

1.6

1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2



















Particles per event

AI @52 MeV

n

р

AI @125 MeV

n p







#### W. Pokorski - EP/SFT

#### Simulation Project

### Particle spectra for pi- on Cu













Cu @233 MeV

n

р

Particles per event

Fluka

gam pi0 pi- pi+

QGSP\_BERT

4 3.5

зĒ

2.5

2

1.5

0.5





Cu @280 MeV

n p

Particles per event

Fluka

gam pi0 pi- pi+

QGSP\_BERT

4.5

3.5

2.5

1.5

0.5

Simulation Project

зĘ









### Particle spectra for pi- on Au

Particles per event

Fluka QGSP\_BERT

gam pi0 pi- pi+

Fluka

gam pi0 pi- pi+

QGSP BERT

Particles per event



Au @68 MeV







W. Pokorski - EP/SFT













Particles per event

Fluka

QGSP\_BERT

Au @52 MeV

n p

n p

Au @125 MeV





# Summary and plans(1/2)



- first results show reasonable agreement between simulation and experimental data
- Fluka seems to be a bit better than G4 with QGSP\_BERT, but hard to judge because of big uncertainties in the experimental data
- some `unnatural lack of smoothness' in QGSP\_BERT – should probably be investigated
- looking forward to redo the benchmark with QGSP\_BIC (and any other adequate physics list)



# Summary and plans (2/2)



- simple benchmarks provide new insight into G4 and FLUKA, complementary to other validation studies
- plan for the future benchmarks under discussion now
  - any suggestions welcome
  - would be useful to have experiments to come up with list of processes/benchmarks particularly relevant for their subdetectors

