



CMS thoughts on future of GAG

- ❖ GAG has been very useful, we have learnt a lot there
- ❖ Was setup as standing RTAG to provide GRID MW developers with a consistent set of requirements and to provide a common HEP layer/API
- ❖ Now we have LCG1/2 “working”
 - ◆ Grid services and applications using GRID
 - ◆ Need to evaluate the results
- ❖ And ARDA is being started, also probably with some role in requirement setting/monitoring
- ❖ General feeling that we need some action now rather than talk and documents



CMS proposal

- ❖ Propose “suspend” GAG now awaiting concrete evaluations of delivered services in the ‘real-world’.
- ❖ What do we need now?
 - ◆ An Architecture requirement group for ARDA?
 - Defining interfaces between GRI and Apps layer?
 - ◆ Do we need a Grid Architects Forum in analogy to Application Area
 - ◆ We think we DO need a much stronger connection between GDA and Experiment deployment/testing teams
 - (We encourage the weekly GDA meetings to include ‘direct’ experiment representatives for information and feedback and help in planning)
- ❖ In any case composition and mandate of the GAG must be seriously reviewed
 - ◆ Overall, we favor a time-out for the GAG while we assess deployments