LHCb File Transfer framework

N. Brook, Ph. Charpentier, A.Tsaregorodtsev



LCG Storage Management Workshop, 6 April 2005, CERN

Outline

- LHCb (advanced) usage of SRM
- SRM v2 requirements
- DIRAC Data Management tools
- File transfer framework
- Interfacing to FTS



LHCb (advanced) Usage of SRM



Stripping on LCG

- Jobs have several input files (between 40 and 80)
- Jobs sent to site where the data are placed
- Currently 3 sites used CNAF, CERN and PIC based on CASTOR Mass Storage
- Using SRM interface to access MSS





Scale of stripping in Data Challenge

Physics stripping jobs	
Number of events per job	40,000
Number of files	80
Input data size	80*0.3 = 24 <i>G</i> B
Number of output files	2 (DST + event collection)
Output DST size	600 MB
Event collection size	1.2 MB
Number of events	60M
Number of jobs	1,500
Input data size	36 TB
Output data size	0.9 TB
Trigger stripping jobs	
Number of events per job	360,000
Number of files	400 (files of 900 evts) or 200
	(1800 evts)
Input data size	400*0.18 = 72 <i>G</i> B
Number of output files	1
Output DST size	500 MB
Number of events	90M
Number of jobs	250
Input data size	18 TB
Output data size	125 GB



Usage of SRM

- LHCb CLI tools
 - Stage request
 - File status
 - Advisory delete
- CLI tools built on GFAL library aim to avoid any SRM version dependencies



SRM (vsn 1.0) Experience with CASTOR

- inability to pin/unpin or mark file for garbage collection poss. workarounds (redefined SRM "advisory delete" provided)
 - Throttle jobs manpower intensive (not feasible)
 - New SRM stage request at each file check use on LCG
 - Technology specific commands use on LXBATCH for debugging workflow
 - SRM "advisory delete" re-defined
- SRM fails to deal with corrupted/missing files
 - If error returned to SRM all subsequent files are also marked as fail (even if successful!) - needs new CASTOR implementation



SRM (vsn 1.0) Experience with CASTOR

- No control over stage pool mixing of general user & prod manager
 - Solved LCG can now check on user and responsibility and assign pool accordingly
- SRM request ID lifetime
 - Implemented last 10 days noted problem during a re-boot o SRM server which lost lifetime flat file
- Access rights
 - if one server creates files under one user account, it is not readable by the other servers if the mapping is to another user
 problem solved



LHCb requirements for SRM

Consider SRM v2.1

- Ignore the artificial grouping of methods (basic, advanced 1...)
- + v1 is definitely not enough, v3 not mature

Definition:

An SRM endpoint is uniquely defining an SE



SRM namespace

- An SURL is the concatenation of 3 fields
 - An SE/SRM endpoint : SRM://mysrmserver.site.xx
 - A file prefix : e.g. /castor/cern.ch
 - Mind this is a site-dependent information, but due to change...
 - A filename : e.g. /lhcb/production/DC04/evttype1234/DST/01234_2134.dst
 Need for a convention "a la Castor" : VO/username
- When replicating a file, no way to know the actual prefix (site dependent)
- Hence we request the possibility to use relative paths
 - SRM://mysrmserver.site.xx//lhcb/production/DC04/...



High priority methods

File types

- Volatile and permanent mandatory
- Space management (required for stripping jobs)
 - + space reservation, extension, deletion
- Directory management
 - + All methods but possibly mv (mind Is)
- Data "transfer" methods
 - All important, pinning is a must (i.e. lifetime)
 srmCopy: cf discussion with fts



High priority methods (cont'd)

Protocols

- srmPrepareForGet should return a list of possible tURLs
- User/IO system to select which one to use
 - e.g. at ROOT level (should accept SURL as PFN)
- File access control
 - Based on user and role
 - Propose the use of user directories
 - e.g. lhcb/user/a/atsareg
 - How to define a persistent "name"?
 - How to create the directory (no write access to the top directory lhcb/user....)
- Authentication/authorisation

Should allow access from the Grid, direct or local



DIRAC Data Management tools



File Catalogs

- DIRAC incorporated 2 different File Catalogs
 - Replica tables in the LHCb Bookkeeping Database
 - File Catalog borrowed from the AliEn project



- Both catalogs have identical client API's
 - Can be used interchangeably
 - This was done for redundancy and for gaining experience
- Other catalogs will be interfaced in the same way
 - LFC work in progress
 - AliEn upgraded
 - ✤ FiReMan



Data management tools

- DIRAC Storage Element is a combination of a standard server and a description of its access in the Configuration Service
 - Similar to "Classic SE"
 - Pluggable transport modules: gridftp,bbftp,sftp,ftp,http, …
- SRM can be incorporated into the DIRAC framework with similar interface
- DIRAC ReplicaManager interface (API and CLI)
 - + get(), put(), replicate(), register(), etc



File Transfer framework



File Transfer framework





File Transfer framework

Reuses the WMS infrastructure

- To deliver transfer requests
- To monitor the request execution progress
- Reliable File Transfer
 - Transfers are mediated by on-site Transfer Agents
 - On-site Request DB shared with other "reliable operations":
 - Bookkeeping registration
 - Application status updates
 - Job parameters/accounting registration
- This framework is OK for small transfers
 - Job outputs
- Need for efficient bulk transfer operations



File Transfer with FTS





File Transfer with SRM-copy





Service Challenge

- We would like to get access to the FTS system as early as possible
 - May ?
 - Our own evaluation of stability
- Start with one central Request Store instance
 - Add more instances as necessary
- Do bulk transfers for Stripping data distribution to Tier1 centers
 - September
 - T0-T1, T1-T1 transfers
 - Not part of LHCb Data Challenge

