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- Lagrangian of $2 \mathcal{H} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}$

Reparametrization invariance and rephasing invariance

- $Z_{2}$ symmetry and its violation
- Explicit $\mathcal{C P}$ violation description
- Heavy Higgs bosons with decoupling and without it
- Natural parameters range

The simplest extension of the $\mathcal{S M}$ a Two Higgs Doublet Model ( $2 \mathcal{H} \mathcal{D M}$ ):
$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{g f}^{S M}+\mathcal{L}_{H}+\mathcal{L}_{Y} ;$
$\mathcal{L}_{g f}^{S M}-\mathcal{S M}$ interaction, gauge bosons + fermions $\mathcal{L}_{H} \equiv T-V-$ Higgs Iagrangian,
$T$ - Higgs kinetic term, $V$ - Higgs potential,
$\mathcal{L}_{Y}$ - Yukawa interaction of fermions to scalars.

$$
\begin{gathered}
T=\left(D_{\mu} \phi_{1}\right)^{\dagger}\left(D^{\mu} \phi_{1}\right)+\left(D_{\mu} \phi_{2}\right)^{\dagger}\left(D^{\mu} \phi_{2}\right) \\
+\varkappa\left(D_{\mu} \phi_{1}\right)^{\dagger}\left(D^{\mu} \phi_{2}\right)+\varkappa^{*}\left(D_{\mu} \phi_{2}\right)^{\dagger}\left(D^{\mu} \phi_{1}\right) \\
V=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{3}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right) \\
+\lambda_{4}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{5}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)^{2}+h . c .\right] \\
+\left\{\left[\lambda_{6}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+\lambda_{7}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\right]\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+h . c .\right\}+\mathcal{M}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \\
\mathcal{M}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left\{m_{11}^{2}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+m_{22}^{2}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left[m_{12}^{2}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+h . c .\right]\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\lambda_{5-7}, \varkappa$ and $m_{12}$ are generally complex.

## Reparameterization invariance

Two fields with identical quantum numbers $\Rightarrow$ Model can be described both in terms of fields $\phi_{i}$ and in terms of fields $\phi_{i}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{\phi_{1}^{\prime}}{\phi_{2}^{\prime}}=\widehat{\mathcal{F}}\binom{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}} \\
\widehat{\mathcal{F}}=e^{-i \rho_{0}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta e^{i \rho / 2} & \sin \theta e^{i(\tau-\rho / 2)} \\
-\sin \theta e^{-i(\tau-\rho / 2)} & \cos \theta e^{-i \rho / 2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In the $\varkappa=0$ case this transformation does not change the form of kinetic term but induce the changes of coefficients of Lagrangian, which we call a reparametrization transformation - RPT.

Denoting $c=\cos \theta, s=\sin \theta, \mu_{12}^{2}=\operatorname{Re}\left(m_{12}^{2} e^{-i \tau}\right)$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{5}=\lambda_{5} e^{-2 i \tau}, \tilde{\lambda}_{6,7}=\lambda_{6,7} e^{-i \tau}$, the RPT is described by eq-s:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=c^{2} \lambda_{1}+s^{2} \lambda_{2}-c s \Phi-2 c s \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{6}+\tilde{\lambda}_{7}\right), \\
\lambda_{2}^{\prime}=s^{2} \lambda_{1}+c^{2} \lambda_{2}-c s \Phi+2 c s \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{6}+\tilde{\lambda}_{7}\right), \\
\lambda_{3}^{\prime}=\lambda_{3}+c s \Phi, \quad \lambda_{4}^{\prime}=\lambda_{4}+c s \Phi \\
e^{2 i \rho} \lambda_{5}^{\prime}=\lambda_{5}+e^{i \tau}\left[c s \Phi+2 i s^{2} \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{5}-2 i c s \operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{6}-\tilde{\lambda}_{7}\right)\right], \\
\lambda_{6}^{\prime}=e^{-i \rho}\left[c^{2} \lambda_{6}-s^{2} \lambda_{7}+\frac{e^{i \tau}}{2} \operatorname{cs}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\Psi\right)\right] \\
\lambda_{7}^{\prime}=e^{-i \rho}\left[c^{2} \lambda_{7}-s^{2} \lambda_{6}+\frac{e^{i \tau}}{2} c s\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\Psi\right)\right] \\
\left(m^{\prime}\right)_{11}^{2}=c^{2} m_{11}^{2}+s^{2} m_{22}^{2}-2 c s \mu_{12}^{2} \\
\left(m^{\prime}\right)_{22}^{2}=s^{2} m_{11}^{2}+c^{2} m_{22}^{2}+2 c s \mu_{12}^{2} \\
\left(m^{\prime}\right)_{12}^{2}= \\
e^{-i \rho}\left\{m_{12}^{2}+e^{i \tau}\left[c s\left(m_{11}^{2}-m_{22}^{2}\right)-2 s^{2} \mu_{12}^{2}\right]\right\} \\
\Phi_{0}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-2\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}+\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\lambda}_{5}\right) \\
\Phi=c s \Phi_{0}+2\left(c^{2}-s^{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{6}-\tilde{\lambda}_{7}\right) \\
\Psi= \\
\left(c^{2}-s^{2}\right) \Phi_{0}-8 c s \operatorname{Re}\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{6}-\tilde{\lambda}_{7}\right)+2 i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{5}
\end{gathered}
$$

A set of Higgs Lagrangians, obtained from each other by this transformations, forms the reparametrization equivalent space of Lagrangians (RPES) - a 3-dimensional subspace of the entire space of Lagrangians. The different Lagrangians within this RPES are physically equivalent. That is
reparametrization invariance (RPI)


Higgs basis family of Lagrangians $\left(v_{1}=v, v_{2}=0\right)$ real vacuum family of Lagrangians ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}$ real)

Soft $Z_{2}$ violation + Model II family of Lagrangians
Schematic presentation of RPES. Different strips represent families of Lagrangians with different explicit properties. A particular case where the soft $Z_{2}$ violating and Model II Lagrangians families coincide is shown.

Some parameters of theory which are treated often as physical are in fact reparametrization dependent. The most important example - a ratio of v.e.v.'s of scalar fields, $\tan \beta$.
E.g., under the RPT with $\rho=\xi, \tau=0$

$$
\beta \Rightarrow \beta+\theta
$$

## Particular case at $\theta=0$ :

## 2. Rephasing invariance

under the global rephasing transformation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{i} \rightarrow e^{-i \rho_{i}} \phi_{i}, \quad(i=1,2) \\
\rho_{0}=\left(\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}\right) / 2, \quad \rho=\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\left(\equiv 2 \rho^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

This transformation leads to a rephasing transformation of the parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1-4} & \rightarrow \lambda_{1-4}, \quad m_{i i}^{2}
\end{aligned} \rightarrow m_{i i}^{2}, \quad m_{12}^{2} \rightarrow m_{12}^{2} e^{i \rho}, ~=\varkappa \lambda_{5} e^{2 i \rho}, \quad \lambda_{6,7} \rightarrow \lambda_{6,7} e^{i \rho}, \quad \varkappa \rightarrow \varkappa e^{i \rho} .
$$

By construction, the Lagrangian with coefficients $\lambda_{i}, m_{i j}^{2}$ and with new coefficients describe the same physical reality. We call this property a rephasing invariance

This invariance is extended to the description of a whole system of scalars and fermions by adding of similar transformations for the phases of fermion fields and Yukawa couplings.

## The $z_{2}$ symmetry and its violations

The $2 \mathcal{H} \mathcal{D M}$ generally give a $\mathbb{C P}$ at $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{W S B}$.
In the most general form of $\mathcal{L}_{Y}$ large $\mathcal{F C \mathcal { N C }}$ effects become possible.
Experiment: $\mathbb{C P}$ and $\mathcal{F C N C}$ effects are weak.
$\Downarrow$
The natural construction of $2 \mathcal{H D M}$ should start with the lagrangian having additional symmetry which forbids a $\mathcal{C P}$ and $\mathcal{F C N C}$ effects.

That is $Z_{2}$ symmetry under independent transformations for both fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1} \rightarrow-\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \rightarrow \phi_{2} \\
& \phi_{1} \rightarrow \phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \rightarrow-\phi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which forbids ( $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ ) mixing.
This symmetry can be weakly broken to open door for weak $\mathcal{C P}$ and $\mathcal{F C N C}$ effects. $Z_{2}$ conserving case: $m_{12}=\lambda_{6}=\lambda_{7}=\varkappa=0$. Soft violation of $Z_{2}$ : dim. 2 operator with $m_{12}$ (retained unmixed $\phi_{i}$ fields at small distances). Hard violation of $Z_{2}$ : + dim. 4 operators with $\lambda_{6}, \lambda_{7}, \varkappa-$ looks unnatural since $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$ mixing retains at small distances.

## The case of hidden soft $Z_{2}$ violation

Let: physical model can be described by Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{s}$ with exact or softly violated $Z_{2}$ symmetry. The general RPT converts $\mathcal{L}_{s} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{h s}-\mathcal{L}$ of hidden soft $Z_{2}$ violation ( $\lambda_{6}, \lambda_{7} \neq 0, \varkappa=0$ ). We first apply the RPT $\mathcal{L}_{s} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{s}^{R}$, making $\lambda_{5}$ real (still $m_{12}^{2}$ can be complex leaving open an opportunity for CP violation). Then a general RPT transforms $\mathcal{L}_{s}^{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{h s}$ with quartic sector, which is described by 8 independent parameters ( $\lambda_{1-5}$ and $\theta, \rho, \tau)$ instead of 10 independent parameters of the general Lagrangian $\left(\lambda_{1-4}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{5-7}\right.$, Im $\lambda_{5-7}$ ):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=c^{2} \lambda_{1}+s^{2} \lambda_{2}-c s \Phi, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}=s^{2} \lambda_{1}+c^{2} \lambda_{2}-c s \Phi, \\
\lambda_{3}^{\prime}=\lambda_{3}+c s \Phi, \quad \lambda_{4}^{\prime}=\lambda_{4}+c s \Phi, \\
\lambda_{5}^{\prime}=e^{-2 i \rho} \lambda_{5}+e^{2 i \tau}\left[c s \Phi+2 i s^{2} \lambda_{5} \sin 2 \tau\right], \\
\lambda_{6}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{i(\tau-\rho)}}{2}\left[c s\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)+A\right], \\
\lambda_{7}^{\prime}=\frac{e^{i(\tau-\rho)}}{2}\left[c s\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)-A\right], \\
\text { with } \\
A=\left(c^{2}-s^{2}\right) \Phi+2 i c s \lambda_{5} \sin 2 \tau, \\
\Phi=c s\left[\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-2\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5} \cos 2 \tau\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

These eq-s allow to find parameters of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{s}^{R}$ via parameters of $\mathcal{L}_{h s}$ :
1)

$$
\frac{\lambda_{6}^{\prime}+\lambda_{7}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{6}^{\prime *}+\lambda_{7}^{\prime *}}=e^{2 i(\tau-\rho)} \Rightarrow \tau-\rho ;
$$

2) 

$$
\frac{\lambda_{6}^{\prime}+\lambda_{7}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}-\lambda_{2}^{\prime}}=e^{i(\tau-\rho) \frac{\tan 2 \theta}{2} \Rightarrow \theta ; ~}
$$

3) $e^{-i(\tau-\rho)}\left(\lambda_{6}^{\prime}-\lambda_{7}^{\prime}\right)=\left(c^{2}-s^{2}\right) \Phi+2 i c s \lambda_{5} \sin 2 \tau$ $\Rightarrow \Phi$ and $2 c s \lambda_{5} \sin 2 \tau$;
4) $e^{-i \rho} \lambda_{5}=\lambda_{5}^{\prime}-e^{2 i(\tau-\rho)}\left[c s \Phi+2 i s^{2} \sin 2 \tau \lambda_{5}\right]$ $\Rightarrow \rho$ and $\lambda_{5}$;
5) Finally, all remaining quantities $\lambda_{1-4}$ can be determined easily from the first four equations.

## True hard violation of $Z_{2}$

1) The $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$ mixing retains at small distances - very unnatural
2) The mixed kinetic terms (with $\varkappa, \varkappa^{*}$ ) can be removed by the nonunitary transformation:
$\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime}, \phi_{2}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varkappa^{*}} \phi_{1}+\sqrt{\varkappa} \phi_{2}}{2 \sqrt{|\varkappa|(1+|\varkappa|)}} \pm \frac{\sqrt{\varkappa^{*}} \phi_{1}-\sqrt{\varkappa} \phi_{2}}{2 \sqrt{|\varkappa|(1-|\varkappa|)}}\right)$.
Starting from the case $\varkappa=0, \lambda_{6,7} \neq 0$, the renormalization of quadratically divergent, nondiagonal two-point functions leads to $\varkappa \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ $\lambda_{6}, \lambda_{7}, \varkappa$ are running $\Rightarrow$ all of these terms should be included in Lagrangian on the same footing $\Rightarrow$ the treatment of the hard violation of $Z_{2}$ symmetry without $\varkappa$ terms (as in most of papers considering this " most general $2 \mathcal{H} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}$ potential") is inconsistent.
**************************
The diagonalization destroy relatively simple relations for the masses of the Higgs bosons, usually written.
**************************
We present relations for a case of hard violation of $Z_{2}$ symmetry at $\varkappa=0$ keeping in mind that the loop corrections can change results significantly.

## The extremes of the potential

define the v.e.v.'s $\left\langle\phi_{i}\right\rangle$ via

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_{i}}\left(\phi_{1}=\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle, \quad \phi_{2}=\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle\right)=0
$$

With accuracy to the choice of $z$ axis in the weak isospin space, most general solution has form

$$
\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{0}{v_{1}},\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{u}{v_{2} e^{i \xi}}
$$

## Denote

$y_{1}=\left\langle\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle, y_{2}=\left\langle\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle, y_{3}=\left\langle\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle$.
There are 2 solutions of extremum condition 1) with $y_{3}^{*} y_{3}-y_{1} y_{2} \neq 0 \Rightarrow u \neq 0$.

The v.e.v.'s are given by eq-s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1} y_{1}+\lambda_{3} y_{2}+\lambda_{6}^{*} y_{3}^{*}+\lambda_{6} y_{3}=m_{11}^{2} / 2 \\
& \lambda_{2} y_{2}+\lambda_{3} y_{1}+\lambda_{7}^{*} y_{3}^{*}+\lambda_{7} y_{3}=m_{22}^{2} / 2 \\
& \lambda_{4} y_{3}^{*}+\lambda_{5} y_{3}+\lambda_{6} y_{1}+\lambda_{7} y_{2}=m_{12}^{2} / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

For some set of parameters of $\mathcal{L}$ this solution describe minimum of the potential
$\Rightarrow$ Charged vacuum, with massive photon ! It does not describe reality.

## Standard vacuum

Another solution of extremum condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2) with } y_{3}^{*} y_{3}=y_{1} y_{2} \Rightarrow u=0 . \\
& \Rightarrow\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{0}{v_{1}},\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{0}{v_{2} e^{i \xi}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard $v_{1}=v \cos \beta, v_{2}=v \sin \beta$ with the $\mathcal{S M}$ constraint $v=\left(G_{F} \sqrt{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=246 \mathrm{GeV}$.

That is minimum of potential for those parameters of potential at which all eigenvalues of effective mass squared matrix in the extremum point are non-negative - at positive mass squared of all physical Higgs bosons (calculated below).

It can describe reality.
At this set of parameters the charged vacuum solution describe either saddle point or local (not global) minimum with larger vacuum energy (DiazCruz et al).

The rephasing transformation shifts $\xi \rightarrow \xi-\rho$. Let us take some Lagrangian describing our model and calculate v.e.v.'s. Than, the rephasing transformation with $\rho=\xi$ gives a real vacuum form of Lagrangian -rvL with $\xi=0$ (horizontal strip in figure) with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1-4, r v}=\lambda_{1-4}, \quad \lambda_{5, r v}=\lambda_{5} e^{-2 i \xi} \\
\lambda_{6, r v}=\lambda_{6} e^{-i \xi}, \lambda_{7, r v}=\lambda_{7} e^{-i \xi} \\
\varkappa_{r v}=\varkappa e^{-i \xi}, m_{12, r v}^{2}=m_{12}^{2} e^{-i \xi}
\end{gathered}
$$

At given $v_{i}$ we denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{345, r v}=\lambda_{3, r v}+\lambda_{4, r v}+\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{5, r v} \\
\frac{v_{1}}{v_{2}} \lambda_{6, r v} \pm \frac{v_{2}}{v_{1}} \lambda_{7, r v}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\lambda_{67, r v} & \text { for }+ \\
2 \tilde{\lambda}_{67, r v} & \text { for }-
\end{array}\right. \\
m_{12, r v}^{2}=2 v_{1} v_{2}(\nu+i \delta)
\end{gathered}
$$

Beginning from here we use the rvL, without writing explicitly the subscript $r v$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\left[\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)-\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{2}\right]^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}\left[\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)-\frac{v_{2}^{2}}{2}\right]^{2} \\
+\lambda_{3}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+\lambda_{4}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right) \\
+\frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda_{5}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)^{2}+\text { h.c. }\right] \\
+\left\{\left[\lambda_{6}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+\lambda_{7}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\right]\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+\text { h.c. }\right\} \\
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{345}+\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{67}\right)\left[v_{2}^{2}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+v_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\right] \\
-v_{1} v_{2} R e\left[\lambda_{6}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+\lambda_{7}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\right] \\
+\nu\left(v_{2} \phi_{1}-v_{1} \phi_{2}\right)^{\dagger}\left(v_{2} \phi_{1}-v_{1} \phi_{2}\right) \\
+2 \delta \cdot v_{1} v_{2} \operatorname{Im}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Mass term here is written via $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $\lambda$ 's

## plus

single free dimensionless parameter $\nu$.
The imaginary part of $m_{12}^{2}$ is constrained in rvL by relation

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(m_{12}^{2}\right) \equiv 2 v_{1} v_{2} \delta=\operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{5}+\lambda_{67}\right) v_{1} v_{2}
$$

The standard decomposition of the fields $\phi_{i}$ in terms of physical fields (in zero rephasing gauge):

$$
\phi_{i}=\binom{\varphi_{i}^{+}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(v_{i}+\eta_{i}+i \chi_{i}\right)} \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Goldstone boson fields } \\
& G^{0}=\cos \beta \chi_{1}+\sin \beta \chi_{2}, \\
& G^{ \pm}=\cos \beta \varphi_{1}^{ \pm}+\sin \beta \varphi_{2}^{ \pm} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Charged Higgs boson fields } \\
H^{ \pm}=\sin \beta \varphi_{1}^{ \pm}+\cos \beta \varphi_{2}^{ \pm} \text {with } \\
M_{H^{ \pm}}^{2}=v^{2}\left[\nu-\frac{1}{2} R e\left(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5}+\lambda_{67}\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Neutral Higgs sector. By definition $\eta_{i}$ are standard $C$ - and $P$ - even (scalar) fields while $A=-\sin \beta \chi_{1}+\cos \beta \chi_{2}$ is $C$-odd (in the interactions with fermions it behaves as $P$ - odd particle - a pseudoscalar). The mass-squared matrix $\mathcal{M}$ in the $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, A$ basis is

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} \\
M_{12} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\
M_{13} & M_{23} & M_{33}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { with }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{11}=\left[c_{\beta}^{2} \lambda_{1}+s_{\beta}^{2} \nu+s_{\beta}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{67} / 2+2 \tilde{\lambda}_{67}\right)\right] v^{2}, \\
& M_{22}=\left[s_{\beta}^{2} \lambda_{2}+c_{\beta}^{2} \nu+c_{\beta}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{67} / 2-2 \tilde{\lambda}_{67}\right)\right] v^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
M_{12}=-\left(\nu-\lambda_{345}-\frac{3}{2} R e \lambda_{67}\right) c_{\beta} s_{\beta} v^{2}
$$

$$
M_{13}=-\left(\delta+\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{67}\right) s_{\beta} v^{2}
$$

$$
M_{23}=-\left(\delta-\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{67}\right) c_{\beta} v^{2}
$$

$$
M_{33}=\left[\nu-\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{5}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{67}\right)\right] v^{2} \equiv M_{A}^{2},
$$

$$
c_{\beta}=\cos \beta, \quad s_{\beta}^{2}=\sin \beta .
$$

$M_{A}$ is CP-odd Higgs boson mass in the CP conserving case.

The masses squared of the physical neutral states $h_{i}$ - eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathcal{M}$, the Higgs eigenstates $h_{i}$ have no definite $\mathcal{C P}$ parity since they are mixtures of fields $\eta_{i}$ and $A$ with opposite $\mathcal{C P}$ parities (provided by $M_{13}$ and $M_{23}$ ):

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
h_{1} \\
h_{2} \\
h_{3}
\end{array}\right)=R\left(\begin{array}{l}
\eta_{1} \\
\eta_{2} \\
A
\end{array}\right) \text { with } R \mathcal{M} R^{T}=\operatorname{diag}\left(M_{1}^{2}, M_{2}^{2}, M_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

The diagonalizing matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
R=R_{3} R_{2} R_{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{lll}
R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\
R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\
R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33}
\end{array}\right) \\
R_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{1} & s_{1} & 0 \\
-s_{1} & c_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad R_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{2} & 0 & s_{2} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-s_{2} & 0 & c_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
R_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c_{3} & s_{3} \\
0 & -s_{3} & c_{3}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

( $R_{i}$ are rotation matrices, $\alpha_{i}$ are Euler angles, $\left.c_{i}=\cos \alpha_{i}, s_{i}=\sin \alpha_{i}\right)$.

## Two step diagonalization

## 1. Scalar (12) sector

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
h \\
-H \\
A
\end{array}\right)=R_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\eta_{1} \\
\eta_{2} \\
A
\end{array}\right) \text { with } \\
R_{1} \mathcal{M} R_{1}^{T}=\mathcal{M}_{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{h}^{2} & 0 & M_{13}^{\prime} \\
0 & M_{H}^{2} & M_{23}^{\prime} \\
M_{13}^{\prime} & M_{23}^{\prime} & M_{A}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
M_{13}^{\prime}=c_{1} M_{13}+s_{1} M_{23}, \quad M_{23}^{\prime}=-s_{1} M_{13}+c_{1} M_{23} .
\end{gathered}
$$

************************
If $\mathcal{C P}$ conserves (at $M_{13}=M_{23}=0$ ), $h_{1}=h$, $h_{2}=-H, h_{3}=A$. So, notations customary for $\mathcal{C P}$ conserving case:

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1}-\pi / 2, \quad \alpha \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2) .
$$

$H=\cos \alpha \eta_{1}+\sin \alpha \eta_{2}, \quad h=-\sin \alpha \eta_{1}+\cos \alpha \eta_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{h, H}^{2}=\left(M_{11}+M_{22} \mp \mathcal{N}\right) / 2, \\
& \mathcal{N}=\sqrt{\left(M_{11}-M_{22}\right)^{2}+4 M_{12}^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sin 2 \alpha=\frac{2 M_{12}}{M_{H}^{2}-M_{h}^{2}} \Rightarrow \frac{\sin 2 \alpha}{\sin 2 \beta}=\frac{v^{2}\left(\lambda_{345}-\nu\right)}{M_{H}^{2}-M_{h}^{2}},
$$

$$
M_{13}^{\prime}=-v^{2}\left[\delta \cos (\beta+\alpha)-\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{67} \cos ^{n}(\beta-\alpha)\right],
$$

$$
M_{23}^{\prime}=v^{2}\left[\delta \sin (\beta+\alpha)-\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{67} \sin (\beta-\alpha)\right] .
$$

## 2. Complete diagonalization

The above diagonalization keeps two off-diagonal elements in mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_{1}$, which are combined from $\delta\left(\propto \operatorname{Im}\left(m_{12}^{2}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{67}$. If at least one of these terms $\neq 0$, the additional diagonalization is necessary, and the mass eigenstates, being admixtures of CP-even and CPodd states, violate CP symmetry.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
h_{1} \\
h_{2} \\
h_{3}
\end{array}\right)=R_{3} R_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
h \\
-H \\
A
\end{array}\right) \text { with } \\
R \mathcal{M} R^{T}=R_{3} R_{2} \mathcal{M}_{1} R_{2}^{T} R_{3}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
M_{1}^{2} & & \\
& M_{2}^{2} & \\
& & M_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The angles $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ describe mixing of $\mathcal{C P}$ even states $h, H$ with $\mathcal{C P}$-odd state $A$.
$\Rightarrow$ The complexity of some parameters of the potential in its real vacuum form is necessary and sufficient condition for CP violation in the Higgs sector.

For an arbitrary form of Lagrangian (i.e. not for the real vacuum form) the necessary condition for CP violation in the Higgs sector can be written as complexity of at least one rephasing invariant combination

$$
\lambda_{5}^{*}\left(m_{12}^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad \lambda_{6}^{*} m_{12}^{2}, \quad \lambda_{7}^{*} m_{12}^{2} .
$$

## Natural set of parameters

To have CP in the Higgs sector $\Leftarrow \operatorname{Im}\left(m_{12 r v}^{2}\right) \neq$ 0 (simultaneously $\left.\operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{5 r v}\right) \neq 0\right)$. This CP is presumably weak if

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(m_{12 r v}^{2}\right) \ll\left|M_{A}^{2}-M_{h}^{2}\right|,\left|M_{A}^{2}-M_{H}^{2}\right|
$$

This simple form of condition is valid only for rvL. In other rephasing forms this condition includes both $\operatorname{Im}\left(m_{12}^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(m_{12}^{2}\right)$.
Naturally, this condition must be formulated independently on the rephasing gauge $\Rightarrow$ for the natural set of parameters of $2 \mathcal{H D} \mathcal{M}$ we require that $\left|m_{12}^{2}\right| \ll\left|M_{A}^{2}-M_{h}^{2}\right|,\left|M_{A}^{2}-M_{H}^{2}\right|$, i.e. $|\nu|,\left|\lambda_{5}\right| \ll\left|\lambda_{1-4}\right| \quad$ (natural set of parameters).
Weak CP in Higgs sector looks unnatural if $\left|m_{12}\right|$ is large, i.e. a weak $\mathcal{C P}$ violation naturally correspond to weakly broken $\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$ symmetry with $|\boldsymbol{\nu}|<\left|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right|$.

## Special cases

- If $\delta=0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\lambda}_{67}=0$, CP symmetry does not violated, $h, H$ and $A$ are physical Higgs bosons and $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=0$.
$* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$
- If $\left|M_{13}^{\prime} /\left(M_{A}^{2}-M_{h}^{2}\right)\right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow$ $\alpha_{2} \approx 0 \Rightarrow h_{1} \approx h$ ( practically $\mathcal{C P}$-even), $h_{2}, h_{3}$ generally have no definite $\mathcal{C P}$ parity

$$
\tan 2 \alpha_{3} \approx \frac{2 M_{23}^{\prime}}{M_{A}^{2}-M_{H}^{2}}
$$

$* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$

- If $\left|M_{23}^{\prime} /\left(M_{A}^{2}-M_{H}^{2}\right)\right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow$
$\alpha_{3} \approx 0 \Rightarrow h_{2} \approx-H \quad$ ( practically $\mathcal{C P}$-even),
$h_{1}, h_{3}$ generally have no definite $\mathcal{C P}$ parity

$$
\tan 2 \alpha_{2} \approx \frac{2 M_{13}^{\prime}}{M_{A}^{2}-M_{h}^{2}}
$$

**********************

- Case of weak $\mathcal{C P}$ violation joins 2 above cases. $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$
- Intensive coupling regime $M_{h} \approx M_{H} \approx M_{A}$. $\Rightarrow C P$ violating mixing of fields is naturally strong, spacing between $M_{i}$ is increased due to this mixing.

Relative couplings of Higgs boson $h_{i}$ :

$$
\chi_{a}^{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g_{a}^{i} / g_{a}^{S M}, \quad a=q, \ell, V(=Z, W)
$$

**********************

Couplings to gauge bosons
$\chi_{V}^{(i)}=\cos \beta R_{i 1}+\sin \beta R_{i 2}, \quad i=1-3, \quad V=W, Z$

In particular, for the case with weak violation of $\mathcal{C P}$ symmetry approximately

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{V}^{(1)}=\sin (\beta-\alpha), \quad \chi_{V}^{(2)}=-\cos (\beta-\alpha), \\
\chi_{V}^{(3)}=-s_{2} \sin (\beta-\alpha)+s_{3} \cos (\beta-\alpha) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Yukawa interaction

General Yukawa Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathcal{L}_{Y}=\bar{Q}_{L}\left[\left(\Gamma_{1} \phi_{1}+\Gamma_{2} \phi_{2}\right) d_{R}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\Delta_{1} \tilde{\phi}_{1}+\Delta_{2} \tilde{\phi}_{2}\right) u_{R}\right]+ \text { h.c. } \\
& \quad+\text { lepton terms }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Gamma$ and $\Delta$ - 3-dimensional in the family space matrices with generally complex coefficients. If they are non diagonal in family index, the $\mathcal{F C N C}$ appears.

To have only soft violation of $Z_{2}$ symmetry (to keep separate fields $\phi_{i}$ at small distances), each right-handed fermion should couple to only one field, either $\phi_{1}$ or $\phi_{2}$.

Otherwise, e.g. in Model III, hard violation of $Z_{2}$ symmetry appears via one-loop corrections. The case $\Gamma_{2}=\Delta_{2}=0$ - Model I, the case $\Gamma_{2}=\Delta_{1}=0-$ Model II.

## Model II

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{I I}=\sum_{k=1,2,3} g_{d k} \bar{Q}_{L k} \phi_{1} d_{R k}+\sum_{k=1,2,3} g_{u k} \bar{Q}_{L k} \tilde{\phi}_{2} u_{R k} \\
+\sum_{k=1,2,3} g_{\ell k} \bar{R}_{L k} \phi_{1} \ell_{R k}+\text { h.c. }
\end{gathered}
$$

For the physical Higgs fields it result in (for twocomponent spinors)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{u}^{(i)} & =\frac{1}{\sin \beta}\left[R_{i 2}-i \cos \beta R_{i 3}\right], \\
\chi_{d}^{(i)} & =\frac{1}{\cos \beta}\left[R_{i 1}-i \sin \beta R_{i 3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the interaction of the charged Higgs bosons with fermions, independent on details of the Higgs potential, one has for 4-component spinors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{H^{-} t b}=\frac{M_{t}}{v \sqrt{2}} \cot \beta \bar{b}\left(1+\gamma^{5}\right) H^{-} t \\
& +\frac{M_{b}}{v \sqrt{2}} \tan \beta \bar{b}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right) H^{-} t+\text { h.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Useful relations

The unitarity of the mixing matrix $R$ allows to obtain a number of relations between the relative couplings of neutral Higgs particles to the gauge bosons and fermions.

## Reparam. invariant relations

- The pattern relation among the basic relative couplings of each neutral Higgs particle $h_{i}$ (GKO):

$$
\left(\chi_{u}^{(i)}+\chi_{d}^{(i)}\right) \chi_{V}^{(i)}=1+\chi_{u}^{(i)} \chi_{d}^{(i)},
$$

- A vertical sum rule for each basic relative coupling $\chi_{j}$ to all three neutral Higgs bosons $h_{i}$ (Gunion et al):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\chi_{j}^{(i)}\right)^{2}=1 \quad(j=V, d, u) \tag{vsr}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The relations for CP violated parts of Yukawa:

$$
\left(1-\left|\chi_{d}^{(i)}\right|^{2}\right) \operatorname{Im} \chi_{u}^{(i)}+\left(1-\left|\chi_{u}^{(i)}\right|^{2}\right) \operatorname{Im} \chi_{d}^{(i)}=0 .
$$

## Reparam. non-invariant relations

 are valid for the Model II form of Lagrangian.- A horizontal sum rule for each neutral Higgs boson $h_{i}$ (Gunion et al)

$$
\left|\chi_{u}^{(i)}\right|^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta+\left|\chi_{d}^{(i)}\right|^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta=1 . \quad \text { (hsr) }
$$

- Linear relation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{V}^{(i)}=\cos ^{2} \beta \chi_{d}^{(i) *}+\sin ^{2} \beta \chi_{u}^{(i)}= \\
\quad=\cos ^{2} \beta \chi_{d}^{(i)}+\sin ^{2} \beta \chi_{u}^{(i) *}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Besides,
$\tan ^{2} \beta=\frac{\left(\chi_{V}^{(i)}-\chi_{d}^{(i)}\right)^{*}}{\chi_{u}^{(i)}-\chi_{V}^{(i)}}=\frac{\operatorname{Im} \chi_{d}^{(i)}}{\operatorname{Im} \chi_{u}^{(i)}}=\frac{1-\left|\chi_{d}^{(i)}\right|^{2}}{\left|\chi_{u}^{(i)}\right|^{2}-1}$.

The consequences for some cases with possible CP violation everywhere
(i) $\chi_{V}^{(2)} \approx \pm 1 \Rightarrow \chi_{V}^{(1)} \approx \chi_{V}^{(3)} \approx 0$ independently on the form of Yukawa sector $\Leftarrow$ vsr.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (ii) } \chi_{V}^{(2)} \approx \pm 1 \Rightarrow\left(1 \mp \chi_{d}^{(2)}\right)\left(1 \mp \chi_{d}^{(2)}\right) \approx 0 \Leftarrow \mathrm{pr} . \\
& \text { (iii) } \chi_{V}^{(2)} \approx \pm 1 \Rightarrow \chi_{u}^{(1)} \chi_{d}^{(1)}, \chi_{u}^{(3)} \chi_{d}^{(3)} \approx-1 \Leftarrow \mathrm{pr} \text {, vsr. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) The couplings to fermions are generally com$\operatorname{plex} \chi_{u, d}^{(2)} \approx \pm 1 \Rightarrow \chi_{u, d}^{(1)} \approx \pm(\mp) i \chi_{u, d}^{(3)} \Leftarrow$ vsr.
(v) $\chi_{u}^{(i)} \approx \pm 1 \Rightarrow \chi_{d}^{(i)} \approx \pm(\mp) 1 \Leftarrow \mathrm{hsr}$.
(vi) $\left|\chi_{u, d}^{(i)}\right| \gg 1 \Rightarrow \chi_{d, u}^{(i)} \approx 0 \Leftarrow$ hsr.
*****************************

In the $\mathcal{C P}$ conserving case

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{H^{ \pm}}^{(\phi)} \equiv-\frac{v g_{h H^{+} H^{-}}^{2}}{2 M_{H^{ \pm}}^{2}} \\
=\left(1-\frac{M_{\phi}^{2}}{2 M_{H^{ \pm}}^{2}}\right) \chi_{V}^{(\phi)}+\frac{M_{\phi}^{2}-\nu v^{2}}{2 M_{H^{ \pm}}^{2}}\left(\chi_{u}^{(\phi)}+\chi_{d}^{(\phi)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Constraints for parameters of Higgs potential

were written only in the case of soft violation of $Z_{2}$ symmetry without $\mathcal{C P}$ violation. We extend these results to the case with $\mathcal{C P}$ violation.

- Positivity (vacuum stability) constraints.

The potential must be positive at large quasiclassical values of fields $\left|\phi_{i}\right|$ for an arbitrary direction in the $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$ plane:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1}>0, \quad \lambda_{2}>0, \quad \lambda_{3}+\sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}>0 \\
\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}-\left|\lambda_{5}\right|+\sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

- Minimum constraints - conditions ensuring that the condition for vacuum is a local minimum for all directions in $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$ space, except the Goldstone modes (the physical fields provide the basis in the coset).
- Unitarity constraints. The quartic terms of Higgs potential lead, in the tree approximation, to a s-wave Higgs-Higgs and $W_{L} W_{L}$ and $W_{L} H$, etc. scattering amplitudes for different elastic channels. These amplitudes should not overcome unitary limit for partial wave. The earlier constraints for the case without $\mathcal{C P}$ violation (Akeroyd et al.) - with real $\lambda_{5}$ extends to the case with $\mathcal{C P}$ violation by the change $\lambda_{5} \rightarrow\left|\lambda_{5}\right|$ (IFG, Ivanov).
These constraints give bounds for the Higgsboson masses which strongly depend on the quadratic mass parameter $\nu$.
Large $\nu \Rightarrow$ all $M_{H}, M_{A}, M_{H^{ \pm}}$are large (decoupling limit).
Small $\nu \Rightarrow$ moderately large upper bound of $600 \div 700 \mathrm{GeV}$ for $M_{H}, M_{A}, M_{H^{ \pm}}$.
The correspondence between the tree-level unitarity limit and realization of the Higgs field as more or less narrow particle, as in minimal $\mathcal{S M}$, takes place in the $2 \mathcal{H} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}$ only in the case when all unitarity constraints are violated simultaneously. In the case when only some of these constraints are violated the physical picture become more complex.


## Heavy Higgs bosons in $2 \mathcal{H D} \mathcal{M}$

Many analyses of $2 \mathcal{H D M}$ assume that the lightest Higgs boson $h_{1}$ is similar to the Higgs boson of the $\mathcal{S M}$, all other Higgs bosons are very heavy (with mass $\sim M$ ).
Usual additional hidden requirement (?!?):
Theory must have explicit decoupling property: the mention features remain valid at $M \rightarrow \infty$ (decoupling property).
In fact, the mentioned physical picture can be realized in the $2 \mathcal{H D M}$ both with and without decoupling property.

Two scenarios of generation of heavy Higgs masses.

## Decoupling of heavy Higgs bosons

is realized at unnatural condition $\nu \gg \| \lambda_{i} \mid$,
$\Rightarrow M_{13}^{\prime} \sim \lambda_{i} v^{2} \Rightarrow\left|M_{13}^{\prime}\right| \ll M_{A}^{2}-M_{h}^{2} \approx \nu v^{2} \Rightarrow$ $h_{1} \approx h$, etc. as it was discussed earlier, $\beta-\alpha \approx$ $\pi / 2$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{h}^{2}=v^{2}(\underbrace{c_{\beta}^{4} \lambda_{1}+s_{\beta}^{4} \lambda_{2}+2 s_{\beta}^{2} c_{\beta}^{2} \lambda_{345}}_{\text {soft }}-\underbrace{2 s_{\beta}^{2} c_{\beta}^{2} R e \lambda_{67}}_{\text {hard }}) \\
M_{H}^{2}=v^{2}\{\underbrace{\nu+s_{\beta}^{2} c_{\beta}^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-2 \lambda_{345}\right)}_{\text {soft }}+ \\
\underbrace{\operatorname{Re}\left[2 s_{\beta} c_{\beta}\left(\lambda_{6}+\lambda_{7}\right)+\left(-\frac{3}{2}+4 s_{\beta}^{2} c_{\beta}^{2}\right) \lambda_{67}\right]}_{\alpha \equiv \alpha_{1}-\frac{\pi}{2}=\beta-\frac{\pi}{2}+\delta_{\alpha},}\} \\
\delta_{\alpha}=-\frac{\sin 2 \beta\left[\lambda_{345} \cos 2 \beta+c_{\beta}^{2} \lambda_{1}-s_{\beta}^{2} \lambda_{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{6,7}\right)\right.}{\nu}
\end{gathered}
$$

Decoupling. Lightest Higgs boson $h_{1}$.
$\beta-\alpha \approx \pi / 2 \Rightarrow$ all couplings of $h_{1}$ are close to those in $\mathcal{S M}$ and also selfcouplings, $h_{1} h_{1} h_{1}$ and $h_{1} h_{1} h_{1} h_{1}$, are very close to the corresponding $\mathcal{S M}$ couplings. Besides, $h_{1}$ practically decouple from $H^{ \pm}$, since the quantity $\chi_{H^{ \pm}}^{(1)} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right| / \nu\right)$. Higgs bosons $h_{2}, h_{3}$ are almost degenerate in masses, since

$$
M_{A} \approx M_{H}\left(\approx M_{2} \approx M_{3}\right)=v \sqrt{\nu}(1+\mathcal{O}(|\lambda| / \nu)) .
$$

Besides, $M_{H^{ \pm}} \approx M_{2} \approx M_{3}$.
The $\mathcal{C P}$ violating mixing angle $\alpha_{3}$ can be large, $\tan 2 \alpha_{3} \approx \frac{2 M_{23}^{\prime}}{M_{A}^{2}-M_{H}^{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{u}^{(2)}=i \chi_{u}^{(3)}=-\cot \beta e^{i \alpha_{3}}, \\
& \chi_{d}^{(2)}=i \chi_{d}^{(3)}=\tan \beta e^{-i \alpha_{3} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

while couplings of $h_{2}, h_{3}$ to gauge bosons and $H^{ \pm}$are small,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{V}^{(2)}=\cos \alpha_{3} \delta_{\alpha}, \quad \chi_{V}^{(3)}=\sin \alpha_{3} \delta_{\alpha} \\
\chi_{H^{ \pm}}^{(, 3)} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\lambda_{i}\right| / \nu\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Heavy Higgs bosons without decoupling.
The option, when except one neutral $h_{1}$ all other Higgs bosons are heavy enough, can also be realized in $2 \mathcal{H D M}$ without decoupling (at natural set of parameters).
Sets of parameters of potential, satisfying unitarity constraints, for light $h$ (mass 120 GeV ) and heavy $H, H^{ \pm}$, non-decoupling case.

|  | $\tan \beta$ | $\lambda_{1}$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $\lambda_{3}$ | $\lambda_{4}$ | $\lambda_{5}$ | $\nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | 50 | 1 | 6 | 5.5 | -6 | -6 | 0.24 |
| (2) | 0.02 | 6 | 1 | 5.5 | -6 | -6 | 0.24 |
| (3) | 1 | 6.25 | 6.25 | 56.25 | -6 | -6 | 0 |
| (4) | 10 | 4 | 8 | 4.4 | -9 | $\begin{gathered} -0.5 \\ +0.3 i \end{gathered}$ | 0.24 |
|  | $M_{h}$ | $M_{H}$ | $M_{A}$ | $M_{H^{ \pm}}$ | $s_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ |  |
| (1) | 120 | 600 | 600 | 600 | - | - |  |
| (2) | 120 | 600 | 600 | 600 | - | - |  |
| (3) | 120 | 600 | 600 | 600 | - | - |  |
| (4) | 120 | 700 | 206 | 556 | 0.09 | 0.02 |  |

Lines (1-3) - the case without $\mathcal{C P}$ violation, line (4) - with $\mathcal{C P}$ violation.

