

SRM methods

Jean-Philippe Baud, IT-GD, CERN

11th March 2005







- The goal is to find which methods are
 - Mandatory
 - Desirable
- In these slides, the proposed mandatory ones are marked in red and the desirable ones in yellow





- Get
- Put
- Сору
- GetRequestStatus
- SetFileStatus
- GetFileMetaData
- AdvisoryDelete (?)
- GetProtocols
- Ping
- Other methods like Pin/UnPin were poorly defined and not implemented by any site





- Global Space Management Functions:
 - ReserveSpace (reservation is associated with a lifetime)
 - ReleaseSpace
 - UpdateSpace to change global space reservation
 - CompactSpace (space occupied by files in "released" state is deduced from the global space reservation)
 - GetSpaceMetaData
 - ChangeFileStorageType
 - GetSpaceToken





• Permission Functions:

- SetPermission (similar to Posix ACLs)
- CheckPermission (similar to Posix ACLs)
- ReassignToUser





- Directory Functions:
 - Mkdir
 - Rmdir
 - Rm
 - Ls
 - Mv

SRM GDB 20050112





- Data Transfer Functions:
 - PrepareToGet
 - PrepareToPut
 - Copy
 - StatusOfGetRequest
 - StatusOfPutRequest
 - StatusOfCopyRequest
 - ReleaseFiles
 - PutDone
 - ExtendFileLifeTime
 - GetRequestId
 - GetRequestSummary
 - AbortRequest
 - RemoveFiles
 - AbortFiles
 - SuspendRequest
 - ResumeRequest





• There is some overlap between Directory/Copy Functions and GridFTP but it is much easier to write middleware on top of a single interface





- As sites do not necessarily want to implement a full version, it was proposed to have
 - SRM Basic: all methods must be implemented
 - SRM Advanced: other methods are split into subsets
 - Directory
 - Permissions
 - Global Space Reservation
 - Advanced admin methods
 - Each site implements full subsets and publish which subset it supports. It also implements a method that you may call to know if a given subset is implemented or not





- This will be the first release which supports SRM Basic/SRM Advanced
- It offers also new functionality like "streaming" mode
- The proposal has been discussed at LBNL in October 2004 and a draft specification is being produced





- BNL and LBNL run SRM v1.1 (HRM + DRM)
- CERN run SRM v1.1 as interface to CASTOR HSM
- FNAL run SRM v1.1 as interface to dCache/Enstore
- JLAB run SRM v2.1 as interface to Jasmine (HSM + Disk only system)
- RAL run an hybrid interface to the AtlasStore: the set of methods defined in v1.1 but with a syntax close to v2.1





- LBNL has developed an almost full implementation of SRM v2.1 (without Permission methods) and is testing it
- FNAL has released a new SRM v1.1 server using a Postgres database
- RAL is developing an SRM v2.1 compliant interface to the AtlasStore
- LCG has developed an almost full implementation of SRM v2.1 on top of a Light Weight Disk Pool Manager (currently without Global Space Reservation) and is testing it





- LCG has developed two extensive test suites: one for SRM v1.1 (Jiri Kosina/Prague) and one for v2.1 (Gilbert Grosdidier/Orsay)
- We would like to run compatibility tests between sites using these test suites
- We propose that these test suites are used by LCG to validate the different SRM implementations available at least at Tier1 and possibly at Tier2 sites