

Meeting Object: **PEB**

Editor(s): **Bob Jones, Marc-Eliau Bégin**

Meeting Date: **17/3/2005**

Meeting Place: **CERN, 600-R-002 + Phone**

Attendees: NA1: Marc-Eliau Bégin,
NA2: Jim Buddin (phone), Joanne Barnett (phone), John Dyer (phone)
NA3: David Fergusson (phone),
NA4: Vincent Breton (phone), Florence Jacq (phone)
SA1: Ian Bird
SA2: Jean-Paul Gautier (phone)
JRA1: Frédéric Hemmer
JRA2: Gabriel Zaquine, Albert Aimar
JRA3: Ake Edlund (phone)
JRA4: Kostas Kavoussanakis (phone), Peter Clarke (phone)

Apologies: NA3: Malcolm Atkinson, NA5: Matti Heikkurinen

Absent:

Distribution: PEB Members

Information [Minutes](#) from the previous meeting

1. PROPOSED AGENDA

- Minutes of the previous meeting and issues arising
- Reply to EU Reviewers' recommendation
- 15 months plan for TA
- Update on PM12 deliverables and milestones (including QR)
- Review of MoUs
- AOB

2. AGENDA ITEMS

a. Minutes of the previous meeting and issues arising

Minutes were accepted.

b. Review of action items

See action table here:

<http://egee-intranet.web.cern.ch/egee-intranet/Project-Structure/boards/PEB2.html>

Deliverables and Milestones

Find updated list of Deliverables and Milestone here:

<http://egee-jra2.web.cern.ch/EGEE-JRA2/EUDocuments/Deliverables/Deliverables.htm>

New action status:

109: "Specify a date for when the MoU will be ready for each EGAAP recommended application".

A draft is being prepared. The Drug Discovery MoU has is ready. We agreed that no further feedback on the template is required, therefore, NA4 can write and submit all the MoUs.

On-Going

113: "Provide feedback on MoU template".

The choice of term "MoU" is confusing for some and perhaps not best, since this is not a bilateral binding agreement, but rather a mutual understanding of what the application is expecting from the grid.

As long as the template is used as reference and not rigid, the template proposed by NA4 is accepted.

Closed

c. Reply to EU Reviewers' recommendation

An EDMS [document](#) has been prepared by Anna Cook here, to help the activity leaders preparing their answers to the EU Recommendations, the 15 months plan and the update to the TA. The deadline for this is Thursday lunchtime 24 March.

All agreed to provide the requested information by that date.

We agreed that when an recommendation is ambiguous, we need to state the assumptions our answer is based on. We also need to indicate the case where further work is required before being able to give a full and complete answer.

d. 15 months plan for TA

See point above.

Ian: Likely to be no input to the TA from the federations. He also asked if there had been any request through the PMB for such an update? Meb answered that this was explained at the AA meeting during Bob's presentation.

e. Update on PM12 deliverables and milestones (including QR)

Gabriel walked through the list of PM12 deliverables.

Meb asked if any deliverables or milestones were unlikely to be ready in time. No foreseen problems were reported.

The contribution for the QR is required by the 15 April. The same template as for QR 3 will be used. However, following the recommendation from EU Reviewers, the content should be kept to the essentials.

>>> Action: (All) Provide QR inputs (15/04)

Ian proposed to remove the 12 months part of DSA1.4, since it is redundant with the 15 months plan requested for the TA update. The EU needs to be informed of this (see details [here](#)).

Gabriel: On the month 12 deliverables most SA1 reviewer and moderator are needed. Dave Kelsey and Fotis are supposed to find reviewers to the Security milestones.

f. Review of MoUs

See comments in action 109 and 113.

Ian: What is the exact point of this MoU?

Vincent: This is stated in the letter we sent to the application supervisors. (See letter)

What is the process for drafting this MoU?

We set up a template describing the issues to address, and once the template is agreed upon NA4 will take over to contact the managers to draft the MoU. The idea is that the document should come back to the projects and discussed to get a consensus.

Ian: The rules have to be the same every time for each application, this is very important.

Ian: We need to send this through the ROCs and treat the same.

g. AOB

Jim: Session list: We need to know ASAP about who is going to chair the different sessions.

Next meeting will be the 24 March.

3. CURRENT ACTIVITIES STATUS

3.1. NA4

(from Vincent)

General NA4 issues:

new delivery dates of deliverables and milestones for the next 15 months:

MNA4.3: First external review of Applications Identification and Support with feedback (M14)

DNA4.3.2: First revision of EGEE Application Migration Progress report (M18)

DNA4.3.3 and DNA 4.4 merged into DNA4.4: Final Report of Application Identification and Support Activity, including Application Migration status (M22)

MoU :

- The validation of MoU by PEB is foreseen for today
- We are working first on Drug Discovery MoU since we started the template with DD
- We are waiting also for PEB to validate a general process with all the generic applications.

User survey :

- a lot of comments were received
- Johan sent the present version of the user survey to the Biomed VO the User Survey. Following the first feedbacks, some bugs were already fixed.
- Next week, the final User Survey will be sent to PEB for validation.
- Once validated, the User Survey will be sent to Emidio and Roberto to make it available on NA4 web site in Catania.

NA4-HEP specific issues (M. Lamanna):

- Continue to participate into the common testing effort. Main results:
 - more WMS test (re)implemented to speed up the process of certification
 - more file catalog performance measurements

- ARDA open meeting on Wed: MonAlisa monitor tool presented and discussed (transparencies from the ARDA web site)

- Migration of the experiments prototypes going on

NA4 biomed specific issues (J. Montagnat) :

- The user survey form has been distributed to the biomed users. First feedback lead to a new form version. It has also shown that it is not obvious for a VO manager to know the list of emails of users in his VO (all CAs do not include emails in the certificates)

- The question of creating a certificate for a biomed user who is not covered by one of the recognized CA was raised (WHO). The CNRS CA will serve as a catch-all.

- Metadata usecases are being discussed at PTF this week.

- There is a phone biomed meeting tomorrow where EU feedback, SL3 and gLite migration will be further discussed.

NA4 generic applications (R. Barbera):

- user survey form implemented as a web page accessible only with a certificate in the browser. The backend database will be setup when the form will be finalized

- specific GENIUS multi-job services developed for CODESA-3D, the new hydrology application of ESR

- new GILDA User Interface Plug&Play realized and available for download at the URL https://gilda.ct.infn.it/G_UIPnP . About 20 downloads so far. A video-tutorial for its installation and use is almost ready

- a gLite Resource Broker has successfully been installed inside the Catania GILDA site. The installation of an UI is under way. Problems encountered have been fed back to JRA1.

3.2. JRA4

(from Kostas)

Deliverables and milestones

[PM12] MJRA4.3 "Prototype tool to access network performance metrics from a limited set of measurement points". Work progressing according to plan.

Sub-Activities

- NPM (Network Performance Monitoring). Monitoring ring extended with one of the two gLite prototype sites. Unfortunately JRA1 cannot furnish the secondary producer as planned, so JRA4 set up one (CNRS).

Progress with the deployment of gLite R-GMA and the monitoring tools across the prototype sites. MJRA4.3 target looks achievable but tight.

Demo at GGF-Korea took place on 16 March; still no field report at the time of writing, but the team surpassed serious hurdles to get there.

NPM session arranged with interested parties of JRA1 and SA2 for EGEE-3; contacts took place on the AAM framework as well.

- BAR (Bandwidth Allocation and Reservation). Early prototype produced right on time (28 February). It is a proof of concept for the communications between the webservices (Client-BAR and BAR-network).

Work now focuses on a production version of BAR.

4. PEB PROGRAMME OF WORK

See Programme of Work table here:

<http://egee-intranet.web.cern.ch/egee-intranet/Project-Structure/boards/PEB2.html>