Small-x and heavy flavor effects in Z/W/H production at Tevatron and LHC

Small x: S. B., P. Nadolsky, F. Olness, C.-P. Yuan, hep-ph/0410375 Heavy flavor: S. B., P. Nadolsky, F. Olness (paper in preparation)

> Stefan Berge, SMU, Dallas, TX TeV4LHC, 29 April '05, CERN

1. Introduction

- 2. Small-x effects in W/Z/H production
- 3. Heavy Flavor effects in W/Z/H production

4. Conclusion

Small-x grid files for W and Z^0 boson production at Tevatron Run II can be downloaded from: http://hep.pa.msu.edu/people/nadolsky/ResBos/grids/tev1960/rap/

www.physics.smu.edu/~berge/small-x/

1. Introduction

Want to measure boson production $(Z/W^{\pm}/H)$ at Tevatron and LHC:

i) E.g. Measurement of W-boson mass M_W and width Γ_W in $pp/p\bar{p} \rightarrow WX \rightarrow l\nu X$

Important for precision tests of SM

- \checkmark Consistency between different experiments and SM
- Together with Top quark mass, constraint on Higgs boson mass



Tevatron Run-2 goal: reduce δM_W to 30 MeV (present error 59 MeV) LHC goal: $\delta M_W \simeq 15$ MeV

How is such accuracy achieved given that the W-bosons are not observed directly

- 1. Measure the lepton transverse mass distribution
- 2. Measure $d\sigma/dq_T^l$ -distribution \rightarrow determine p_T distribution for W-bosons

ii) Need consistent theoretical predictions for small x $(5 \cdot 10^{-4} \le x \le 0.01)$

1. Introduction



Important to precisely measure $W\mbox{-}{\rm boson}$ mass



NLO; calculated using ResBos (Balazs, Nadolsky, Yuan)

2. Semi-inclusive DIS

2000: Nadolsky, Stump, Yuan, hep-ph/0012261

Analysed semi-inclusive production of hadronic final states. Transverse energy flow using the CSS (Collins, Soper, Sterman, 1985) formalism:

$$rac{d\Sigma_z}{dxdQ^2dq_T^2} = \int_0^\infty rac{bdb}{2\pi} \; J_0(q_Tb) \; \widetilde{W}_z(b,Q,x) + Y_z(q_T,Q,x).$$

using the b_* prescription:

$$\widetilde{W}_{z}(b,Q,x) = \frac{\pi}{S_{eA}} \sum_{j=u,\bar{u},\dots} \sigma_{j}^{(0)} \mathcal{C}_{z}^{out}(b_{*}) \,\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{j}^{(S)}(x,b) \, e^{-\mathcal{S}_{P}(b_{*},Q) - \mathcal{S}_{NP}(b,Q,x;b_{*})}$$

 $\gamma^* p$ c.m.frame

 p_H

 $heta_H \sim q_T/Q$

S(b,Q): soft (Sudakov) factor

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{j}^{(S)}(x,b)=\left[\mathcal{C}_{j/a}^{in(S)}\otimes f_{a}
ight](x,b_{*})$$
: b -dependent parton distribution

2. Semi-inclusive DIS

2000: Nadolsky, Stump, Yuan, hep-ph/0012261

From the fit of the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ resummed z-flow to the Hera H1 data:

 \rightarrow small-x behaviour is only consistent with the data if an $q_T\text{-}\mathrm{broadening}$ factor

$$\sim expigg\{-c_0rac{b^2}{x^p}igg\}$$

was introduced. Best fit for p = 1 and $c_0 = 0.013$. Identify:

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{j}^{(S)}(x,b) = \left[\mathcal{C}_{j/a}^{in(S)}\otimes f_{a}
ight](x,b_{*})\;e^{-0.013rac{b^{2}}{x}}$$



Assumption supported by recent perturbative higher order calculations:

(Maniatis et al. hep-ph/0411300, Daleo et al. hep-ph/0411212, Fontannaz hep-ph/0410021, Aurenche et al. 2004)

2. Boson production at Hadron Collider

Use HERA results as a phenomenological approch for $x \ll 10^{-2}$ to predict boson production at Hadron Colliders:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AB\to VX}}{dQ^2 dy dq_T^2} = \int \frac{d^2 b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\vec{q}_T \cdot \vec{b}} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\sigma_{ab}^0}{S} \left[\mathcal{C}_{a/c}^{in} \otimes f_c \right] (x_A, \frac{b_0}{b_*}) \left[\mathcal{C}_{b/d}^{in} \otimes f_d \right] (x_B, \frac{b_0}{b_*}) \times e^{-S_P(b_*, Q) - S_{NP}^{BLNY}(b, Q) - b^2 \rho(x_A) - b^2 \rho(x_B)} + Y$$

with

$$ho(x) = c_0 \left(\sqrt{rac{1}{x^2} + rac{1}{x_0^2}} - rac{1}{x_0}
ight) \ , \qquad \left\{ egin{array}{cl} x \ll x_0 : &
ho(x) \sim c_0/x & ext{fits to SIDIS data} \\ x \gg x_0 : &
ho(x)
ightarrow 0 & ext{fits to Run I, BLNY} \end{array}
ight.$$

$$c_0 = 0.013, \quad x_0 = 0.005$$

 $b_* = b/\sqrt{1 + b^2/b_{max}^2}$. Above equation reduces to the conventional CSS cross section at large x and includes sources of additional q_T broadening at small x ($x \leq 10^{-2}$).

Small x broadening in $p \bar{p}
ightarrow Z^0 X$ at Tevatron Run II



No \boldsymbol{y}_W cut





Small x broadening in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z^0 X$ at Tevatron Run II



 $|\boldsymbol{y}_W|>2$







Small x: $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W^+ X \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e X$ at Tevatron



 $|y_{e}| > 1$



Small x broadening (blue line) compared to a shift of the W boson mass of ± 50 MeV (red line).

Plotted is the ratio

$$rac{d\sigma_X/dq^e_T}{d\sigma^{
ho(x)=0}_{M_W}/dq^e_T}$$

over the lepton transverse momentum $q_T^e!$

For $|y_e| < 1$, small-x effect is comparable with a 10-20 MeV mass shift.

Small x: $pp \rightarrow Z/W + X$ at LHC



- x stays above 10^{-4} (SIDIS data)

- coverage of the inner ATLAS detector

Small x broadening enhanced even in the central region due to $x|_{y\approx 0} \approx 0.006$

Dependence of $d\sigma/dq_T^W$ on transverse W-boson momentum q_T^W



Small x: $pp \rightarrow H^0 + X$ at LHC

Higgs Boson production at LHC: $pp(\rightarrow gg) \rightarrow H^0X$



Dependence of $d\sigma/dq_T^{H^0}$ on transverse H-boson momentum $q_T^{H^0}$

No y_H cut \rightarrow no large small-x broadening

Distribution peaks at $q_T = 10 - 20 \text{ GeV}$

black line: ho(x) and S_{NP} are the same as for Z^0 production

red line: S_{NP} multiplied by $\frac{C_A}{C_F} = \frac{9}{4}$ due to larger leading-logarithm coefficient (C_A) in gg channels compared to $q\overline{q}$ channels (C_F)

3. Resummation in heavy flavor production:

- It is well know, how to calculate $d\sigma/dq_T$ for massless quarks (resummation for $\ln(q_T^2/Q^2)$, e.g. CSS)
- Massless approximation neglects terms $\sim M_H^2/q_T^2$, which may be important at small q_T

$$\frac{1}{q_T^2 + M_H^2} = \frac{1}{q_T^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{M_H^2}{q_T^2}} \right)$$

- Systematic calculation of differential distributions in reactions with heavy quarks H(H = c, b) in the presence of 3 distinct momentum scales (Nadolsky, Kidonakis, Olness, Yuan, 2003)
 - e.g. Boson production relevant momentum scales:
 - Heavy quark mass M_H
 - Virtuality Q of the produced boson $(\Lambda^2_{QCD} \ll M^2_H \ll Q^2)$
 - Transverse momentum q_T of the produced boson ($0 \le q_T^2$)
- Relies on the usage of a massive variable flavor number (VFN) factorization scheme

3. Heavy flavor contribution in W and Z bosons production



Largest heavy quark contribution in charm quark channel in W^- boson production (31%) at LHC.

3. Heavy Quark

Simplified ACOT factorization scheme (Collins 1998; Kramer, Olness, Soper, 2000)



- Set $M_H = 0$ in coefficient functions for incoming heavy quarks
- Only graphs with explicit flavor creation retain $M_H \neq 0$
- Significantly simplifies calculations
- M_H is dropped in the Sudakov factor S(b,Q)
- Quark initiated processes from the massless calculation: M_H is dropped in the function $C_{jq}^{in}(x, b\mu_F)$, $C_{bj}^{out}(z, b\mu_F)$ with incoming heavy quarks
- Numerical closed to the conventional ACOT scheme
- Included $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ contribution of $g \to q\bar{q}$ for $M_H \neq 0$ into Legacy (Landry, Brock, Nadolsky, Yuan, 2003)
- Following plots are computed with Resbos (Balazs, Yuan, 1997)

3. Heavy Quark: Z-boson production at LHC

Consider heavy flavor channels $(c\bar{c}, bb)$ for Z boson production at LHC:

 $d\sigma/dq_T$ enhanced by 2.5% in peak region $q_T\sim 7.5~{\rm GeV}$

 $d\sigma/dq_T$ slightly reduced for $q_T > 15~{\rm GeV}$

Heavy flavor channels contribute only to 15% to total cross section \rightarrow increase of less than 0.3% remains \rightarrow curves not distinguishable for cross section including all possible initial quark combinations

Effect for Z^0 production at Tevatron negligible.



Dependence of $d\sigma/dq_T^Z$ on transverse momentum q_T for the $c\bar{c}, b\bar{b} \rightarrow Z^0$ channels only





 $\bar{u}b, \bar{c}d, \bar{c}s, \bar{c}b \to W^-$



Heavy flavor channels only:

Shifts the Jacobian peak in the negative direction

Size of this effect is comparable to a M_W shift of about -15 MeV

Similar behavior and size for W^+ production at LHC and W production at Tevatron (because dominant channel is always $c\bar{s} \rightarrow W^+$ or $\bar{c}s \rightarrow W^-$)

3. Heavy Quark: W^- production at LHC



3. Heavy Quark: Higgs boson production

Consider Higgs boson production in: $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b} \rightarrow H^0$

 $M_h = 120 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}$

Contributes in SM less than $1\% \rightarrow \text{negligible}$

Can be dominant in MSSM for large $\tan \beta$ because $b\bar{b}H$ coupling is enhanced, $t\bar{t}H$ coupling mostly suppressed

Shift in the peak reagion: At Tevatron: -2.0 GeVAt LHC: -1.2 GeV

(Decreases to -0.6 GeV for $M_h = 600 \text{ GeV}$)

Other channels with one initial bottom quark may be affected: $gb \rightarrow Zb, gb \rightarrow H^0b, gb \rightarrow H^-t, u\bar{b} \rightarrow \bar{d}t \dots$



4. Conclusion

- Small x:
 - Based on semi-inclusive DIS data, we hypothesize broadening of q_T distributions at $x \lesssim 10^{-2}$
 - q_T broadening can possibly measured in the forward rapidity range ($|y| \ge 2$) in Z-boson production at Tevatron and will affect the W mass measurement for $|y| \ge 1$
 - q_T broadening will strongly influence predictions for W and Z production at LHC
 - For H^0 transverse momentum distribution, q_T broadening might be less important at the LHC
- Heavy flavor effects on transverse momentum distribution:
 - Heavy flavor effects on Z and W boson production at Tevatron are negligible
 - Heavy flavor effects on W mass measurement at LHC are smaller than the experimental uncertainties
 - Bottom-antibottom initiated processes can be strongly affected (e.g. $b\bar{b} \rightarrow H$ at LHC: peak shifted by -1.2 GeV)



- Included $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ contribution of $g \to q \bar{q}$ for $M_q \neq 0$ into Legacy

• Following plots are computed with Resbos

m

2. Introduction



$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{j}^{(I)}(x,b) &= \left| \mathcal{H}^{(\mathcal{I})}(b_{0}/b) \right| \widetilde{U}(b_{0}/b)^{1/2} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{j}(x,b), \quad \text{(Collins, Soper; '82)} \\ \mathcal{H}^{(\mathcal{I})}(b_{0}/b) & \dots \text{ virtual corr. to the hard vertex} \\ \widetilde{U}(b_{0}/b)^{1/2} & \dots \text{ collects soft subgraphs attached to } \mathcal{H}^{(\mathcal{I})}(b_{0}/b) \\ \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{j}(x,b) & \dots \text{ is the same in SIDIS and Drell-Yan,} \quad \text{(Collins, Metz; hep-ph-0408249)} \end{split}$$

4. Numerical Results

Higgs Boson production at LHC: $pp(\rightarrow gg) \rightarrow H^0X$



Dependence of $d\sigma/dq_T^{H^0}$ on transverse H-boson momentum $q_T^{H^0}$

No y_H cut \rightarrow no large small x broadening

Distribution peaks at $q_T = 10 - 20$ GeV black line: $\rho(x)$ and S_{NP} are the same

as for Z^0 production

red line: S_{NP} multiplied by $\frac{C_A}{C_F} = \frac{9}{4}$ due to larger leading-logarithm coefficient (C_A) in gg channels compared to $q\overline{q}$ channels (C_F)

 $gg \rightarrow (H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) X$: Signal significance can be increased by selecting events with $q_T^{\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 30$ GeV (Abdullin et al.)

End



(the dominant contribution comes from $x|_{y\approx 0} \approx 0.05$)

Effect measurable in the Tevatron Run-2

Visible broadening in the forward region 90% of cross section between $2 < \left|y\right| < 2.5$



Resummation

QCD factorization in hard and soft regions



