A High-Intensity, High-Luminosity Muon Source PRISM and A Search for Muon-electron Conversion

Yoshitaka Kuno Osaka University November 9th, 2005 "Flavour in the Era of the LHC", CERN



Muon to Electron Conversion What? Experimental PRISM Project (muon source) PRISM and PRIME PRISM FFAG-ring R&D and Roadmap at J-PARC Summary

Upper Limits for LFV



Why the Muon?

- LFV Sensitivity in the muon is the best over the other systems because of enormous beam intensity (10⁸/sec), and will be the best for future prospect of muon beam intensity (~10¹²/sec - 10¹⁴/sec), thanks to R&D studies of neutrino factory front-end.
- The muon provides a clean test ground, on the contrast to hadrons where QCD corrections needed introduces sensitivity limits,

$\mu \rightarrow e\gamma \& \mu - e conversion$



Signature $E_e = E_\gamma = m_\mu/2$ back-to-back, same time Background (1) radiative decay (2) accidentals $\mu^- + N \to e^- + N$

Signature: $E_e = m_\mu - B_\mu$ monoenergetic electron Background: (1) bound muon decay (2) radiative pion/muon catpure (3) cosmic rays, etc.

nucleus

Photon-mediated SUSY LFV

 $\mu - e$ conversion vs. $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

If photon-mediated, $\frac{B(\mu N \rightarrow eN)}{B(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)} \sim \frac{1}{100}$

But, experimentally,

$\mu \to e \gamma$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$
$\mu N \to eN$	$< 6 \times 10^{-13}$



Higgs-mediated SUSY LFV

Higgs-exchange for LFV in SUSY Seesaw model



As the H₀ mass is light, the contribution of the Higgs-mediated diagram becomes larger. $\frac{B(\mu N \rightarrow eN)}{B(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)} \sim O(1)$ at $H_0 \sim 200$ GeV



Which Muon LFV Process Next?

	issue	beam requirement
$\mu \to e \gamma$	detector-limited	a continuos beam
$\mu \rightarrow eee$	detector-limited	a continuos beam
$\mu N \to eN$	beam-limited	a pulsed beam

SINDRUM-II µ-e conversion

µe Conversion on Gold

Final result



In the likelihood analysis of the energy distribution a flat background from cosmic rays and radiative pion capture was allowed.

Result: $B_{\mu e}^{\text{gold}} < 8 \times 10^{-13}$ 90% C.L.

MECO µ-e conversion

 $< 10^{-16}$

at BNL

1. Large acceptance pion capture in a SCS

- Muon transport (60 120 MsV/c) in a curved solenoid
- Long detector solenoid with muon stpping target and tracking system

cancelled in 2005.

Beam Requirements for µ-e conversion

Beam is critical element for $\mu\text{-}e$ conversion MECO

Higher muon intensity
 more than10¹² μ⁻/sec
 pulsed beam
 rejection of background fr

rejection of background from proton beam

Less beam contamination

- no pion contamination
 - ⇒ long flight path

beam extinction between pulses

⇒ kicker magnet

Narrow energy spread

PRISM

- allow a thinner muon-stopping target
 - ⇒ better e⁻ resolution and acceptance

Point Source

- allow a beam blocker behind the target
 - ⇒ isolate the target and detector
 - tracking close to a beam axis



PRISM

PRISM

PRISM=Phase Rotated Intense Slow Muon source

PRISM is a high intensity muon source with narrow energy spread and high purity.

high intensity: (Solenoid Pion Capture)
 narrow momentum width: (Phase rotation)
 small emittance (in future): (Cooling)



What is Phase Rotation?

Phase rotation = decelerate particles with high energy and accelerate particle with low energy by high-field RF so as to make the energy spread narrower.





If proton bunch is narrow, highenergy particles come earlier and lowenergy particles come late.

Need Compressed Proton Bunches



FFAG for Phase Rotation

a ring instead of linear systems
reduction of # of rf cavities
reduction of rf power consumption synchro compact rotation

FFAG = Fíxed Field Alternating Gradient Synchrotron synchrotron oscillation for phase rotation

not cyclotron (isochronous)

large momentum acceptance

larger than synchrotron
± several 10 % is aimed

large transverse acceptance

strong focusing large horizontal emittance reasonable vertical emittance at low energy

PRISM=Phase RotatedPRISMIntense Slow Muon source

8 muon intensity: $10^{11} \sim 10^{12}$ /sec central momentum: 68 MeV/c narrow momentum width: 3 % (<--- 30 %)</p> 3 by phase rotation (5-6 turns) pion contamination : 10⁻¹⁸ Ö for 150m Repetition: 100 Hz



PRISM Yield Estimation

estimated for about 0.75 MW beam power depend on technology choice, and not fully optimized yet.

Target material	Capture	Transport	Muon yield per	Muon yield per
	field	field	10^{14} protons	4×10^{14} protons
Graphite	16 T	4 T	4.8×10^{10}	19×10^{10}
	$16 \mathrm{T}$	2 T	3.6×10^{10}	14×10^{10}
	12 T	4 T	3.6×10^{10}	14×10^{10}
	12 T	2 T	3.0×10^{10}	12×10^{10}
	8 T	4 T	3.0×10^{10}	12×10^{10}
	8 T	2 T	2.4×10^{10}	9.6×10^{10}
	6 T	4 T	1.8×10^{10}	7.2×10^{10}
	6 T	2 T	1.8×10^{10}	7.2×10^{10}
Tungsten	16 T	4 T	13×10^{10}	50×10^{10}
	$16 \mathrm{T}$	2 T	11×10^{10}	46×10^{10}
	12 T	4 T	9.6×10^{10}	38×10^{10}
	12 T	2 T	9.0×10^{10}	36×10^{10}
	8 T	4 T	6.0×10^{10}	24×10^{10}
	$8 \mathrm{T}$	2 T	7.2×10^{10}	29×10^{10}
	6 T	4 T	4.2×10^{10}	17×10^{10}
	6 T	2 T	4.8×10^{10}	19×10^{10}

Target length 3 interaction length FFAG acceptance H:2000 π mm mrad V:3000 π mm mrad $\epsilon_{dispersion} = 100\%$ $\epsilon_{FFAG} = 100\%$

now H:40000 π mm mrad, V:6500 π mm mrad



PRIME

PRIME

PRIME = PRISM Mu E experiment

using PRISM Aim at 10⁻¹⁸

Detector Option: Spiral solenoid spectrometer

eliminate low energy particles by a toroidal magnetic field

$$D = \frac{1}{0.3B} \frac{s}{R} \frac{(p_s^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_t^2)}{p_s}$$



BG Rejection Summary

muon decay in orbit $-(E_0-E)^5$ better e⁺ momentum resolution » a thin muon stopping target is helpful. (=several 100 g) radiative muon capture endpoint for Ti = 89.7MeV » signal = 104.3 MeV better e⁺ momentum resolution » a thin muon stopping target radiavtive π capture long flight length (150m) » 30 m FFAG circumference x 5 turns π surviving rate: 10-18 at 68 MeV/c

cosmic ray backgrounds 1kHz (duty factor: 1/1000) long transit time backgrounds - FFAG timing (kicker) anti-proton - absorber before FFAG beam electrons, electrons from muon decay in flight - FFAG's momentum accpetance: different β (out of time) - not bunched at FFAG? **FFAG** gives additional beam extinction

between pulses.

PRIME Background Rates



Muon Decay in Orbit ($\propto (E_{\mu e} - E_e)^5$) Detector Resolution $\Delta E_e = 235 \text{ keV}$

Preliminary

at the sensitivity of 10^{-18}

Background	Rate	comment
Muon decay in orbit	0.05	energy reso 350keV(FWHM)
Radiative muon capture	0.01	end point energy for Ti=89.7MeV
Radiative pion capture	0.03	long flight length in FFAG, 2 kicker
Pion decay in flight	0.008	long flight length in FFAG, 2 kicker
Beam electron	negligible	kinematically not allowed
Muon decay in flight	negligible	kinematically not allowed
Antiproton	negligible	absorber at FFAG entrance
Cosmic-ray	< 10^-7 events	low duty factor
Total	0.10	



PRISM-Ríng R&D

PRISM Ring Construction

PRISM ring construction has been approved in JFY2003.

FFAG ring 👶 5 year plan construction at Osaka university Goals proton/muon phase rotation 8 muon acceleration 8 muon cooling?



Capture Solenoid

PRISM Lattice





PRISM-FFAG Acceptance



N=10 F/D=8 k=5 r0=6.5m H:2.86 V:144

a la Akira Sato (Osaka)

PRISM FFAG Magnet

Radial Sector type
DFD Triplet
Large Aperture
Field gradient
Trim coil
C-shape





PRISM RF Field Gradient



PRISM goal $> 200 \, \text{kV/m}$

RF Amp. at RCNP, Osaka



PRISM RF Amplifier Test

Test Station of PRISM RF Sytem **RF** Amplifier and Power supply Test RF Cavity (1 gap, $700k\Omega$) Gap voltage of 86 kV p-p at 5 MHz achieved correspond to about 150kV/m Long-term test 80 Gap Voltage pk-pk [kV] 70 100 Hz repetition 60

- Burst length 30µsec
- more than 6 hours









rf cavity core

PRISM MA Core

1.7m

3.5cm

700cm

156Ω @ 5MHz



PRISM Roadmap

PRISM Staging

Phase I

•Construct the Whole PRISM instumentations. •Test the performance of PRISM. •2006-2009

Phase II

• Bring PRISM to any high-intensity hadron facility. carry out the experiment (PRIME). •after 2010

PRISM + PRIME



Muon Intensities

Proton-Normalized Muon Intensity

verify the performance of high-intensity and high-luminosity muon source (front end of mini-neutrino factory). 8 (right) muon beam intensity scaled to 1MW proton beam





at J-PARC

LOI to J-PARC

year 2003

	title	contact persons
1	The PRISM Project - A Muon Source of the World-Highest Brightness by Phase Rotation -	Y. Mori, K. Yoshimura, N. Sasao, Y. Kuno
2	An Experimental Search for the μ -e Conversion Process Towards an Ultimate Sensitivity of the Order of 10^{-18}	Y. Mori, K. Yoshimura, N. Sasao, Y. Kuno
3	Request for A Pulsed Proton Beam Facility at J-PARC	R.S. Hayano, Y. Kuno
4	A Study of Neutrino Factory in Japan	Y. Mori, Y. Kuno
5	Search for a Permanent Muon Electric Dipole Moment at 10 ⁻²⁴ ecm Level	Y. Semertzidis, J. Miller, Y. Kuno
6	An Improved Muon (g-2) Experiment at J-PARC	L. Roberts
7	A Study of a Target System for a 4-MW, 50-GeV Proton Beam	K. McDonald, H. Kirk, Y. Kuno, Y. Yoshimura

Only related to muon physics

J-PARC at Tokai

J-PARC = Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex



Muon Factory@J-PARC

Pulsed Proton Beam Facility is newly requested to J-PARC.



Summary

Search for charged lepton mixing, in particular in the muon, would provide great opportunity to find new physics beyond the Standard Model. The high-intensity, high-luminosity muon source, PRISM, is planned in Japan to search for a muon to electron conversion at 10⁻¹⁸. The PRISM-FFAG ring is now under construction at Osaka university. Funding bids of the PRISM/Phase-I is now being prepared. Look forward to discovery !

End of My Slides

observation Polarized $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$



useful to distinguish different theoretical models

SU(5) SUSY-GUT

non-unified SUSY
with heavy neutrino

Left-right symmetric model

SO(10) SUSY-GUT

Y.Kuno and Y. Okada, Physical Review Letters 77 (1996) 434 Y.Kuno, A. Maki and Y. Okada, Physical Reviews D55 (1997) R2517-2520 P-odd asymmetry reflects whether right or left-handed slepton have flavor mixing,

μ

after observation T-odd (CPV) in LFV

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^+ e^-$$



Two P-odd and one T-odd asymmetry $ec{P}_{\mu} \cdot (ec{p}_{e^+} imes ec{p}_{e^-})$

P and T-odd asymmetries in SUSY GUT models
 SU (5)
 SO (10)

 +100%
 -100% - +100%
 $A_{\mu
ightarrow e \gamma}$ $-30\% - +40\% \simeq -A_{\mu \to e\gamma}/10$ A_{P_1} A_{P_2} -20% - +20 % $\simeq -A_{\mu \to e\gamma}/6$ $|A_T|$ $\lesssim 15\%$ $\lesssim 0.01\%$ Y.Okada, K.Okumura and Y.Shimizu, 2000 Y.Okada,K.Okumura,and Y.Shimizu, 2000 T-odd asymmetry in the SUSY seesaw model Branching ratios T-odd asymmetry A_T В Ł 101 Ĺ (b) m1/2=200 GeV 0.1 $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ $A_0 = 0$ $\tan \beta = 10$ 0.05 $\varphi_2 = 2.1$ m_{1/2}=200 GeV A₀=0 0.06 10-12 $\tan \beta = 10$ $\varphi_2 = 2.1$ $\mu \rightarrow e e e$ 0.04 10-13 0.02 (a) 320 330 330 320 m_o[GeV] m_o[GeV]

Leptogenesis

J.Ellis, J.Hisano, S.Lola, and M.Raidal, 2001