



Enabling Grids for E-science

# Overview and NA1

*Erwin Laure, EGEE Technical Director, CERN*  
*EGEE All-Activity Meeting*  
*January 18-19, 2006*

[www.eu-egee.org](http://www.eu-egee.org)



- **“Focussed” review in December successfully passed**
  - Many thanks to everybody for the hard work!
  - “The sustained throughput [...] demonstrates the stability of the infrastructure and the ‘reality’ of EGEE” – *from the review report*
- **Still need to finish the PoW of phase 1**
  - And take into account the comments from the reviewers
- **Proposal for EGEE-II was very positively reviewed and we are now in the middle of the negotiation process**
  - Bob will give an update tomorrow

- **Need to finish the following deliverables:**
  - PM22: DSA2.3, DJRA2.3, DJRA3.4
  - PM23: DJRA1.7, DJRA4.6, DJRA4.7, DNA4.4&DNA4.3.3, DNA5.5, DSA1.8
  - Timelines:
    - PM22: 01/02/06 review starts; 15/02/06 1st round completed; 28/02/06 review completed; 09/03/06 PEB/PMB approval; 14/03/06 sent to EU
    - PM23: 01/03/06 review starts; 15/03/06 1st round completed; 31/03/06 review completed; 09/04/06 PEB/PMB approval; 14/04/06 sent to EU
  - **Take into account the reviewers recommendation: “Make document deliverables lighter, better restrict the content to the abstraction level of the respective document. Reduce the redundancy among the different documents wherever possible.”**
  - **Respond to the reviewer activity specific recommendations in the final deliverables, where appropriate**
  
- **Final QR and PR (covering PM10-24!) in PM24**
  - Need all contributions firm by March 31<sup>st</sup>!
  - Use March to work on these
  
- **And work on a smooth transition towards EGEE-II**
  - TCG operational since November 2005
  - SA1 and JRA1 testing and integration teams being merged
  - EGEE-II transition meeting planned for April 13
    - Information day for new partners on April 12

- **Review the progress of the PoW for phase I**
  - Please raise any issues you have that may prevent a successful completion of the first phase
  
- **Discuss how to address the reviewers' recommendations**
  - The final review on May 23/24 will focus on these
    - Each activity will have to respond to the recommendations in their presentation
  - A written response is needed early May in preparation for the review
    - This should be worked on during April
  
- **Negotiation status of EGEE-II**
  
- **Presentation of important deliverables/milestones:**
  - DSA1.5/1.7 “Cookbook”
  - MJRA3.9 “Accounting”

- **PM24: QR and PR**
  - All contributions please by March 31<sup>st</sup> latest!
- **Final cost-claims**
  - Reports generated from PPT (required PPT upgrades ongoing)
- **Organization of final review**
  - May 23 and 24
  - Dress rehearsal May 18/19
  - First rehearsal May 4/5
  - Demo rehearsals to be scheduled after User Forum
- **No major problems foreseen in finishing that**

*Assign deputies for all people who work on activities critical to the overall success of the project*

- **All activity managers as well as leaders of cross-activity groups have assigned deputies**

### *Further enlarge the investments in staff retention*

- Avoid financing gap by working hard towards a start date of April 1<sup>st</sup> for EGEE-II in all negotiations
- PMB is taking appropriate measures

*Transfer unspent budget in the SA1 activity to reinforce testing*

- **Was discussed at PMB**
- **Central Europe is already taking steps**
  - More input required from SA1



*Make document deliverables lighter, better restrict the content to the abstraction level of the respective documents. Reduce the redundancy among the different documents wherever possible*

- **This is an action on everybody! Please try to implement it!**

*Have more direct pathways and programs where the teams from developers, testers, infrastructural providers, and above all, application developers and users spend focused efforts to identify the usage and concerns with the current LCG-2 and gLite, instead of relying on a fairly long pathway from the application end to the development.*

- **Explain TFs and TCG**
  - Need to see how to involve industry for EGEE-II

*Continuously assess user application feedback, especially in the light of introduction of new services, in order to be able to judge whether continued investment into the R&D of that particular feature would have high return on its value*

- **Explain TFs and TCG**

*Revise the gLite development process to fully integrate the TCG and application developers.*

- **Explain TFs and TCG**

*Mandate the Industry Forum to fulfill its important role. If this appears to be impossible, think of substituting the IF by another organisation or different organisations which are more willing to take the responsibility of interfacing with the industry*

- **Need input from IF**