

Meeting Object: **PEB**

Editor(s): **Bob Jones, Marc-Elian Bégin**

Meeting Date: **1/9/2005**

Meeting Place: **CERN, 600-R-002 + Phone**

Attendees: NA1: Bob Jones, Marc-Elian Bégin
NA2: Jim Buddin (phone), Hannelore Hammerle
NA3: David Fergusson (phone)
NA4: Cal Loomis (phone), Vincent Breton (phone), Massimo Lamanna
NA5: Fotis Karayannis (phone)
SA1: Ian Bird
SA2: Jean-Paul Gautier (phone)
JRA1: Frédéric Hemmer, Erwin Laure
JRA2: Gabriel Zaquine
JRA3: Ake Edlund (phone)
JRA4: Kostas Kavoussanakis (phone)

Apologies: JRA2: Alberto Aimar, NA1: Dieter Kranzlmüller, NA2: Joanne Barnett, NA3: Malcolm Atkinson

Absent:

Distribution: PEB Members

Information [Minutes](#) from the previous meeting

1. PROPOSED AGENDA

- a. Minutes of the previous meeting and issues arising
- b. EGEE-II
- c. EGEE-4 Pisa Conference
- d. AOB

2. AGENDA ITEMS

a. Minutes of the previous meeting and issues arising

The minutes were accepted.

Frédéric: the TOC for MJRA1.6 will be provided next week.

Review of action items

See action table here:

<http://egee-intranet.web.cern.ch/egee-intranet/Project-Structure/boards/PEB2.html>

Deliverables and Milestones

Find updated list of Deliverables and Milestone here:

<http://egee-jra2.web.cern.ch/EGEE-JRA2/EUDocuments/Deliverables/Deliverables.htm>

New action status:

No new status

b. EGEE-4 Pisa Conference

Jim: few comments have been received on the latest conference programme.

Ian and Frédéric still have to meet and discuss the JRA1/SA1 session.

Thursday PM and Friday AM will feature summary of parallel sessions.

Related projects will be offered to have a meeting in the conference premises.

c. EGEE-II

Bob: a new version of the proposal will be sent to the PEB list this week. It will not contain the budget tables. We need to make sure that each activity has somebody available for quick responses next week.

Ian is still working on last updates for SA1 and SA3. SA2 is complete. We have received updates on JRA1. JRA2, NA2 and NA5 are complete. NA3 is complete, but a little too long. NA4 is complete, but we need more inputs on Fusion.

WBS: SA1, SA2, SA3, JRA1, JRA2, NA1, NA2, NA3, NA5. NA4 have a draft which will be sent to the PO tomorrow.

KU-NATFAK changed its name for UKBH.

Bob: we are still working on the summary sections for NA, SA and JRA. From the point-of-view of the content, we are in a good shape. The PMB meets tomorrow to endorse the proposal (i.e. effort, finance, task list). Next week, the PO will polish the document. Only minor modifications will be done on the document.

Virtuous Cycle

Vincent brought the point that NA4 has issues with the new Virtuous Cycle diagram. The main problem is that the focus of the new diagram is not the user.

We agreed to revert to the old picture. We will further work on this new picture for the TA.

Fotis: there are inconsistencies in the name of activities across the document. We agreed on the exact name of each activity, which will be reflected in the proposal.

Fotis: the objective of SA1 is not mentioned in the section "Fundamental Objectives of the I3". Bob this section will be reviewed.

Project Targets

Bob: we need to agree on the project targets.

Bob: we are proposing to replace the "Number of Users" with "Number of people benefiting from the existence of EGEE". Bob: the count will have to be different for the different user communities.

A discussion followed, whether this metric is not too HEP centric and if we should not simply count the Grid certificate.

Bob/David: what's behind this metric is the evaluation of the impact the EGEE infrastructure is having on user communities.

Bob read the list of proposed metrics.

We agreed that we will define a rate of change, instead of an absolute value for the number of users. The target will be 25% at the end of year 1 and 50% at the end of year 2, compared to the number at the start of EGEE-II.

We keep the values for the old phase 1 definition of number of users: 4000 by end of year 1 and 5000 by the end of year 2.

For the number of institute including Grid users, we will trace back from active certificates, the home institute. Start with 75 by end of year 1 and 100 by end of year 2.

Number of application domains: end of year 1, we'll have 7 domains and 8 at the end of year 2.

Related projects could be counted as new application domains.

Countries contributing resources: targets will be 40 end of year 1, and 45 end of year 2.

Number of languages for which we have dissemination material: target will be 10 at end of year 1 and 12 at the end of year 2.

Number of attendees trained by EGEE: target is 750 at the end of year 1 and 1500 at the end of year 2.

Number of sites connected to the infrastructure: the target will be 180 at the end of year 1, and 200 at the end of year 2.

Number of simultaneously submitted jobs: (peak number of jobs) the target will be 9000 at the end of year 1, and 12000 at the end of year 2.

Percentage of sites being "production quality" (passing site certification test): the target will be 60% at the end of year 1, and 80% at the end of year 2.

Total count of event at which EGEE will be present: the target will be 10 events (1 per application + important information technology events) at the end of year 1, and 20 events at the end of year 2.

d. AOB

Job success rate

Gabriel: should we not include the 'Job success rate'?

A discussion followed. It seemed that the current way of calculating this is not good enough nor reliable. It will not be included in the proposal. We will continue to monitor it and re-discuss this at a later date.

Letter from EU India Grid

Objections, if any, have to be sent to Bob before tomorrow morning.

gLite

Frédéric : gLite 1.4 will not be ready this week as originally thought.

Metadata catalog

Massimo: we agreed on a MDC interface at the PTF. We agreed that ARDA will deliver an implementation of the MDC to be integrated in the gLite repository. This is been tested by GILDA people and biomed. We still need to integrate security in the implementation.

Erwin: the objective here is to avoid confusion with which MDC implementation will be delivered to the user.

This integration work was only possible with the closed and hard work of ARDA and JRA1/Integration teams.

Meb: will the ARDA MDC follow the interface and WSDL defined by the PTF?

Massimo: the ARDA MDC will follow the PTF interface and WSDL.

We agreed that any deviation on the agreed PTF interface will be reported and discussed.

The details of this will be taken offline between Massimo, Meb and possibly the gLite monitoring meeting members.

Pisa programme

Fotis: there's an issue with the PMB meeting. No solution was found. The question will be raised at the PMB meeting tomorrow.

Bob: we will have a PEB meeting on the Wednesday afternoon and diner.

Next meeting: 15th of September 2005 at 16:00

3. PEB PROGRAMME OF WORK

See Programme of Work table here:

<http://egee-intranet.web.cern.ch/egee-intranet/Project-Structure/boards/PEB2.html>