

DISENTANGLING SUSY MODELS WITH EXPERIMENT

ROBERT KEHOE

TeV4LHC WORKSHOP

FEBRUARY 4, 2005

**SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS**

- USE OF OBSERVABLES
- SINGLE OBJECT MEASUREMENTS
- BACKGROUNDS
- FINAL COMMENTS

THE CHARGE

- many SUSY variants
- two questions
 - how produce a general search which is optimally sensitive across many models?
 - how use experimental measurements to distinguish model operating in nature?
- consider Binetruy, et al., hep-ph/0312248
 - take all measurements in conjunction (collider, cosmol., EWSE) to nail down model
 - concentrate on observables which are directly obtained in detectors
 - model independent

OBSERVABLES

hep-ph/0312248

- twelve models
 - pick parameter points which are thought to be representative of wide range within each model
 - observe rates and kinematic distributions
 - interpretation of results: model dependent
- inclusive signatures
 - E_{miss}, > 100 GeV
 - prompt gamma, get $N_1 \chi$ or $G \chi$ isolated w/Pt > 20 GeV
 - isolated $\pi^+/-$, get $N_1 \chi^\pm$, pt > 2 GeV
 - trilepton
 - same-sign dilepton
 - opposite sign dilepton
 - tau rich
 - b rich
 - long-lived (N)LSP

INCLUSIVE SAMPLE

hep-ph/0312248

- assume 10 fb⁻¹
- select events with
 - $E_{\text{miss}} > 100 \text{ GeV}$
 - $P_{Tj2} > 100 \text{ GeV}$

	<u>SM</u>	<u>mSUGRA</u>
• count # of events with these cuts	100k	60k
• + 1 lepton	13k	17k
• opposite sign dilepton	7k	6k
• same sign dilepton	20	1300
• trilepton	60	740
• #s significantly model-dependent		

- consider also kinematic distributions, eg. H_t or St ('meff')
- may do likelihood or other fit across experimental measurements
ascertain SUSY parameters

exam

JETS AND E_{miss}

- jet energy scale
 - wide range of energies correct to get calibrated E_{miss}
 - systematic uncertainty influences #BG pass cut
 - in situ methods:
 - γ +jet (D0)
 - single particle (CDF)
- jet energy and E_{miss} resolution
 - primary issue is non-Gaussian tail
 - need to be monitoring for data quality
 - much experience at Tevatron for this
 - crucial element for these kinds of searches
- need to ascertain extent to which BGs susceptible to miscalibration and resolution tails

LEPTONS AND b-QUARKS

- electrons
 - require highly effective rejection against π^0 jets
 - eg. multiparameter likelihood discriminant (D0)
 - requires understanding of correlation of input parameters
- muons
 - impact of poorly measured tracks on momentum measurement
 - translates into E_{miss} also
- tau's and b's
 - need to provide optimal vertex reconstruction
- methods to estimate fake lepton and mis-tagged jets well-established at Tevatron

MULTIJET AND W/Z BACKGROUNDS

- multijet backgrounds
 - all characteristics (E_{miss} , leptons, vertices) must be faked
 - what is pass rate for E_{miss} selection, and how dependent on resolution and tails?
 - how well understand lepton instrumental backgrounds?
 - eg. 3 jet + E_{miss} \Rightarrow 2 jet + 'e' + E_{miss}
- W and Z
 - need to understand E_{miss} faking in Z events
 - ascertain how well can model high Pt jets
 - MC generator comparison to Tevatron data with maximum luminosity

TOP BACKGROUNDS

- can contribute at almost all levels
 - particularly issue for opposite-sign dilepton
- a good understanding of all single object systematics will be important, perhaps most importantly
 - lepton efficiencies
 - b-tagging efficiencies
 - jet kinematic distributions at high P_t
- Tevatron provides only way to cross check event generators for kinematic distributions

MORE GENERAL ISSUES

- what can do with less luminosity, eg. 1 fb^{-1} ?
 - appears that #events for various scenarios still enough that sensitivity different parameters is present
 - simulation study is needed for this
 - demands stable, effective reconstruction; optimal not needed
- examine validity of signatures across parameter space within model
- what is best way to benefit from exclusive measurements
 - specific final states may allow measurements which can narrow the fit
- overall optimization of search strategy in face of realistic detector simulation necessary
 - eg. grid search vs. multiparameter discriminants like NN to get optimal inclusive and other selection

thank you to Gordon Kane, Frank Paige