CP and vacuum in 2HDM or Symmetries of 2HDM

Maria Krawczyk (Warsaw University)

CPnSH meeting, CERN 14-16 December 2005

Scalar sector:

Freedom in description of Higgs sector - reparametrization (rephasing)
Vacuum in 2HDM: Charged or neutral? Real or complex?
Stability of vacuum

Spontaneous electroweak symmetry breaking in SM

A spontaneous electroweak symmetry breaking of $SU(2) \times U(1)$ (EWSB). In the Standard Model (SM) - Higgs mechanism: the single scalar isodoublet with hypercharge Y = 1

$$\mathcal{L}_H = (D_\mu \phi)^{\dagger} D_\mu \phi - V, \quad V = \lambda (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^2 / 2 - m^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi / 2$$

Parameters: λ, m

Minimum of the potential \rightarrow vacuum with v.e.v: $v/\sqrt{2}=\sqrt{m^2/2\lambda}$

Electric charge operator: $\hat{Q} = T_3 + Y/2$

Vacuum:
$$\hat{Q}\langle\phi\rangle = 0$$
, so $\hat{Q}\begin{pmatrix}u\\v\end{pmatrix} = 0 \rightarrow u = 0$.

• $U(1)_{em}$ invariance of vacuum \Leftrightarrow massless photon. Charge conservation.

•Charge conjugation invariance or C (CP) - invariance of V. C: $\phi \to e^{i\alpha}\phi^*$, α -arbitrary, C: $(\phi^{\dagger}\phi) \to (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^* = (\phi^{\dagger}\phi)$

Symmetries of Two Higgs Doublet Model

I. F. Ginzburg, M. Krawczyk, hep-ph/0408011 (PRD); I. F. Ginzburg at PLC2005

• 2HDM contains two fields, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , with identical quantum numbers: weak isodoublets (T = 1/2) with hypercharges Y = +1

•Global transformations which mix these fields and change the relative phases are allowed without changing physical picture

• One of the reason for introducing 2HDM was to describe phenomenon of CP violation Lee' 73; Glashow and Weinberg'77-CP violation and the flavour changing neutral currents (FCNC) can be naturally suppressed by imposing in Lagrangian a Z_2 symmetry, that is the invariance of the Lagrangian under the interchange

$$(\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_1, \phi_2 \leftrightarrow -\phi_2)$$
 or $(\phi_1 \leftrightarrow -\phi_1, \phi_2 \leftrightarrow \phi_2).$

This symmetry forbids the $\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2$ transition.

2HDM Potential: quartic and quadratic terms separated:

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{3}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{4}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}[\lambda_{5}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \text{h.c.}] + \left\{ \left[\lambda_{6}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + \lambda_{7}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})\right](\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \text{h.c.} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ m_{11}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) + \left[m_{12}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \text{h.c.} \right] + m_{22}^{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) \right\}$$

14 parameters: $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6, \lambda_7, m_{11}^2, m_{22}^2, \operatorname{Re} m_{12}^2, \operatorname{Im} m_{12}^2$ • Z_2 symmetry under $\phi_1 \rightarrow -\phi_1, \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_2$ (or vice versa): $\Rightarrow \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = m_{12}^2 = 0$

• Soft violation of Z₂ symmetry - $m_{12}^2(\lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0)$

•Hard violation of Z_2 symmetry: quartic terms with λ_6 , λ_7

Lee, Diaz-Cruz, Mendez, Haber, Gunion, Branco, Pomarol, Barroso, Grzadkowski, Kalinowski, Rosiek, Santos, Hollik, Djouadi, Illana, Akeroyd, Arhrib, Dubinin, Davidson, Ivanov ...

Reparametrization transformation of fields

Two fields (doublets) with identical quantum numbers:

a global unitary transformations $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ which mix these fields and change the phases, $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\rho_0; \rho, \tau, \theta)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1' \\ \phi_2' \end{pmatrix} = \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}} = e^{-i\rho_0} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta \, e^{i\rho/2} & \sin\theta \, e^{i(\tau-\rho)/2} \\ -\sin\theta \, e^{-i(\tau-\rho)/2} & \cos\theta \, e^{-i\rho/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

 ρ_0 - an overall phase

→ PHASE ROTATION AND MIXING OF FIELDS (changing field basis)

The particular case with $\theta = 0$ means no mixing

- a global transformation of fields with the independent phase rotations:

$$\phi_{1,2} \to e^{-i\rho_{1,2}}\phi_{1,2}; \quad \rho_1 = \rho_0 - \rho/2, \ \rho_2 = \rho_0 + \rho/2, \ \rho = \rho_2 - \rho_1.$$

 \rightarrow PHASE ROTATION FOR FIELDS (rephasing fields)

Reparametrization transformation of Lagrangians

Let Λ - represents all parameters of \mathcal{L} : $(\lambda' s, m_{ij}^2)$ Let Φ -represents fields (ϕ_1, ϕ_2)

Write

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\Lambda \otimes \Phi)$$

Without changing physical content we transform $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \Phi$ to Φ' : so

$$\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'(\Lambda \times \Phi')$$

however since $\Phi' = \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \Phi$, we induced $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$

$$\mathcal{L}'(\Lambda\otimes\Phi')=\mathcal{L}'(\Lambda'\otimes\Phi)$$

But \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' - which differ by set of parameters Λ , describe the same physics \rightarrow REPARAMETRIZATION INVARIANCE

The induced transformation Λ to Λ' - reparametrization transformation - given by $(\rho, \tau, c = \cos \theta, s = \sin \theta)$

Reparametrization group

Reparametrization transformations - the 3-parametrical (ρ, τ, θ) reparametrization group, operating within the space of Lagrangians with coordinates given by λ_i , m_{ij}^2 .

A set of the physically equivalent Higgs Lagrangians - *the reparametrization equivalent space* (a subspace of the entire space of Lagrangians).

"Family of Lagrangians" with explicit property - eg. a soft Z_2 violating family of Lagrangians



Rephasing group

A particular reparametrization with $\theta = 0$ is equivalent to a change of phase of the *complex* parameters of Lagrangian :

$$\lambda_5 \to \lambda_5 e^{-2i\rho}, \ \lambda_{6,7} \to \lambda_{6,7} e^{-i\rho}, m_{12}^2 \to m_{12}^2 e^{-i\rho}.$$

\rightarrow **A** rephasing invariance.

Rephasing transformations - the 1-parametrical rephasing group with the rephasing parameter ρ - subgroup of the reparametrization group

Rephasing equivalent space of Lagrangians

The violation of the Z_2 symmetry allows for the $\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2$ transitions.

•Exact Z_2 symmetry. $\lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = m_{12}^2 = 0$. Only λ_5 can be complex, by rephasing $\rightarrow \lambda_5$ real.

•A soft violation of Z_2 symmetry. Adding to the Z_2 symmetric Lagrangian the term $m_{12}^2(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_2) + h.c.$, with a generally complex m_{12}^2 ; as before λ_5 can be made real by rephasing.

•A hard violation of Z_2 symmetry. (Operator dimension 4) with generally complex parameters λ_6 , λ_7 are added to V with a softly broken Z_2 symmetry. The *true* hard violation of Z_2 - if V cannot be transformed to the case of Z_2 conservation, nor its weak violation.

Remarks on CP

The complex values of some of parameters in V provide a necessary condition for the CP violation in the Higgs sector. If V can be reparametrized so that all parameters became real - no CP violation is present.

The "Real Vacuum"

The minimum of the potential \rightarrow the vacuum expectation values (v.e.v) of the fields ϕ_i : Typically: In order to get the U(1) symmetry of electromagnetism and using the overall phase freedom of the Lagrangian we choose one vacuum expectation value real (and one complex):

$$\langle \phi_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\v_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\v_2 e^{i\xi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

The phase difference ξ between the v.e.v.'s - *a spontaneous CP violation*? Not necessarily the case.. (eg.Branco at al. book: CP Violation). since under the rephasing transformation the ξ changes to:

$$\xi \to \xi - \rho \,. \tag{2}$$

and we can get "a real vacuum Lagrangian" for $\rho = \xi$.

If in addition $\tau = 0$ (in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$) angle β (tan $\beta = v_2/v_1$) changes to $\beta + \theta$...tan β - not a physical parameter (as discussed by Howie Haber, and others)

Real vacuum condition

$$\overline{\lambda}_5 \equiv \lambda_5 e^{-2i\xi}, \quad \overline{\lambda}_6 \equiv \lambda_6 e^{-i\xi}, \quad \overline{\lambda}_7 \equiv \lambda_7 e^{-i\xi}, \quad \overline{m}_{12}^2 \equiv m_{12}^2 e^{-i\xi}$$

Introducing

$$\overline{\lambda}_{345} = \overline{\lambda}_3 + \overline{\lambda}_4 + \operatorname{Re}\overline{\lambda}_5, \ \overline{\lambda}_{67} = \frac{v_1}{v_2}\overline{\lambda}_6 + \frac{v_2}{v_1}\overline{\lambda}_7, \ \overline{\lambda}_{67} = \frac{v_1}{v_2}\overline{\lambda}_6 - \frac{v_2}{v_1}\overline{\lambda}_7,$$
$$\overline{m}_{12}^2 = 2v_1v_2(\nu + i\delta), \ \delta = \operatorname{Im}(\underbrace{0}_{Z_2 \ sym} + \underbrace{\frac{\overline{\lambda}_5}{2}}_{soft} + \underbrace{\frac{\overline{\lambda}_{67}}{2}}_{hard}).$$

The minimum condition does not constrain $\nu = \text{Re}(\overline{m}_{12}^2)/(2v_1v_2)$.

It allows to express $m_{11,22}^2$ via $\overline{\lambda}$'s, v_j and the parameter ν (Pilaftsis ...):

$$\overline{m}_{11}^2 = \underbrace{\overline{\lambda}_1 v_1^2 + \overline{\lambda}_{345} v_2^2}_{Z_2 \ sym} \underbrace{\underbrace{-2\nu v_2^2}_{soft} + \underbrace{\frac{v_2}{v_1} \operatorname{Re}\left(3v_1^2 \overline{\lambda}_6 + v_2^2 \overline{\lambda}_7\right)}_{hard},$$

$$\overline{m}_{22}^2 = \underbrace{\overline{\lambda}_2 v_2^2 + \overline{\lambda}_{345} v_1^2}_{Z_2 \ sym} \underbrace{\underbrace{-2\nu v_1^2}_{soft} + \underbrace{\frac{v_1}{v_2} \operatorname{Re}\left(v_1^2 \overline{\lambda}_6 + 3v_2^2 \overline{\lambda}_7\right)}_{hard}.$$

Extremes of potential

The extremes of the potential:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_1}\Big|_{\substack{\phi_1 = \langle \phi_1 \rangle, \\ \phi_2 = \langle \phi_2 \rangle}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_2}\Big|_{\substack{\phi_1 = \langle \phi_1 \rangle, \\ \phi_2 = \langle \phi_2 \rangle}} = 0.$$

Trivial electroweak symmetry conserving solution: $\langle \phi_1 \rangle = 0$, $\langle \phi_2 \rangle = 0$. Electroweak symmetry breakin solutions - the most general one:

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi_1 \rangle = &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \langle \phi_2 \rangle = &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v_2 e^{i\xi} \end{pmatrix}. \\ \text{useful} : y_1 = \langle \phi_1^{\dagger} \rangle \langle \phi_1 \rangle, \ y_2 = \langle \phi_2^{\dagger} \rangle \langle \phi_2 \rangle, \ y_3 = \langle \phi_1^{\dagger} \rangle \langle \phi_2 \rangle, w = y_3 y_3^* - y_1 y_2 \end{split}$$

where

$$\partial V / \partial \phi_i = w f(\lambda_j, y_j) = 0$$

,

solution Ch: $w \neq 0 \rightarrow u \neq 0$, charged vacuum

Depending on the parameters of potential, either saddle point or a minimum of the potential, *a charged vacuum*, with a heavy photon, charge nonconservation, etc

Diaz-Cruz, Mendez'1992, Barroso, Ferreira, Santos..'94,'04,'05

solution N: $w = 0 \rightarrow u = 0$, physical (neutral) vacuum

The solution has a well known form:

$$\langle \phi_1 \rangle \!=\! \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \langle \phi_2 \rangle \!=\! \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_2 e^{i\xi} \end{pmatrix},$$

 $U(1)_{em}$ invariance, massless photon, etc.

The extremum is a minimum if all eigenvalues of mass squared matrix are positive.

Tree-level Vacuum Stability

If a minimum that preserves the U_{em} symmetry exists, then the charge breaking (CB) minima cannot occur... Once a charge-preserving minimum exists, any charge breaking stationary point that might exist lies above the minimum. (Barroso, Ferreira, Santos, hep-ph/0512037)

The difference between the values of the potential at the Ch (v'_i) and N (v_i) stationary points is given by:

$$V_{Ch} - V_N = M_{H^{\pm}}^2 / 2v^2 [(v_1'v_2 - v_2'v_1)^2 + u^2v_1^2]$$

with mass of H^{\pm} calculated for N.

So, if N- minimum, $M_{H^{\pm}}^2$ positive and $V_{Ch} - V_N$ greater than 0! (one can show that Ch is a saddle point)



Masslessness of the photon seems to be natural in 2HDM.