



ALICE Online Data Quality Monitoring MOOD Status

Filimon Roukoutakis

CERN PH-AID & University of Athens

ALICE Offline Week CERN, 2/10/2006







- ALICE DAQ Monitoring architecture
- MOOD
 - Introduction
 - Development Status/short term plans
 - Raw data decoding
 - Interface to Offline
 - Luminosity
- Automatic Data Quality Monitoring Plans
- Summary



ALICE DAQ Architecture







DATE Monitoring Scheme



ONLINE host (LDC or GDC)





- 3 policies: "ALL", "YES", "NO" possible for each type of event ("Physics", "Calibration", "SOR", etc...)
- Local vs. Remote: More CPU vs. More Network
- Online vs. Offline:

Immediate feedback + Interference with DAQ

vs.

Delayed feedback + Extra storage layout consideration (Monitoring on TDS systems)

03/10/2006







- Originally developed by Ozgur Cobanoglu for the DAQ group
- 2 Tasks: Online/Offline Data quality monitoring & Detector Debugging
- Written in C++, based on ROOT & DATE
- Runs under SLC 3/4, with DATE v5 and ROOT v5.12
- General framework has evolved, most of the core functionality (DATE interface, GUI) has been completely rewritten. The new scheme was introduced in release 5.00.01, 4 releases followed, now on 5.00.05.



MOOD Structure (1) Structure



MOOD runs as a monitoring client within DATE framework
HI and event handling is based on ROOT libraries
Data access is based on DATE monitoring libraries

•The tool has a modular structure; enhancement is easy



ALICE MOOD Progress Report 5 April 2004

5





MOOD Structure (2)

Structure







MOOD Main Screen



Brief Usage



with necessary control buttons for the monitoring.

ALICE MOOD Progress Report 5 April 2004

11

Picture taken from a presentation by Ozgur Cobanoglu



MOOD for HMPID







MOOD for SDD





03/10/2006



MOOD for TPC







MOOD for V0





03/10/2006



MOOD for TOF





03/10/2006



MOOD Detector Status



- 1. SPD: Active development, new scheme (Henrik Tydesjo)
- 2. SDD: Previous scheme
- 3. SSD: Previous scheme (Slawomir Biegluk)
- 4. HMPID: Previous scheme (Antonello di Mauro)
- 5. TPC: Active development, new scheme (filimon), previous scheme (Ozgur Cobanoglu, Roland Bramm, Luciano Musa)
- 6. EMCAL: Not implemented, TPC RCU (David Sylvermyr from 12/2006)
- 7. PHOS: Not implemented, TPC RCU (filimon?)
- 8. TRD: Previous scheme (David Emschermann)
- 9. MUON Trigger: Active development, previous scheme (Valerie Barette)
- 10. MUON Tracker: Active development, new scheme (Guillaume Batigne)
- 11. TOF: Active development, new scheme (Roberto Preghenella), tested in TOF cosmic ray test facility
- 12. FMD: New scheme, under development (filimon)
- 13. V0: New scheme, implemented+further devel (filimon)
- 14. T0: New scheme, implemented+further devel (filimon)
- 15. ACCORDE: Not implemented (filimon?)
- 16. ZDC: Not implemented (filimon?)
- 17. PMD: Not implemented (filimon?)
- 18. Trigger: CTP decoder+GUI, access to CTP scalers through DIM (filimon)
- 19. DAQ: Online relavant quantities (event rates/sizes, trigger rates etc) (filimon)



Useful MOOD Info



- Contact person: Filimon dot Roukoutakis at cern dot ch,
- Website: <u>http://ph-dep-aid.web.cern.ch/ph-dep-aid/MOOD/</u>
- http://hypernews.cern.ch group: "General MOOD Discussion"



MOOD framework features



- Monitoring elements (usually histograms) are laid on tabbed windows.
- Ability to monitor from several sources:
 - Online on LDC/GDC
 - Offline (files):
 - ALICE standard data format (ROOT) files on local disk, CASTOR or an http server (GDC events)
 - DATE raw format files on local disk or CASTOR (LDC/GDC)
- Multithreaded execution, GUI fully usable while monitoring
- Single Document Interface, MDI under consideration
- Signal-slot mechanism for handling GUI events
- Can be used as a user-friendly hex event dumper
- Ability to monitor a pre-specified number of events and update the screen automatically after a defined number of events or manually.
- XML configuration under designing.
- Link to AliRoot code (See next slides)



MOOD UML Class diagram





03/10/2006





- C/C++ STL based (no external dependencies, including ROOT)
- Equipment-level based: Decoder gets a pointer to an equipmentHeaderStruct of DATE and returns a pointer to struct/class with the decoded data.
- Decoder could be eventType based (different functions for decoding depending on the event type (Physics, Calibration, ...)
- Mapping is detached from decoding (separate classes, could be friends)



Decoder Status



- DecoderRCU:
 - Build a 2-D "structure" with row number=Altro Hardware address, row content=Altro samples.
 - Currently implemented as plain dynamically allocated memory region, could go easily to std::map<hwAddress_t, std::valarray<uint16_t>>
 - Tested on TPC, FMD, PHOS raw data. Mapping ready for TPC, under development for FMD. Can be used by EmCal also.
 - To implement copy-less on-demand decoding of distinct Altro channels.
- DecoderDRM:
 - Container for DecoderTRM that is itself a container of pointers indexing the various 32-bit data words and headers/trailers.
 - Tested on TRM data from T0.
 - Can be used by TOF and T0.
 - To provide public std::const_iterators interface
- DecoderV00: Simple bitfields interpretation, no mapping needed.
- DecoderSPD: Under development+ already existing
- MUON Trigger and Tracker use AliRoot for decoding
- TRD, SSD, TOF, HMPID use specially developed decoders.



- Collect information by decoding mainly V0, T0 and SPD payload from the DAQ network.
- Combine with scaler information collected through a DIM client (under development) from CTP.
- Make calculations, visualize, store information on DCS database.



- MOOD may be built with or without AliRoot present.
- Building with AliRoot is needed for monitoring offline data format files, through a "derootifier" addon library included.
- Interface to CDB for detector mapping information at SOR (Under consideration).
- Interface to On/Offline shuttle for transferring persistent monitor data (See dedicated slide-under discussion).
- Interface to Offline Decoding libraries? Discussion points: Implementation timeline, compatibility, organization of the efforts, online performance.
- Calibration/alignment information input?

Persistency of Monitoring Data



- The aim: Have an AliMSD.root for every run (Monitor Summary Data)
- The challenge: We have to take summary literally, no more than 10 GB of data per run in total for ALICE.
- The implementation: Two proposals
 - 1. Move the data at EOR from monitoring servers to TDS and then to CASTOR. Accessibility/tagging problem (MOOD needs to define metadata structures).
 - 2. Push the data at EOR to the shuttle to store on the Grid along with calib, align data.
- A weekly cache of data will be kept on monitoring servers.

What does the Offline group think?





Automatic Data Quality Monitoring aka ALICE's future monitoring framework

Scope-General considerations



- Automatic DQM aims to collect monitoring data from various sources and take automated decisions on their quality with respect to various parameters and conditions and after comparison with reference values and plots.
- The proposed scheme is a client-server architecture:
 - The server core(s) run on a number of machines that monitor the GDCs and LDCs directly and client machines in the ALICE Control Room (ACR) query and visualize the results. The reference values are stored in a central database.
- The collection scheme is planned to be the DATE monitoring library encapsulated under DATE++ class library.
- The processing backend is going to be written in C++ with minimal use of ROOT library only in data containers (e.g. histograms)
- The GUI will be written in C++ (qt3, ROOT) or Java



- The analysis of the data is going to be trigger- and run-conditions specific, e.g. check the multiplicity against expected values that differentiate themselves due to event centrality and checks of trigger rates in accordance to beam luminosity.
- Limited computing resources: Define and implement the minimum physics requirements (event size/channel occupancy, scaler readout, very simple cluster statistics).



Summary



- MOOD Plans:
 - Enhance the functionality and robustness of the existing application
 - Not yet implemented detector modules will follow the new unified scheme which enhances the modularity and reusability of code
 - Use MOOD as a test bed and debugger for the decoders before the introduction of Automatic DQM
- Automatic DQM Plans:
 - Collection of detector requirements has started (S. Mazzoni)
 - Finalize some design decisions based on the above requirements
 - Framework development timeline: Jan-Sep 2007, enhancements (automatic logic, ANN, full detector integration): Oct 2007-April 2008