



Enabling Grids for E-science

Practical using EGEE middleware


Data Management

www.eu-egee.org




- **Files that are write-once, read-many**
 - If users edit files then
 - They manage the consequences!
 - Maybe just create a new filename!
 - No intention of providing a global file management system
- **3 service types for data**
 - Storage
 - Catalogs
 - Transfer


- **Logical File Name (LFN)**
 - An alias created by a user to refer to some item of data, e.g. “lfn:cms/20030203/run2/track1”
- **Globally Unique Identifier (GUID)**
 - A non-human-readable unique identifier for an item of data, e.g. “guid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6”
- **Site URL (SURL) (or Physical File Name (PFN) or Site FN)**
 - The location of an actual piece of data on a storage system, e.g.
 - “srm://pcrd24.cern.ch/flatfiles/cms/output10_1” (SRM)
 - “sfn://lxshare0209.cern.ch/data/alice/ntuples.dat” (Classic SE)
- **Transport URL (TURL)**
 - Temporary locator of a replica + access protocol: understood by a SE, e.g. “rfio://lxshare0209.cern.ch//data/alice/ntuples.dat”



- Users primarily access and manage files through “logical filenames”



- Mapping by the “LFC” catalogue server



- **LFC = LCG File Catalogue**
 - LCG = LHC Compute Grid
 - LHC = Large Hadron Collider
- Use LFC commands to interact with the catalogue only
 - To create catalogue directory
 - List files
- Used by you and by lcg-utils
- **lcg-utils**
 - Couples catalogue operations with file management
 - Keeps SEs and catalogue in step!

LFC has a directory tree structure

/grid/<VO_name>/ <you create it>

LFC Namespace

Defined by the user

- All members of a given VO have read-write permissions in their directory
- Commands look like UNIX with “lfc-” in front (often)

- **File management functions**
 - copy files to/from/between SEs
 - Files can be replicated to be
 - “Close” to compute elements for efficiency
 - Resilient to SE failure (or upgrade)
- **Uses LFC to maintain coherence of catalogue**

- **Provides**
 - Storage for files : massive storage system - disk or tape based
 - Transfer protocol (gsiFTP) ~ GSI based FTP server
 - POSIX-like file access
 - Grid File Access Layer (**GFAL**)
 - *API interface*
 - *To read parts of files too big to copy*
- **Two types**
 - “Classic” SE
 - Not implementing SRM
 - “SRM” SE
 - SE access is virtualised by common interface: “SRMv1” (v2 coming soon)
 - SRM = Storage Resource Manager, common interface for access to File


- **FTS offer an important advance on client managed file transfers**
 - Support for third party transfer
 - Creation of channels set
- **FTS channel architecture offers very useful features to control transfers between sites or into a single site, though it may become overly complex in a grid without clear data flow patterns.**
 - The ability to control VO shares and transfer parameters on a channel is important for sites.
 - Improved reliability for transfers
 - Asynchronous file transfer mode → support to batch mode
- **FTS agent architecture allows VOs to connect the transfer service closely with their own data management stacks, a useful feature for HEP experiments.**
- **No catalogue interactions yet ☹ → users have to handle SURL**

- List directory
- Upload a file to an SE and register a logical name (lfn) in the catalog
- Create a duplicate in another SE
- Create a duplicate with FTS
- List the replicas

- Create a second logical file name for a file
- Download a file from an SE to the UI

- And later we will: Use the lfn so that a job runs on a CE “close” to one of the SEs that holds a file
- GFAL functions to read blocks from files on SE’s... can’t always copy files to a worker node!)

- **Please go to the web page for this practical**



- **Next slide for after practical**

If a site acts as a central catalog for several VOs, it can either have:

- One LFC server, with one DB account containing the entries of all the supported VOs. You should then create one directory per VO.
- Several LFC servers, having each a DB account containing the entries for a given VO.

Both scenarios have consequences on the handling of database backups

- Minimum requirements (First scenario)
 - 2Ghz processor with 1GB of memory (not a hard requirement)
 - Dual power supply
 - Mirrored system disk

Summary of the LFC Catalog commands

lfc-chmod	Change access mode of the LFC file/directory
lfc-chown	Change owner and group of the LFC file-directory
lfc-delcomment	Delete the comment associated with the file/directory
lfc-getacl	Get file/directory access control lists
lfc-ln	Make a symbolic link to a file/directory
lfc-ls	List file/directory entries in a directory
lfc-mkdir	Create a directory
lfc-rename	Rename a file/directory
lfc-rm	Remove a file/directory
lfc-setacl	Set file/directory access control lists
lfc-setcomment	Add/replace a comment

Replica Management

lcg-cp	Copies a grid file to a local destination
lcg-cr	Copies a file to a SE and registers the file in the catalog
lcg-del	Delete one file
lcg-rep	Replication between SEs and registration of the replica
lcg-gt	Gets the TURL for a given SURL and transfer protocol
lcg-sd	Sets file status to “Done” for a given SURL in a SRM request

FTS client

glite-transfer-submit	Submit a transfer job : needs at least source and destination SURL
glite-transfer-status	Given one or more job ID, query about their status
glite-transfer-cancel	Delete the transfer with the give Job ID
glite-transfer-list	Query about status of all user's jobs; support options for query restrictions
glite-transfer-channel-list	Show all available channel; detailed info only if user has admin privileges


- FTS slides taken from EUChinagrid presentation given by *Yaodong Cheng*
- *IHEP, Chinese Academy of Sciences*

- *EUChinaGRID tutorial*
- *Beijing, 15-16 June 2006*


- <http://agenda.euchinagrid.org/fullAgenda.php?ida=a0621>

- **Clear need for a *service* for data transfer**


- Client connects to service to submit request
- Service maintains state about transfer
- Client can periodically reconnect to check status or cancel request
- Service can have knowledge of global state, not just a single request
 - Load balancing
 - Scheduling



- **Clear need of a *service* for (massive) data transfer**
 - Client connects to service to submit request
 - Service maintains state about transfer
 - Client can periodically reconnect to check status or cancel request
- **Jobs are lists of URLs in srm:// format. Some transfer parameters can be specified (streams, buffer sizes).**
- **Clients cannot subscribe for status changes, but can poll.**
- **C command line clients. C, Java and Perl APIs available.**
- **Web service runs in Tomcat5 container, agents runs as normal daemons.**



- FTS Service has a concept of *channels*
- A channel is a *unidirectional* connection between two sites
- Transfer requests between these two sites are assigned to that channel
- Channels usually correspond to a dedicated network pipe associated with production
- But channels can also take wildcards:
 - * to MY_SITE : All incoming
 - MY SITE to * : All outgoing
 - * to * : Catch all



- Channels control certain transfer properties: transfer concurrency, gridftp streams.
- Channels can be controlled independently: started, stopped, drained.

- VO Agents
- Any job submitted to FTS is first handled by the VO agent
- VO agent authorises job and changes its state to “Pending”
- VO agents can perform other tasks – naturally these can be VO specific:
 - Scheduling
 - File catalog interaction

Channel Agents

- Transfers on channel are managed by the channel agent
- Channel agents can perform inter-VO scheduling

