User support working group - summary

Doug Olson, Diana Bosio

Agenda

• On the web:

http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a062031#s3

- A brief overview of the OSG model (10')
- A brief overview of the EGEE model (10')
- Interoperability of ticketing systems between peer grids (discussion) (50')
- User-friendliness (discussion) (40')
 - do users find the user support supportive?
 - do supporters find the processes of user support supportive?
- Feedback from the LHC VOs users (discussion) (50')
- Supporters responsiveness (discussion) (1h00')
 - are people happy with the current response time?
 - how can we improve it?

Goals

- Discuss cross-grid tickets
 - Ticket ownership, how to measure if it works, how to improve/streamline it
- Find a strategy and suggestions to:
 - Decrease support units response time
 - Minimize ticket loss
- Usability (aka user friendliness)
 - Propose a strategy on how to improve it

Interoperability EGEE-OSG

- GGUS \rightarrow OSG functions
 - New tickets created
 - Update of GGUS ticket causes update of associated OSG ticket
 - Means to automatically assign to OSG VO Support Center is understood and will be implemented for USCMS and USATLAS
- OSG → GGUS
 - In testing
 - GGUS ticket creation works
 - Update of OSG ticket causing update of associated GGUS ticket update still under development
- It appears that ticket exchange and assignment of responsibilities is understood.
- More real tickets are needed to expose shortcomings.

LHCb

- Used GGUS since> 1 year, all problems go to GGUS since 3 mo.
- Direct interaction with sites before using GGUS was educational but became overwhelming
- Have work with GGUS team to make many improvements
- Includes reference to LHCb log entries in GGUS tickets – very helpful
- Have made web interface to see status of LHCb tickets

LHCb

The web interface

<u>a01-004-128.gridka.de</u>	assigned	2006_06_12	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8383</u>
<u>a01-004-128.gridka.de</u>	assigned	2006_06_13	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8383</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_05_15	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_05_16	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_05_18	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_05_19	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_05_27	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_05_28	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_05_29	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_06_03	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_06_04	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_06_06	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_06_07	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ares02.cyf-kr.edu.pl</u>	solved	2006_06_08	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8618</u>
<u>ce.keldysh.ni</u>	obsolete	2006_05_24	<u>logs</u>	reasons	problem no longer tl
<u>ce.keldysh.ni</u>	obsolete	2006_05_25	<u>logs</u>	reasons	problem no longer tl
<u>ce.keldysh.ru</u>	obsolete	2006_05_26	<u>logs</u>	reasons	problem no longer tl
ce.polgrid.pl	solved	2006_05_21	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8777</u>
ce.polgrid.pl	solved	2006_05_22	<u>logs</u>	reasons	<u># 8777</u>
<u>ce0001.m45.ihep.su</u>	new	2006_06_01	<u>logs</u>	reasons	
co0001 m45 then m	pettt	2006-06-03	loge	reacone	

The future...

(LHCb Suggestions/Improvements/Wishes)

- GGUS should be able not just to dispatch the problem to the right unit but also maintain a know-how that helps the unit fixing the problem (a knowledge DB that keeps strategies adopted for fixing past analogous problems)
- Give the possibility (on demand) to a group (well defined) of production managers for handling/updating GGUS tickets (VOMS in GGUS?)
- LHCb SFT tests not only used for installing software (right now) but to be integrated with GGUS so that tickets get submitted in a completely transparent (to LHCb) way, ensuring (enforcing) the readiness of the sites
- The dream is to have a fully automatic system (GGUS integrated) where the human intervention (LHCb side) is minimized and where the failures of the past represent a good lesson for the future.

CMS

- Ticket systems (Footprints & GGUS) mostly work but have some usability issues (list provided)
- CMS not now a heavy user of either system, and does not (yet) send all user problems to GGUS
- Needs / suggestions
 - Would be helpful to inform multiple parties about tickets
 - Can be difficult to see systematic or large scale problems from individual ticket details, it may help to link multiple tickets together
 - Need simpler interface to see CMS-specific tickets
 - Ability to categorize tickets
 - Ability to prioritize tickets

ATLAS

- ATLAS using GGUS for user problems since Sept. 2005
 - <u>Atlas-user-support@ggus.org</u>
 - Frontline support team does gets all tickets and can escalate and assign (via GGUS) to other groups in ATLAS

ATLAS still needs:

- Better defined usage policies and up to date documentation
- VO specific support units better integrated with GGUS (many use savannah portal)
- GGUS training

106. Alistairs marvelous action list:

116.1 Discuss emails from CIC portal and from GGUS with ROCS and sites

Leif from Linköping(sp?) thought that this was confusing, other agreed

- 116.2 We should consider changing GGUS so that user can assign tickets to a particular support unit
- 116.3 Request for stored queries/search on GGUS to find common problems e.g. FTS failure
- 116.4 User should be the ones to close a ticket
- 116.5 Have linked tickets
- 116.6 Assign (replicate and link?) to more than one SU
- 116.7 Take support matters to the LHC-CMS Task Force
- 116.8 Invite VO people to the ESC meetings
- 116.9 Contact the VO task forces to engage them in requirements capture

Suggestions for GGUS

- LHCb provides reference link to LHCb log entries in GGUS tickets, suggest other VOs do the same, has been very helpful for LHCb
- Ability of VOs to
 - assign tickets
 - Categorize tickets
 - prioritize tickets
 - view VO-specific list of tickets
- Use stored queries in GGUS for searching
- Automation of SFTs creating tickets
- Assign multiple parties to be notified about tickets
- Link tickets together for same/related problems
- More integration of VO support units
- User training about GGUS

Comments

- Plenty of good discussion
- General agreement on the critical role of GGUS for user support
- Much progress in interfacing to GGUS tickets with other systems, OSG & ROCs, and still some progress to be made
- Promoting problem ownership is important, both EGEE & OSG
- Many thoughtful suggestions on improvements
- LCG need to adopt model of having VOs accept first-line user support like OSG
 - LHCb and ATLAS already doing this well using GGUS
 - (no VO presentation from ALICE)
 - CMS already providing user support but not integrated with GGUS. Will look into better GGUS integration for CMS.