
TRD alignment with AliAlignmentTracks


Dariusz Miśkowiec, GSI Darmstadt ALICE offline week, 10-Oct-2007

- intro
- optimizing alignment procedure
- determination of residual resolution
- summary


alignable objects in TRD




alignment study via simulation: general idea



alignment study via simulation: general idea




alignment procedure with AliAignmentTracks




practicing the alignment procedure with AliAlignmentTracks

- 30 k pp events with ideal alignment (Silvia Masciocchi's production)
- ~ 300-400 tracks with pt > 0.8 GeV in each TRD chamber
- pick one particular TRD chamber and align it to TPC
- look at the residuals along phi
- the peak should be at zero and as narrow as possible


AliAlignmentTracks with AliTrackResidualsChi2



AliAlignmentTracks with AliTrackResidualsFast



AliAlignmentTracks with AliTrackResidualsLinear




AliTrackResiduals daughters compared

	time per ch	fixing params	ignoring outliers	working?
AliTrackResidualsChi2	34 s	+		+
AliTrackResidualsFast	3 s			+
AliTrackResidualsLinear	8 s	+	+	_*


^{*} setting fraction to 100% does not help changing σ_x from 100 to 1 cm does not help

external (to AliTrackResiduals) removal of outliers




Does it work for non-zero initial misalignment, too?

use 10 events with 200 particles with pt > 1 GeV sent in the direction of one particular stack
 → 400 tracks used to align one chamber




- introduce misalignment at the beginning of the alignment procedure
- look at the residuals before alignment (just to get some feeling)
- look at the residuals after alignment are they zero centered?


1 cm shift in phi – before alignment




... after alignment




5 cm shift in z – before alignment




... after alignment




1 cm shift in r – before alignment




... after alignment




5 deg tilt in phi – before alignment




... after alignment




5 deg tilt in z – before alignment




... after alignment




0.2 deg tilt in r – before alignment




... after alignment



alignment study via simulation: general idea



resolution: results of running alignment on ideal data



TRD alignment with AliAlignmentTracks, D. Miskowiec, Alice offline week, 10-Oct-2007

... and how they compare to our "canonical" values

canonical values for PDC06:

rdphi (mm)	dz (mm)	dr (mm)	rot phi (mr)	rot z (mr)	rot r (mr)	
3	3	3	0.4	2	0.4	supermodule initial
1	1	1	1	1	0.7	chamber initial
0.02	0.03	0.07	0.3	0.3	0.1	chamber residual

resolution observed when aligning 40 TRD chambers using 100 k events simulated with ideal geometry

0.09	0.70	0.25	0.8	0.8	0.2
factor					
5	25	4	3	3	2

summary and next steps

- AliAlignmentTracks with AliTrackResidualsFast works reasonably
- residual misalignment probably somewhat larger than guessed
- alignment resolution related to the width of the residual → in many cases playing with one chamber is sufficient

under investigation


- more optimization: pt cut, B field, scaling with statistics...
- impact on efficiency and momentum resolution

related subjects

AliAlignObj storing local misalignment rather than global



AliTRDalignment class – tool to manipulate TRD alignment sets




- converting between different file formats
- generating random sets for simulation
- reporting and visualization

What is being surveyed?

Four survey points at each end of each supermodule. Unique names:

```
TRD_ sm08 a/c l/h 0/1 sm# z r phi
```



Survey file - ascii file in Alice-wide standard format

```
> Title:
ALICE - TRD Measurement of the first inserted TRD Supermodule 08
> Date:
14/12/2007
...
> Data:
TRD_sm08ah1 -3.6504 0.3337 3.5311 M 3
TRD_sm08ah0 -3.5451 0.9294 3.5306 M 3
```

- AliTRDalignment a create alignment object
- a.ReadSurveyReport("Alice_TRD_5061.txt") parse survey standard ascii file, decode and store the survey x, y, z, error
- a.SurveyToAlignment(8,"111000"); find such values of the 6 alignment parameters that, when applied to supermodule 8, minimize the chi-squared between the nominal and the measured positions of survey points.

comparison of Dec-2006 survey with nominal positions of survey points in local sm frame

	sm	Z	r	phi	rphi	$oldsymbol{z}$	r
local survey	8	0	1	0	-29.968	353.060	35.213
local ideal					-30.250	351.000	37.450
difference					0.282	2.060	-2.237
local survey	8	0	1	1	30.525	353.110	35.239
local ideal					30.250	351.000	37.450
difference					0.275	2.110	-2.211
chi2 = 208.27							
				ŀ	ohi: 0.3 cm off	z: 2.1 cm off	r: 2.2 cm off

alignment params of supermodule 08 deduced from Dec-2006 survey

phi-shift	z-shift	r-shift	phi-rot	z-rot	r-rot
0.279	2.085	-2.224	0.000	0.000	0.000
±0.300	± 0.300	±0.300	±0.000	±0.000	±0.000
				(only tran	nslations)
0.295	2.085	-2.224	0.000	-0.025	0.000
±0.477	±0.300	±0.300	±0.000	±0.568	±0.000
			(tra	nslations a	and z-rot)
phi: 0.3 d	em	z: 2.1 cm	r: 2.2 cm	1	
to larger downwai	•	away from muon arm	inward		

shift in z – caused by the survey target offset

The coordinates given in this report are given for the center of the survey target and not for the contact surface. The following survey target has been used for the measurement of the TRD reference holes:





→ nominal positions of the survey points in AliTRDalignment modified to account for the survey target offset of 20 mm

"r"-coordinate of the two surveyed points:

365.25 cm from survey

365.75 cm from drawings (Bernd)

367.10 cm from offline (my guess, based on

BTRD being 779 mm thick)

needs to be understood

