



Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

3rd All-Activity Meeting Summary of Issues

Bob Jones – Project Director, CERN Erwin Laure – Project Technical Director, CERN

> EGEE II All Activity Meeting Bologna, 16-17 January 2007





www.eu-egee.org



Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

- Following-up on large number of partners and VOs
 - Centralized vs. distributed support structure
- User survey late
 - But coming along
 - How can that be used for the User Forum? Or better at an NA4 all-hands meeting
- Resource Allocation to new Users
 - Not clear for sites who they should support and why
 - Too manual in technical and poliical terms
 - Need a clear policy for sites
 - Message is not getting to the ROCs
 - Need to change things completely and involve resource owners better
 - Add resource question (which they own and want to bring in or to which they already have contacts with) to the registration process
 - Problem with seed resources is installation of additional vo specific software
 - Main problem is VO specific software and configurations
- Evolution of gLite and integration of 3rd party software
 - Other operating systems!
- Documentation
 - See UIG
- How many VOs have left EGEE/stopped using EGEE?



- Feedback from events up from 20% to 48%
 - Without feedback a course is not eligible for EGEE funding!
- Future Infrastucture event @ Manchester
 - Need more information on what that should be
- Commercial training survey
 - Need to start now
- How to best harvest VO-developed applications to enhance training for other VOs
 - What (non-gLite) components should be covered
- Gilda now in standard monitoring
 - Windows interfaces need to be communicated to SA3/JRA1/SA1
- General point: developments should be communicated to the project through the activity reports to the PEB
- Support connected GGUS?
- Site admin training
 - Many efforts going on already should be streamlined and become part of the standard offers
 - Needs to be broader than pure Grid mw installation but focus on basic infrastructure

- Many use-cased empty
- When can we go online?
 - Now, but separate pages for done use-cases and use-cases in work
- Need more effort for generating content



- WMS/LB and gLite CE not production quality yet
- Too many tickets reaching developers from GGUS
- Migration to SL4 and 64bit support progressing slowly
- Different versions of CEs need to harmonize



Breakout session I

- Move to build system is extremely costly, not because of the build system but the inherent structure of our components
 - Also the build system is difficult to use
- One key symptom is that we have too many dependencies
- One proposal: step back, only fix most critical things, don't add anything new and restructure the whole system.
 - Will probably take some 6 months or so, maybe longer
- VO supported software area agreed
 - NA4 will come forward with a first proposal



Breakout session 2

- Activity websites are not used as internal work tool but not either as information to outside
 - Very hard to find information that is up to date
- Action: work on extending the public website to include more technical information and include a search function

JRA2:

Need to revisit partner metrics in Feb/March timescale

SA2:

- ENOC and monitoring and troubleshooting tools need further efforts, needs collaboration with SA1
 - In particular: e2emonit not useable for the full infrastructure, need something different

- Platform support not sufficient
 - Discussed in break-out session
- Usage of pre-production service
 - Should it be kept at the current scale?
 - Can we make better use out of it NA4 needs to ask applications about their wishes
 - Link with preview-testbed?
 - Needs to cover more deployment scenarios
- Service manageability is not sufficient
- Issues with gLite-CE and WMS
- Need to support major interfaces to compute resources
- Infrastructure used ~25% what's the limiting factor?
 - Seen higher usage, peaked at ~80%
 - Technically impossible to configure parts of the resources as available at best effort

- Need presence at more user events
 - Need to know about them
- Thinly spread manpower
- Need to attract more industry people, too many researcher there at the moment
- Information gathering from activities still an issue
- Industry Forum needs reactivation
- Should have an EGEE overview paper signed by the whole collaboration?
 - Maybe have an annual report that becomes an EGEE TR that can be cited
- Collecting information on publications is difficult
 - Acknowledgments to EGEE often not sufficient
 - TR series not really been used
 - Need to start new information campaign
- Fact checking on publications?
 - Have small teams?

- e-IRG leadership structure changed
 - Need to assess impact on NA5 plans
- Collecting information on standards activities turns out to be difficult

- Stretched manpower
- Failed to re-assign budgeted but unallocated manpower to other partners/tasks